		AMENDMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT
		Adopted by the Supreme Court on June 1, 2016 Effective on July 1, 2016
		Title 8. Appellate Rules
		Division 5. Publication of Appellate Opinions
թու	o 8 11	05. Publication of appellate opinions
(a) -	• (d) *	* *
(e)	Cha	inges in publication status
	(1)	Unless otherwise ordered under (2);:
		(A) <u>An opinion is no longer considered published if the Supreme Court grants</u> review or the rendering court grants rehearing.
		(B) Grant of review by the Supreme Court of a decision by the Court of Appeal does not affect the appellate court's certification of the opinion for full or partial publication under rule 8.1105(b) or rule 8.1110, but any such Court of Appeal opinion, whether officially published in hard copy or electronically, must be accompanied by a prominent notation advising that review by the Supreme Court has been granted.
	(2)	The Supreme Court may order that an opinion certified for publication is not to be published or that an opinion not certified is to be published. The Supreme Court may also order <u>depublication</u> of <u>part of</u> an opinion in whole or in part, at any time after granting review.
(f) *	* *	
		Advisory Committee Comment
		n (e)(2). This subdivision allows the Supreme Court to order depublication of an opinion that view by that court.
Rul	e 8.11	15. Citation of opinions
(a) -	· (b) *	* *

- 1 2
- (c) Citation procedure¹

* * *

- On request of the court or a party, a copy of an opinion citable under (b) or of a cited
 opinion of any court that is available only in a computer-based source of decisional law
 must be promptly furnished to the court and all parties or the requesting party by attaching
 it to the document in which it is cited or, if the citation will be made orally, by letter within
 a reasonable time in advance of citation.
- 8 9 10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35 36 **(d)**

11 (e) When review of published opinion has been granted 12

- (1) While review is pending
 - Pending review and filing of the Supreme Court's opinion, unless otherwise ordered by the Supreme Court under (3), a published opinion of a Court of Appeal in the matter has no binding or precedential effect, and may be cited for potentially persuasive value only. Any citation to the Court of Appeal opinion must also note the grant of review and any subsequent action by the Supreme Court.
 - (2) <u>After decision on review</u>
 - After decision on review by the Supreme Court, unless otherwise ordered by the Supreme Court under (3), a published opinion of a Court of Appeal in the matter, and any published opinion of a Court of Appeal in a matter in which the Supreme Court has ordered review and deferred action pending the decision, is citable and has binding or precedential effect, except to the extent it is inconsistent with the decision of the Supreme Court or is disapproved by that court.
 - (3) <u>Supreme Court order</u>
 - At any time after granting review or after decision on review, the Supreme Court may order that all or part of an opinion covered by (1) or (2) is not citable or has a binding or precedential effect different from that specified in (1) or (2).

Advisory Committee Comment

A footnote to a previous version of this rule stated that a citation to an opinion ordered published by the

³⁹ Supreme Court after grant of review should include a reference to the grant of review and to any

⁴⁰ subsequent Supreme Court action in the case. This footnote has been deleted because it was not part of

⁴¹ the rule itself and the event it describes rarely occurs in practice.

⁴²

¹ These amendments were approved by the Court before June 1, but will also take effect July 1, 2016.

1	Subdivision (e)(1). In two respects, this subdivision alters the effect of published Court of Appeal
2 3	decisions after review is granted by the Supreme Court and while a decision on review is pending.
3	
4	Under Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, published "[d]ecisions of every
5	division of the District Courts of Appeal are binding upon all the superior courts of this state"
6	(Id., at p. 455.) The nature of this binding effect changes when there are conflicting published Court of
7	Appeal opinions: in that circumstance, the superior court is still bound, but it "can and must make a
8	choice between the conflicting decisions." (Id., at p. 456.) Because the practice and rule in effect before
9	July 1, 2016, automatically depublished the decision under review, superior courts were not allowed to
10	choose to be bound by the appellate court decision that was under review. Under new subdivision (e)(1)
11	of this rule, if the Supreme Court grants review of a published Court of Appeal decision, that decision
12	now remains published and citable while review is pending and yet — similar to the result under the
13	former rule — it will not have binding or precedential effect on the superior courts, but will instead have a
14	lesser status of "potentially persuasive value only." Accordingly, pursuant to the new rule (as before),
15	when a decision that is pending review conflicts with another published Court of Appeal decision that is
16	not under review, only that other published decision will continue to have binding or precedential effect
17	on the superior court.
18	
19	Subdivision (e)(1) also slightly alters practice with respect to the Court of Appeal pending decision after
20	grant of review. It has long been the rule that no published Court of Appeal decision has <i>binding</i> effect
21	on any other Court of Appeal (e.g., In re Marriage of Hayden (1981) 124 Cal.App.3d 72, 77, fn. 1; Froyd
22	v. Cook (E.D.Cal. 1988) 681 F.Supp. 669, 672, fn. 9, and cases cited) or on the Supreme Court. Under
23	prior practice and the former rule, because a grant of review automatically depublished the decision under
24	review, the Court of Appeal was not allowed to cite or quote that review-granted decision concerning any
25	substantive point. Under the new subdivision, a published Court of Appeal decision as to which review
26	has been granted remains published and is citable, while review is pending, for any potentially persuasive
27	<u>value.</u>
28	
29	Subdivision (e)(2). The fact that a Supreme Court decision does not discuss an issue addressed in the
30	prior Court of Appeal decision does not constitute an expression of the Supreme Court's opinion
31	concerning the correctness of the result of the decision on that issue or of any law stated in the Court of
32	Appeal decision with respect to any such issue.
33	
34	Subdivision (e)(3). This subdivision specifically provides that the Supreme Court can order that an
35	opinion under review by that court, or after decision on review by that court, have an effect other than the
36	effect otherwise specified under this rule. For example, the court could order that, while review is
37	pending, specified parts of the published Court of Appeal opinion have binding or precedential effect,
4 V	nothen then only notentially noncorrections

38 <u>rather than only potentially persuasive value.</u>