JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

INVITATION TO COMMENT

SPR17-20

Title

Court Interpreters: Noncertified and Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter Qualifications

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes

Repeal and adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893; revoke and adopt form INT-100-INFO; revise form INT-110; and adopt form INT-140

Proposed by

Court Interpreters Advisory Panel Hon. Brian L. McCabe, Chair

Action Requested

Review and submit comments by April 28, 2017

Proposed Effective Date

January 1, 2018

Contact

Anne Marx, Senior Analyst Anne.Marx@jud.ca.gov 415-865-7690

Executive Summary and Origin

The Court Interpreters Advisory Panel proposes adopting a substantially modified version of the rule that establishes the procedures for provisional qualification and temporary use of noncertified and nonregistered spoken language interpreters and of the form that provides information about these procedures. The panel also proposes revising the form regarding the qualifications of noncertified and nonregistered interpreters and adopting a new form regarding the temporary use of such interpreters. These changes would implement legislation that took effect January 1, 2015 and clarify existing processes. Additional changes to the rule and to form INT-110 would encourage noncertified and nonregistered interpreters to pursue certified and registered status.

Background

Although only three percent of all language interpretation in the courts is conducted by noncertified or nonregistered interpreters, the provisional qualification process is still of critical importance to the smooth operation of the courts. Existing statutes, rules, and forms address the provisional qualification and temporary use of noncertified and nonregistered spoken language interpreters in criminal and juvenile cases. (See Gov. Code, § 68561.) Rule 2.893 establishes the procedures for provisional qualification and temporary use of noncertified and nonregistered spoken language interpreters in criminal cases and juvenile delinquency proceedings.,

The proposals have not been approved by the Judicial Council and are not intended to represent the views of the council, its Rules and Projects Committee, or its Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee.

These proposals are circulated for comment purposes only.

Procedures and Guidelines to Appoint a Noncertified or Nonregistered Interpreter in Criminal and Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings (form INT-100-INFO) provides some guidance about these procedures and., Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Interpreter (form INT-110), addresses the qualifications of noncertified and nonregistered interpreters.¹

Legislation that took effect January 1, 2015 (Assem. Bill 1657; Stats. 2014, ch. 721) added Government Code section 68092.1, which expanded the case types in which interpreters may be provided to include civil cases. The *Strategic Plan for Language Access in the California Courts* (the Language Access Plan),² which was adopted on January 22, 2015, also calls for an expansion of the provision of interpreter services into all case types by 2018. Additional legislation that took effect January 1, 2015 (Assem. Bill 2370; Stats. 2014, ch. 424) amended Government Code section 68561, which added requirements about what details must be included on the record whenever an interpreter, including a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter, is appointed. The rule and forms need to be updated to reflect these changes.

While most judicial officers, court staff, and limited English proficiency stakeholders are familiar with the "provisional qualification" components of rule 2.893 and its related forms, there is also a lesser understood provision for the use of an interpreter for a single event only—when absolutely needed—using a different standard. The current structure of the rule does not sufficiently distinguish between these two statuses and therefore has created confusion.

Finally, there is concern that some noncertified and nonregistered interpreters use the provisional qualification process as a way to continue to work in the courts without ever attempting to become certified or registered. The existing rule text does not identify any incentive within the provisional qualification process that would encourage the interpreter to pursue certified or registered status, nor does it provide a procedure for doing so.

The Proposal

The proposal is responsive to concerns or problems that have been raised by judges and courtroom personnel as well as interpreter stakeholders. It is also required to implement recent legislative changes.

1. Include all case types when provisionally qualifying interpreters

As part of implementing the legislation expanding court interpreter services to civil case types, the Court Interpreters Advisory Panel is proposing that the provisional qualification of

¹ Form INT-120, *Certification of Unavailability of a Certified or Registered Interpreter*, addresses the availability of certified or registered interpreters and the court's search for one. Since this relates to court operations, the Court Executives Advisory Committee will be reviewing the form and updates to form INT-120 are not part of this proposal.

² The plan is available at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/CLASP report 060514.pdf.

interpreters in civil case types should follow the same rules and procedures, and be subject to the same standards, as provisional qualification in criminal and juvenile proceedings. Rule 2.893, form INT-100-INFO, and form INT-110 would be modified to clarify that all case types are considered in the provided guidelines. The rule would be revised to make clear that both noncertified and nonregistered interpreters are subject to the guidelines.

2. Implement changes required by Government Code section 68561

As noted above, recent legislation amended Government Code Section 68561 to require specified findings be made on the record when an interpreter is used. This proposal would rename, modify, and restructure rule 2.893 to include these new requirements:

- Subdivision (c) adds requirements for stating details on the record for the use of certified and registered interpreters, including the language to be interpreted, the interpreter's name, the interpreter's certification or registration number, a statement that the interpreter's identification has been verified, a statement that the interpreter is certified or registered to interpret in the language to be interpreted, and a statement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath or has an oath on file with the court.
- Subdivisions (d)(2)(D), (E), (F), and (G) add requirements for stating details on the record for the use of noncertified or nonregistered interpreters, including the name of the interpreter, that the interpreter is not certified or registered to interpret in the language to be interpreted, a finding that the interpreter is qualified to interpret in the proceeding as required under the provisional qualification or temporary-use guidelines, and a statement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath.

3. Better distinguish between "provisionally qualified" and "temporary use"

The adoption of new form INT-140, *Temporary Use of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter*, along with restructuring of rule 2.893 and form INT-100-INFO, will help to clarify the requirements and limitations of a temporary use of an interpreter by defining the various types of interpreters and separately addressing their use.

- The text of the rule has been restructured to more clearly distinguish between guidelines for provisional qualification and guidelines for temporary use. Two separate forms—one for the use of a provisionally qualified interpreter (INT-110) and another for the temporary use of an interpreter (INT-140)—make it much easier for court staff to know which process to follow. In addition, each form cross-references the other.
- The form INT-140 process for the temporary use of an interpreter may be handled quickly in the courtroom for a single-use event, while the form INT-110 process is more involved and requires sign-off by the presiding judge. The new form treats temporary use as a separate process.

4. Encourage prospective interpreters to become certified or registered without making it impossible for courts to get interpreters in hard-to-find, other-than-Spanish languages

The proposed changes to rule 2.893 and form INT-110 include modifications that will encourage noncertified or nonregistered interpreters to continue on the path toward certified or registered status and becoming more competent as a court interpreter while protecting the courts' ability to access interpreters in the most hard-to-find languages. Currently, interpreters are provisionally qualified for six-month periods, and the provisional qualification process is overseen by the presiding judge of the court. The current maximum periods are shorter for Spanish than for other languages, and nothing in this proposal changes any of the maximums or their exceptions.

- **Subdivision** (f)(4). While requirements for the first period of provisional qualification remain unchanged, the proposed amendments in this new subdivision include the following requirements for interpreters requesting their second six-month period of provisional qualification:
 - Must take the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam at least once in the
 12 calendar months leading up to their appointment for a second six-month period;
 - Must have taken the State of California's court interpreter ethics course for interpreters seeking appointment as a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter, or already be certified or registered in a different language from the one in which they are being appointed for a second six-month period; and
 - Must have taken the State of California's online court interpreter orientation course, or be certified or registered in a different language from the one in which they are being appointed.
- Subdivision (f)(5). The proposed amendments in this new subdivision include the following requirements for interpreters requesting their third or subsequent six-month period of provisional qualification:
 - Must have taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam;
 and
 - Must have taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam for their language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months leading up to the appointment.

While the committee believes these changes may increase the number of interpreters who seek certified or registered status, instead of remaining long-term provisionally qualified interpreters, they remain very aware of court concerns about accessing interpreters in hard-to-find languages. Interpreters in very rare or hard-to-find languages will not be required to meet these additional requirements.

• **Subdivision** (f)(7) accordingly assures that interpreters in languages with fewer than 25 people on the Judicial Council's master list of certified and registered interpreters (Master List) will not be subject to these new requirements. (For example, the requirements would

- currently apply to Spanish, Mandarin, Korean, French, Farsi, Vietnamese, and Russian interpreters, but would not apply to interpreters in hard-to-find languages.)
- **Subdivision** (**f**)(**6**) includes further protections to the supply of needed interpreters by carving out requirements related to taking the oral exams and by making clear that subdivision (f)(5)(b) will not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. For example, this would currently apply to the Japanese-to-English pairing or to someone seeking appointment as a Spanish-to-indigenous language interpreter.

Please note that, because the proposed changes to rule 2.893 and form INT-100-INFO are so extensive, these changes are not shown with underlining, strikeouts, and shading. Instead, the committee is proposing repealing/revoking the existing rule and form and replacing them with the substantially modified versions attached to this invitation to comment.

5. Make Other Changes to form INT-110

Other proposed changes to form INT – 110 include:

- The introduction section establishes whether or not the interpreter works in a language, or language pairing, for which there is no testing.
- Questions 2, 4(b) & (c), 6(b) & (c) help the court better assess an interpreter's preparations for court interpreting by looking at interpreter or translator credentials which the interpreter might hold and the time the interpreter has spent observing court, in legal training, working as an interpreter, or under the guidance of a certified or registered court interpreter mentor.
- Question 6(a) specifies additional types of proceedings or events in which the interpreter may have worked during the previous six months.

Alternatives Considered

Several alternatives were considered, as outlined below.

Establishing different provisional qualification standards for case types outside of criminal and juvenile

The committee considered whether a different provisional qualification standard would be appropriate outside of the criminal and juvenile case types. In consideration of the Language Access Plan, which specifically recommended the same level of qualification for different case types (Recommendation 8), and because no compelling arguments to support different qualification standards were raised, the committee decided to modify the process to cover all case types.

Not clarifying the use of temporary interpreters

• The committee considered not making changes to rule 2.893 regarding the use of temporary interpreters. However, the committee believes the existing rule text creates significant confusion as to the applicability of form INT-110 when an interpreter is not going to be

- provisionally qualified. In the end, the committee determined that the proposed changes to the rule would provide the greatest clarity.
- The committee considered making changes to the rule without creating the new form INT-140, which is specifically about one-time, temporary interpreters. The committee also considered modifying form INT-110 to have two sections: one related to provisional qualification and one related to temporary interpreters. After reviewing mockups of a split INT-110, the committee determined that the greatest clarity is provided by the current proposal for two separate forms.

Not exempting interpreters who are provisionally qualified, *OR*Exempting interpreters when a number other than 25 are registered or certified in a language

- The committee discussed applying the same requirements for the second and subsequent sixmonth provisional qualification periods to all interpreters regardless of language. There were concerns that courts would then face insurmountable barriers to providing language access in certain rarely used languages. Applying the same requirements for testing, orientation classes, and ethics courses to all interpreters—even those working in languages with very few interpreting resources—would likely create hardships for courts, especially smaller and more remote courts. The committee decided to create exemptions for such situations.
- In determining how best to balance court interests in accessing interpreters in hard-to-find languages with encouraging interpreters to pursue certified and registered status, the committee considered both higher and lower thresholds for the exemption. Based on the 25 interpreter minimum, the committee reviewed which languages would currently be subject to the second and third or subsequent six-month-period requirements for provisional qualification and decided 25 was the best cutoff point. With 25 as the cutoff, interpreters in very rare or hard-to-find languages would not be required to meet the additional requirements.
- Prospective interpreters in languages with 25 or more interpreters on the Master List already have more preparation resources available to them, including training opportunities, the possibility of seeking out a mentor, and additional on-the-job or volunteer experience.
- Articulating the various types of triggers for provisional qualification may encourage all
 prospective interpreters to pursue certified or registered status. The detailed requirements in
 updated form INT-110 create a clear roadmap for the types of preparation that can have the
 greatest potential to assist interpreters in passing the qualifying exams for certified and
 registered status.

Lengthening or shortening the provisional qualification periods

In considering likely court concerns about how this revised process might discourage interpreters from working in the courts, the committee considered lengthening the six-month periods of provisional qualification. In the end, the committee chose to stay with the existing six-month

periods and believes that the exemption to meeting the new requirements in subdivision (f)(7) of the rule will create sufficient safeguards for the courts.

Requiring a database of provisionally qualified interpreters

As the committee considered the possible impacts of multiple requirements spread over multiple six-month periods of provisional qualification, they discussed the idea of creating a database that could aid in tracking provisional qualification status. While the committee believed such a database might be useful, it did not feel that centralized tracking was required and was concerned about delaying the needed changes to the rule and to forms INT-100-INFO and INT-110. The committee believed that the period-tracking questions and the signature under penalty of perjury elements on form INT-110 would be sufficient to ensure courts were accessing interpreters in a manner consistent with the updated rule.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

No significant costs are anticipated if the proposal is implemented. While new form INT-140 and changes to rule 2.893 and form INT-110 may necessitate some training, the training is not believed to be extensive or difficult to implement. Further, the changes clarifying the use of a temporary interpreter versus a provisionally qualified interpreter would make existing protocols more self-explanatory.

Request for Specific Comments

In addition to comments on the proposal as a whole, the advisory committee is interested in comments on the following:

- Does the proposal appropriately address the four stated purposes?
- Does it also appropriately address the stated purpose of encouraging interpreters to pursue certified or registered status without making it unduly difficult for courts to get interpreters in hard-to-find, other-than-Spanish languages?
- Does the length of the individual provisional qualification periods seem too short, too long, or just right?

The advisory committee also seeks comments from *courts* on the following cost and implementation matters:

- What would the implementation requirements be for courts? For example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems.
- Would 3.5 months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?
- Would a database of provisionally qualified interpreters available only to the courts (and not outside stakeholders who also use California's certified and registered interpreters) be useful to your court? (Note: Such a database may be developed in the future, but is not a part of this proposal.)
- How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?

Attachments and Links

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893, at pages 9–16
- 2. Form INT-100-INFO, at pages 17–18
- 3. Form INT-110, at pages 19–24
- 4. Form INT-140, at pages 25–26

Rule 2.893 of the California Rules of Court would be repealed and adopted, effective January 1, 2018, to read:

Rule 2.893. Appointment of interpreters in court proceedings

1 2 3 Application <u>(a)</u> 4 5 This rule applies to all trial court proceedings in which the court appoints an 6 interpreter for a Limited English Proficient (LEP) person. This rule applies to 7 spoken language interpreters in languages designated and not designated by the 8 Judicial Council. 9 10 **Definitions (b)** 11 12 As used in this rule: 13 14 "Designated language" means a language selected by the Judicial Council for (1) 15 the development of a certification program under Government Code section 16 68562; 17 18 (2) "Certified interpreter" means an interpreter who is certified by the Judicial 19 Council to interpret a language designated by the Judicial Council under 20 Government Code section 68560 et seg; 21 22 (3) "Registered interpreter" means an interpreter in a language not designated by 23 the Judicial Council, who is qualified by the court under the qualification 24 procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council, and who has 25 passed a minimum of an English fluency examination offered by a testing entity approved by the Judicial Council under Government Code section 26 27 68560 et seq.; 28 "Noncertified interpreter" means an interpreter who is not certified by the 29 (4) 30 Judicial Council to interpret a language designated by the Judicial Council 31 under Government Code section 68560 et seq.; 32 33 <u>(5)</u> "Nonregistered interpreter" means an interpreter in a language not designated 34 by the Judicial Council who has not been qualified under the qualification 35 procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council under Government 36 Code section 68560 et seq.; 37 38 "Provisionally qualified" means an interpreter who is neither certified nor (6) 39 registered but has been qualified under the good cause and qualification procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council under Government 40 Code section 68560 et seq.; 41

42

1 2		<u>(7)</u>	"Temporary interpreter" means an interpreter who is not certified, registered, or provisionally qualified, but is used one time, in a brief, routine matter.
3 4	<u>(c)</u>	App	ointment of certified or registered interpreters
5			
6 7			court appoints a certified or registered court interpreter, the judge in the ceeding must require the following to be stated on the record:
8			
9		<u>(1)</u>	The language to be interpreted;
10			
11		<u>(2)</u>	The name of the interpreter;
12			
13		<u>(3)</u>	The interpreter's current certification or registration number;
14			
15		<u>(4)</u>	A statement that the interpreter's identification has been verified as required
16			by statute;
17			
18		(5)	A statement that the interpreter is certified or registered to interpret in the
19			language to be interpreted; and
20			
21		(6)	A statement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath or that
22			he or she has an oath on file with the court.
23			
24	<u>(d)</u>	App	ointment or use of noncertified or nonregistered interpreters
25	<u> </u>		
26		(1)	When permissible
27		(-)	If after a diligent search a certified or registered interpreter is not available,
28			the judge in the proceeding may either appoint a noncertified or nonregistered
29			interpreter who has been provisionally qualified under (d)(3) or, in the
30			limited circumstances specified in (d)(4), may use a noncertified or
31			nonregistered interpreter who is not provisionally qualified.
32			nomegistered interpreter who is not provisionally quantied.
33		<u>(2)</u>	Required record
34		(2)	In all cases in which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter is appointed
35			or used, the judge in the proceeding must require the following to be stated
36			on the record:
37			on the record.
38			(A) The language to be interpreted:
			(A) The language to be interpreted;
39			(D) A finding that a contified or registered intermediate and excitable and a
40			(B) A finding that a certified or registered interpreter is not available and a
41			statement regarding whether a Certification of Unavailability of
42			Certified or Registered Interpreter (form INT-120) for the language to
43			be interpreted is on file for this date with the court administrator:

1		(C)	A finding that good cause exists to appoint a noncertified or
2 3		<u>(C)</u>	A finding that good cause exists to appoint a noncertified or
3 4			nonregistered interpreter;
5		<u>(D)</u>	The name of the interpreter;
6			-
7		<u>(E)</u>	A statement that the interpreter is not certified or registered to interpret
8			in the language to be interpreted;
9			
10		<u>(F)</u>	A finding that the interpreter is qualified to interpret in the proceeding
11			as required in (d)(3) or (d)(4); and
12			
13		(G)	A statement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath.
14			
15	<u>(3)</u>	Prov	visional qualification
16			
17		(A)	A noncertified or nonregistered interpreter is provisionally qualified if
18			the presiding judge of the court or other judicial officer designated by
19			the presiding judge:
20			
21			(i) Finds the noncertified or nonregistered interpreter to be
22			provisionally qualified following the <i>Procedures and Guidelines</i>
23			to Appoint a Noncertified or Nonregistered Interpreter as Either
24			Provisionally Qualified or Temporary (form INT-100-INFO);
25			and
26			<u>unto</u>
27			(ii) Signs an order allowing the interpreter to be considered for
28			appointment on Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered
29			Interpreter (form INT-110). The period covered by this order
30			may not exceed a maximum of six months.
31			may not exceed a maximum of six months.
32		<u>(B)</u>	To appoint a provisionally qualified interpreter, in addition to the
33		<u>(D)</u>	matters that must be stated on the record under (d)(2), the judge in the
34			proceeding must find on the record:
35			proceeding must find on the record.
36			(i) That the interpreter is qualified to interpret the proceeding.
37			following procedures adopted by the Judicial Council (see forms
38			INT-100-INFO, INT-110, and INT-120); and
39			111 100 111 0, 111 110, and 111 120), and
40			(ii) If applicable, that good cause exists under (f)(1)(B) for the court
41			to appoint the interpreter beyond the time ordinarily allowed in
42			(f);
43			\11/2
TJ			

5 (A) At the request of an LEP person, the judge in the proceeding finds the record that: (i) The LEP person has been informed and has waived the appointment of a certified or registered interpreter or an					
8 (i) The LEP person has been informed and has waived the	<u>ified,</u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ified,				
9 appointment of a certified or registered interpreter or an	<u>ified,</u>				
-1	ified,				
interpreter who could be provisionally qualified by the	ified,				
11 presiding judge as provided in (d)(3);	tified,				
12	tified,				
(ii) Good cause exists to appoint an interpreter who is not cert					
14 <u>registered, or provisionally qualified; and</u>					
15					
16 (iii) The interpreter is qualified to interpret that proceeding,					
following procedures adopted by the Judicial Council (see	<u> </u>				
18 <u>forms INT-100-INFO and INT-140).</u>					
19					
20 (B) The use of an interpreter under this subdivision is limited to a sing					
21 <u>brief, routine matter before the court. The use of the interpreter in</u>					
	circumstance may not be extended to subsequent proceedings without				
again following the procedure set forth in this subdivision.					
24					
25 (5) If a party objects to the appointment of the proposed interpreter or waive appointment of a certified or registered interpreter, that objection or wai					
must be made on the record.	1101				
28					
29 (e) Appointment of intermediary interpreters working between two language	es				
30 that do not include English	<u>CB</u>				
31					
An interpreter who works as an intermediary between two languages that do n	not				
include English (a relay interpreter) is not eligible to become certified or regis					
However, a relay interpreter can become provisionally qualified if the judge fi					
that he or she is qualified to interpret the proceeding following procedures add					
by the Judicial Council (see forms INT-100-INFO, INT-110, and INT-120). T					
limitations in (f) below do not apply to relay interpreters.					
38					

(f)	Limit on appointment of provisionally qualified noncertified and		
	noni	registe	ered interpreters
	<u>(1)</u>		oncertified or nonregistered interpreter who is provisionally qualified
			er (d)(3) may not interpret in any trial court for more than any four
		S1X-n	month periods, except in the following circumstances:
		(A)	A noncertified interpreter of Spanish may be allowed to interpret for no
			more than any two six-month periods in counties with a population greater than 80,000.
		(B)	A noncertified or nonregistered interpreter may be allowed to interpret
			more than any four six-month periods, or any two six-month periods
			for an interpreter of Spanish under (f)(1)(A), if the judge in the
			proceeding makes a specific finding on the record in each case in which
			the interpreter is sworn that good cause exists to appoint the interpreter,
			notwithstanding the interpreter's failure to achieve Judicial Council certification.
			ectification.
	<u>(2)</u>	Exce	ept as provided in (f)(3), each six-month period under (f)(1) begins on the
			a presiding judge signs an order under (d)(3)(A)(ii) allowing the
			certified or nonregistered interpreter to be considered for appointment.
	<u>(3)</u>	<u>If an</u>	interpreter is provisionally qualified under (d)(3) in more than one court
		at the	e same time, each six-month period runs concurrently for purposes of
		deter	rmining the maximum periods allowed in this subdivision.
	<u>(4)</u>		nning with the second six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or
			registered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the
		<u>follo</u>	owing conditions:
			The interpretable stable of California Count Interpretable
		<u>(A)</u>	The interpreter has taken the State of California Court Interpreter
			Written Exam at least once during the 12 calendar months before the
			appointment;
		(B)	The interpreter has taken the State of California's court interpreter
		<u>(U)</u>	ethics course for interpreters seeking appointment as a noncertified or
			nonregistered interpreter, or is certified or registered in a different
			language from the one in which he or she is being appointed; and
			appointed, that

language from the one in which he or she is being appointed. (5) Beginning with the third six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the following conditions: (A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	1 2		(C) The interpreter has taken the State of California's online court interpreter orientation course, or is certified or registered in a different
(5) Beginning with the third six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the following conditions: (A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
5 (5) Beginning with the third six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the following conditions: 8 9 (A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and 12 13 (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. 16 17 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. 24 25 Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			ranguage from the one in which he of she is being appointed.
nonregistered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the following conditions: (A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a		(5)	Reginning with the third six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or
following conditions: (A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a		<u>(3)</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
(A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment			Tollowing Conditions.
Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			(A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court
11 take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and 12 (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. 15 least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. 16 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. 24 Advisory Committee Comment 25 Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	-		
12 (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. 16 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. 24 Advisory Committee Comment 25 Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
13 (B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the 14 relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at 15 least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. 16 17 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks 18 appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for 21 good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered 22 interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the 23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 Advisory Committee Comment 26 27 Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and
relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment. (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
16 17 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks 18 appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for 21 good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered 22 interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the 23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 40 40(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
17 (6) The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks 18 appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. 19 20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for 21 good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered 22 interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the 23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment.
appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available. (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
19 20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for 21 good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered 22 interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the 23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 Advisory Committee Comment 26 27 Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an 28 electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" 29 requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is 30 no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may 31 be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in 32 writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a		<u>(6)</u>	
20 (7) The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for 21 good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered 22 interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the 23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	18		appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available.
good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	19		
interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the language requiring interpretation. Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	20	<u>(7)</u>	The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for
23 language requiring interpretation. 24 25 Advisory Committee Comment 26 27 Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	21		good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered
Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	22		interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the
Advisory Committee Comment Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	23		language requiring interpretation.
Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	24		
Subdivisions (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	25		Advisory Committee Comment
electronic recording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a	26		
requirement by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a		Subdivision	as (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an
no court reporter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
be satisfied by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
32 writing—such as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a			
.).) HAHUWITUGI UOCUIIICIII—UIAU IN CHICICU III UIC CANC THE.	33		document—that is entered in the case file.

1 2	Rule 2.893. Appointment of noncertified interpreters in criminal cases and juvenile delinquency proceedings
3	(a) Application
4	This rule applies to trial court proceedings in criminal cases and juvenile delinquency
5	proceedings under Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 et seq. in which the
6	court determines that an interpreter is required.
7	(b) Appointment of noncertified interpreters
8	An interpreter who is not certified by the Judicial Council to interpret a language
9	designated by the Judicial Council under Government Code section 68560 et seq. may
10	be appointed under Government Code section 68561(c) in a proceeding if:
11	(1) Noncertified interpreter provisionally qualified
12	(A) The presiding judge of the court, or other judicial officer designated by the
13	presiding judge:
14	(i) Finds the noncertified interpreter to be provisionally qualified
15	following the Procedures and Guidelines to Appoint a Noncertified
16	Interpreter in Criminal and Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings
17	(Designated Languages) (form IN-100); and
18	(ii) Signs an order allowing the interpreter to be considered for
19	appointment on Qualifications of a Noncertified Interpreter (form IN-
20	110); and
21	(B) The judge in the proceeding finds on the record that:
22	(i) Good cause exists to appoint the noncertified interpreter; and
23	(ii) The interpreter is qualified to interpret the proceeding, following
24	procedures adopted by the Judicial Council (see forms IN-100, IN-110,
25	and IN-120).
26	(C) Each order of the presiding judge under (b)(1) finding a noncertified
27	interpreter to be provisionally qualified and allowing the interpreter to be
28	considered for appointment in a proceeding is for a six month period.
29	(2) Noncertified interpreter not provisionally qualified
30	(A) To prevent burdensome delay or in other unusual circumstances, at the
31	request of the defendant, or of the minor in a juvenile delinquency
32	proceeding, the judge in the proceeding may appoint a noncertified

1 2	interpreter who is not provisionally qualified under (b)(1) to interpret a brief, routine matter provided the judge, on the record:
3 4 5	(i) Indicates that the defendant or minor has waived the appointment of a certified interpreter and the appointment of an interpreter found provisionally qualified by the presiding judge;
6 7	(ii) Finds that good cause exists to appoint an interpreter who is neither certified nor provisionally qualified; and
8	(iii) Finds that the interpreter is qualified to interpret that proceeding.
9 10 11 12	(B) The findings and appointment under (b)(2)(A) made by the judge in the proceeding are effective only in that proceeding. The appointment must not be extended to subsequent proceedings without an additional waiver, findings, and appointment.

PROCEDURES TO APPOINT A NONCERTIFIED OR NONREGISTERED SPOKEN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER AS EITHER PROVISIONALLY QUALIFIED OR TEMPORARY

The court is required to appoint a certified or registered interpreter. If a certified or registered interpreters is not available, the court may **provisionally qualify** (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893(d)(3)) or **temporarily use** an interpreter (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893(d)(4)). These procedures include **different instructions** for provisional qualification and temporary use.

How does the court appoint a potential noncertified or nonregistered interpreter?

- The court must determine if a certified or registered interpreter is expected to be available by reviewing and completing a
 Certification of Unavailability of Certified or Registered Interpreter (form INT-120). Form INT-120 must be completed, signed,
 and filed on the day of the proceeding.
- The court must also determine if a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter is being temporarily used per rule 2.893(b)(7) and (d)(4) or if the interpreter needs to be provisionally qualified or is already provisionally qualified.

What is the process for provisionally qualifying an interpreter?

- To provisionally qualify an interpreter, the presiding judge or judicial designee must review the declaration on *Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter* (form INT-110) and sign the six-month Finding of Provisional Qualification and Order of the Presiding Judge.
- Requirements to provisionally qualify an interpreter are different during the first six-month period and subsequent six-month
 periods. The presiding judge or judicial designee should be careful to review whether the proposed interpreter has met those
 requirements under rule 2.893(f).

What is the process for temporary use of an interpreter?

- After the interpreter has completed and signed the Temporary Interpreter Declaration on Temporary Use of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter (form INT-140), the judge must review and sign the Finding of Qualification for a Single Proceeding.
- The judge's finding must include that the Limited English Proficient (LEP) person has waived the appointment of a certified or registered interpreter.
- Form INT-140 is intended for a single, brief appearance before the court and may not be extended to subsequent proceedings without completing a new form INT-140.

What are the record-keeping requirements when using a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter?

- There are specific requirements as to **who** must make findings on the record and **what** details must be included whenever a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter is used. To learn more about these requirements in each situation, review rule 2.893(d)(2) and (d)(4)(A) of the California Rules of Court.
- File the completed Certification of Unavailability of Certified or Registered Interpreter (form INT-120) with the court on the day of the proceeding.
- Process the completed *Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter* (form INT-110) in accordance with the court's record-keeping procedures.
- Retain the completed Temporary Use of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter (form INT-140) in the
 case file.

Page 1 of 2

PROCEDURES TO APPOINT A NONCERTIFIED OR NONREGISTERED SPOKEN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER AS EITHER PROVISIONALLY QUALIFIED OR TEMPORARY

What does an interpreter need to do to become provisionally qualified?

- Complete and sign under oath the *Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter* (form INT-110) and submit it to the court.
- Renew the declaration in form INT-110 after the first six months *if* the interpreter remains uncertified or unregistered and provisionally qualified.
- If seeking provisional qualification in additional six-month periods, the interpreter must take the written court interpreter exam, required ethics courses and/or relevant bilingual interpreting or oral proficiency exams. These requirements are detailed in rule 2.893 of the California Rules of Court.

QUALIFICATIONS OF A NONCERTIFIED OR NONREGISTERED SPOKEN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER

INTERPRETER NAME:		FOR COURT USE ONLY (FILE WITH THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR)
LANGUAGE:		
Original filing in this court		
Mark which 6-month period applies	s to this interpreter: 1st 2nd	3+
Within the period allowed by	Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893	DRAFT: NOT APPROVED BY
Beyond the period allowed by	Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893	THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTR	RICT, AND BRANCH COURT (IF ANY):	
This form is used to appoint	a PROVISIONALLY OLIALIFIED interpretel	for a 6-month period under rule 2.893(d)(4).
	temporary interpreter in a single brief ap	
Interpreter's name:	Phone (home):	
Address:	Phone (work):	
Language	Driver's license:	State:
Language:	OR State I.D.:	State:
Please mark all that apply:		
Designated language: noncert	ified interpreter	
Nondesignated language: non		vith no certified or registered status available, either from English to another language (relay interpreter)
Provisionally qualifying for a 6-		ed exam available in the language pairing
		nterpreter as voir dire, or the court may have the ormation provided by the interpreter should be
	e whether the interpreter is appointed to inte	
1. Previous provisional qualification		
	ou been provisionally qualified by a presidin	g judge in this court or any other court under Cal.
Rules of Court, rule 2.893?		
Yes. For each period stat	e (see p. 5):	
Beginning date:	Court:	
	ou interpreted in any court without being pro	ovisionally qualified?
No Yes (explain	n, giving court names and dates):	
2. Interpreter and translator creden	tials	
		rrently hold, and which are in good standing (e.g.,
court interpreter certification fro college certificate; etc.):	m another state, in another language, or for	the federal courts; ATA certification; community
college certificate, etc.).		
Credential name:	II	D #:
Language pair:	D	ate of initial credential:
Credential name:	([) #:
Language pair:	D	ate of initial credential:
	_	

IN	ITE	RPRETER (name): COURT NAME:						
3.	Interpreter examinations and evaluations (related to credentials you do not currently hold)							
		Have you taken the State of California Bilingual Interpreting Exam (BIE) or the Oral Proficiency Exam in English (OPE) and/or						
		in the other language to be interpreted? (list all exams, date taken, and results)						
		None taken						
		Yes (fill in below):						
		Exam/language: (date): What were the results?						
		Exam/language: (date): What were the results?						
		Exam/language: (date): What were the results?						
		Exam/language: (date): What were the results?						
(b.	Have you taken the Federal Court Interpreter Certification Examination?						
		Yes (dates): What were the results?						
		No (check one): Not taken Not given in the language specified above						
(c.	Have you taken a Court Interpreter Certification Examination from other states?						
		Yes (dates): Give states and results of each:						
		No (check one): Not taken Not given in the language specified above						
(d.	Have your interpreting skills been evaluated in any other way? Yes No						
		If yes, which aspects of your skills were evaluated? (check all that apply):						
		Interpreting modes:						
		Consecutive Simultaneous Sight translation						
		Other (specify):						
		What languages?						
	When were you evaluated?							
	What were the results?							
		Which authority evaluated your skills?						
4.	Int	erpreting and translation training						
	a.	Institutions attended: Year:						
		Year:						
		Year:						
	b.	Court interpreting observation (please indicate number of hours you have observed court interpreters in the courtroom setting):						
	C.	Legal/court interpreting training (select one):						
		(1) 40 or more hours of training in legal interpreting in the last 2 years						
		(2) 80 or more hours of training in legal interpreting in the last 4 years						
		(3) Less legal training than either (1) or (2) during the identified time period						
5.	Tea	aching experience						
		you have any language teaching experience?						
	-	es, which languages?						
	At۱	what levels?						

II	NTE	RPRETER (name):			COURT NAME:			
6.		erpreting experience Have you interpreted in a Please mark how man			Yes [reted in the last 6	No months for each type:		
		Criminal	Traffic	Juvenile		Family		
		Civil	Small Claims	Unlawful De	tainer	Probate/Conservatorship		
		Dates (if known):		List the last	two counties you	have		
		What languages?		worked in:				
		Which modes of interp	oreting did vou emplo	v? (check all that ap	oply):			
		Consecutive	Simultaneou		translation			
	D.	Have you interpreted in a Please list. (med	dical, business, educa		No her):			
			ents interpreted in the					
		Is your role as	an interpreter compe	ensated? Yes	No			
		Approximate n	number of total days:					
		What language	es?					
			of interpreting did you		_			
	_	Consecutive Simultaneous Sight translation						
	C. Have you had 72 hours of legal interpreting experience with, or under the guidance of, a certified or registered court interpreter mentor (includes police interpreted work, depositions, etc., as well as mock trials and other court training							
		simulations)? Yes		work, depositions,	etc., as well as m	ock trials and other court train	ning	
			,					
7.		nslation						
		Do you have any experie List types of documents:		tion? Yes	No			
		What languages?	,					
0	Co	de of professional cond	duct/othics					
0.		Have you had any training		cs for court interpre	ters?	es No		
		Please explain:	5					
	b.	Have you taken the State	e of California's court	interpreter ethics co	ourse for interpreto	ers		
	~.	seeking provisional qual		(date):	No			
		(Required after the first	6-month period of pro	visional qualification	n unless you are o	certified or registered in a diffe	erent language.)	
	c.	Do you have a copy of th	e Standards of Profe	ssional Conduct for	Court Interpreters	s? Yes No		
		Have you read and do yo		ındards of Professio	nal Conduct for C	Court Interpreters? Yes	No	
9.		nining in legal terminolo						
		What training have you re	eceived in California l	egal terminology as	required by Gove	ernment Code section 68564?		

INTERPRETER (name):	COURT NAME:
Orientation to court interpreting a. Have you received training in criminal procedure? Yes	No
Please describe:	
b. Have you received training in civil procedure? Yes Please describe:	No
c. Have you taken the Judicial Council's online court interpreter orie	
(Required after the first 6-month period of provisional qualification	n unless you are certified or registered in a different language.)
11. General education	
Highest level degree attained: High school Jr. college University Gra Name of institution:	aduate degree Postgraduate
Degree awarded: Year: Major: Degree awarded: Year: Major:	
12. Language training	
a. How did you learn English? (mark N/A if not interpreting in English	sh)
b. How did you learn the language to be interpreted?	
c. In which languages were you educated?Language (specify): Elementary Jr. I	high High school University
(1)	
(2)	
d. What languages are were spoken at home	(specify):
13. Disqualifications, decertifications, or criminal offenses	
Have you had any certifications that have lapsed or have you be administrative hearing? Yes No	een disqualified from interpreting in any court or
Please provide detail:	
b. What is your relationship to the party? Acquainted	Related Do not know party
Please explain or provide detail:	
c. Have you ever been convicted of violating any federal law, stat (Do not include traffic infractions.) Yes No	e law, county or municipal law, regulation, or ordinance?
If yes, please	e explain:

				INT-110
IN	ITERPRETE	R (na	name):	COURT NAME:
			INTERPRETER'S DE	CLARATION
D			n interpreter is provisionally qualified in one court,	·
		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ovisional qualification status in any California trial court.
(Ci	heck all tha		• • •	
1.	(I am	unak	ble to become certified or registered because there are	e no exams in my language pairing.
2.	I am	18 y	years of age or older.	
3.	I have	e ne	ever been provisionally qualified or appointed to interpr	et in any trial court under California Rules of Court, rule 2.893.
4.			een provisionally qualified in a different court, and I am California trial court.	currently in my first 6-month period of provisional qualification
5.			ny second or subsequent 6-month period of provisional ents required under rule 2.893(f)(4) or (5).	qualification, and I have met the specific testing and course
6.	Nonc	certi	ified interpreters only	
	I have	e be	een provisionally qualified or appointed to interpret in th	e trial courts under California Rules of Court, rule 2.893, AND
	а. [I have not exceeded any of the provisional qualification	on periods stated below (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893).
	((1)	Two 6-month periods for noncertified Spanish interpret	ters in counties with a population greater than 80,000
	((2)	Four 6-month periods for noncertified Spanish interpre	ters in counties with a population less than 80,000
	((3)	Four 6-month periods for noncertified interpreters of de	esignated languages other than Spanish
	b. [I have exceeded the provisional qualification periods	specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893.
7.	Non	regi	istered interpreters only	
	I hav	ve b	peen provisionally qualified or appointed to interpret in t	he trial courts under California Rules of Court, rule 2.893, AND
	a. [I have not exceeded any of the provisional qualification (1) Four 6-month periods for nonregistered interpreter	on periods stated below (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893): rs.
	b. [I have exceeded the provisional qualification periods	specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893.
true	and correc	t. I u		at the information provided above and on the preceding pages is alify me from being considered for interpreting assignments in
Dat	e:			K
			(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PROSPECTIVE INTERPRETER)
			(LALE OKTIMINI INDIVIE)	(GIGINATIONE OF FROOFLOTIVE INTERFRETER)

INTERPRETER (name):	COURT NAME:

	PROVISIONAL QUALIFICATION and ORDER OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE			
	(Gov. Code, §§ 68561(c) & (d), 68564(d) & (e), and 71802(b)(1) & (d))			
1.	Interpreter (name): Case Number:			
2.	Language: Date of Proceeding:			
3.	Finding: For six months from the date of this order, the above-named interpreter is found to be provisionally qualified to be considered for appointment to interpret the language specified in any proceeding in this court, and			
	a. has not exceeded the provisional qualification periods specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893.			
	b. has exceeded the provisional qualification periods specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893, but good cause exists under rule 2.893 to continue appointing the interpreter.			
	is in their second or greater 6-month provisional qualification period and has met any applicable testing or course requirements as specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893(f)(4) or (5).			
	d. is in their second or greater 6-month provisional qualification period and has not met any applicable testing or course requirements as specified in California Rules of Court, rule 2.893(f)(4) or (5), but good cause exists under rule 2.893 to continue appointing the interpreter.			
4.	THE COURT ORDERS that the above-named interpreter may be considered for appointment by any judge of this court to interprete the specified language in any proceeding for which the judge in the proceeding finds the interpreter to be qualified. This order expires six months from the date of signature.			
Da	te:			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) PRESIDING JUDGE DESIGNATED JUDICIAL OFFICER			

TEMPORARY USE OF A NONCERTIFIED OR NONREGISTERED SPOKEN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER

INTERPRETER NAME: LANGUAGE: Original filing in this court Case number: Date of proceeding: NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT, AND BRANCH COURT (IF ANY):	FOR COURT USE ONLY (FILE WITH THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR) DRAFT: NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL						
This form is used to establish the qualifications of a TEMPORARY INTERPRETER for the proceeding listed below. Temporary interpreters under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.893(d)(4) may be used in brief appearances such as to set a continued hearing date. To appoint a provisionally qualified interpreter for a 6-month period, use form INT-110.							
nterpreter's name: Address: Phone (home): Driver's license.: OR State I.D.: State: The following questions may be addressed to the noncertified or nonregistered interpreter as voir dire, or the court may have the prospective interpreter answer the questions in writing on this form. All of the information provided by the temporary interpreter show the considered by the court to determine whether the interpreter may be used to interpret the stated language in the proceeding about the court to determine whether the interpreter may be used to interpret the stated language in the proceeding about the court to determine whether the interpreter may be used to interpret the stated language in the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court to determine whether the interpreter may be used to interpret the stated language in the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the court is a state of the proceeding about the proce							
1. General education Highest level degree attained: High school Tr. college University Graduate degree Name of institution: Degree awarded: Year: Major: Degree awarded: Year: Major:	Postgraduate						
2. Language training a. How did you learn English? (mark N/A if not interpreting in English): b. How did you learn the language to be interpreted? c. In which languages were you educated? Language (specify): Elementary Jr. high High school University (1)							

INTERPRETER (name):		PRETER (name):	COURT NAME:		
3.	B. Disqualifications, decertifications, or criminal offenses				
a. Have you had any certifications that have lapsed or have you been disqualified from interpreting in any court or administrative hearing? Yes No			e you been disqualified from interpreting in any court or		
		Please provide detail:			
	b.	What is your relationship to the party? Acquainte	d Related Do not know party		
		Please explain or provide detail:			
	c. Have you ever been convicted of violating any federal law, state law, county or municipal law, regulation, or ordinance? (In not include traffic infractions.) Yes No				
		If yes, please explain:			
		TEMPORARY INTER	PRETER DECLARATION		
		years of age or older and I declare under penalty of peand correct.	rjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing		
Da	te.				
Du			•		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGN		(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PROSPECTIVE INTERPRETER)		
			N FOR A SINGLE PROCEEDING urt, rule 2.893(d)(4))		
1.	Inte	erpreter (name):	Case Number:		
2.	Lan	guage:	Date of Proceeding:		
3.		Finding: Under Cal. Rules of Court, 2.893(d)(4), good cause exists to use the above-named temporary interpreter, who is found to be qualified to interpret THE PROCEEDING LISTED ABOVE and not for a 6-month period.			
	Additionally, the judge has indicated on the record that the limited English proficient (LEP) person has waived the appointment of a certified, registered, or provisionally qualified interpreter.				
4.	THE COURT ORDERS that the above-named individual may be used to interpret the specified language for which the judge in the proceeding finds the temporary interpreter to be qualified. This order expires at the conclusion of the listed proceeding.				
Da	te:				
		(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			
		·	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		