JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

INVITATION TO COMMENT W16-08

Title

Juvenile Dependency Petition § 300(b) Allegations for Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC)

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Amend forms JV-101(A) and JV-121

Proposed by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee Hon. Jerilyn L. Borack, Cochair Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair

Action Requested

Review and submit comments by January 22, 2016

Proposed Effective Date

July 1, 2016

Contact

Chris Cleary, 415-865-8792 christine.cleary@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary and Origin

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee proposes revising form JV-121, *Failure to Protect*, to comply with new legislation (Sen. Bill 855) adding section 300(b)(2) to the Welfare and Institutions Code, to facilitate bringing Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC) into the juvenile dependency system.¹ The committee is also proposing technical changes responding to the new section 300(b)(2) to form JV-101(A), *Additional Children Attachment*, which was inadvertently left out of the technical change cycle approved by the Judicial Council on October 27, 2015.

The Proposal

This form amendment is urgently needed to conform to a recent change in the law. In 2014, SB 855 established the new California Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC) Program within the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to support prevention, intervention, services, and training to more effectively address CSEC in this state. The legislation also amended Welfare and Institutions Code section 300 to include section 300(b)(2), which specifically acknowledges that CSEC can come into the system through the juvenile dependency portal, recognizing CSEC as victims rather than perpetrators. This proposal would amend form JV-121, which currently includes the allegations corresponding to section 300(b)(1), to provide also the basic statutory allegations from the new section 300(b)(2), which reads: "The Legislature

¹ The committee has already submitted and the Judicial Council has approved the petitions JV-100 and JV-110 for technical changes to bring them into compliance with Welf. & Instit., § 300(b)(2). Form JV-121 is more substantive; therefore it is being revised separately in this cycle.

finds and declares that a child who is sexually trafficked, as described in Section 236.1 of the Penal Code, or who receives food or shelter in exchange for, or who is paid to perform, sexual acts described in Section 236.1 or 11165.1 of the Penal Code, and whose parent or guardian failed to, or was unable to, protect the child, is within the description of this subdivision, and that this finding is declaratory of existing law. These children shall be known as commercially sexually exploited children." Additionally, this proposal would make technical changes to form JV-101(A), adding separate check boxes for sections (b)(1) and (b)(2), as was approved by the Judicial Council on October 27, 2015, for petitions JV-100 and JV-110.

Alternatives Considered

The Child Welfare Services / Case Management System, pending final revision of the form, temporarily added a box to JV-121 under the section 300(b)(1) allegations allowing an allegation for general neglect "as a result of the failure or inability of the parent or guardian to protect the child from commercial sexual exploitation." The committee considered this as a permanent fix, but concluded that the two sections needed to be separately set forth to adequately cover their separate allegations, including the allegations that constitute commercial sexual exploitation.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

Implementation will require some changes in court procedures and training, though much of that is happening through the CDSS CSEC Program planning and training with the counties that are participating in the CSEC Program. Implementation would also require some reproduction costs.

Request for Specific Comments

In addition to comments on the proposal as a whole, the advisory committee is interested in comments on the following:

- Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose?
- Is there a more efficient way to revise the form to incorporate the requirements of the new statutory section?

The advisory committee also seeks comments from *courts* on the following cost and implementation matters:

- Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so please quantify.
- What would the implementation requirements be for courts? For example, training staff
 (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and
 procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or
 modifying case management systems.
- Would two months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?
- How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?

Attachments and Links

1. Proposed revisions to form JV-121

- 2. Proposed revisions to form JV-101(a)
- 3. SB 855: http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/sen/sb_0851-0900/sb_855_bill_20140620_chaptered.pdf (Please note that this is a budget trailer bill that has many, many items in it. The relevant pages for the CSEC material are pp. 114-15; 139-41.
- 4. W&I s.300(b)(2): http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgibin/displaycode?section=wic&group=00001-01000&file=300-304.7

CHILD'S NAME:		CASE NUMBER:	
4.	4. Petitioner on information and belief alleges the following:		
	a. The child named below comes within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court under the following subdivisions of section 300 of the Welfare and Institutions Code (check applicable boxes; see attachment 3a for concise statements of facts): [(a) [(b)(1) [(b)(2) [(c) [(d) [(e) [(f) [(g) [(h) [(i) [(j) [(j) [(b)(2)		
Ì	b. Child's name:	c. Age: d. Date of birth: e. Sex:	
Information is the same as that given for the child in item 1. (If not the same, provide different information)		(If not the same, provide different information below.)	
	f. Name: mother Address: father guardian unknown If mother or father (check all that apply):	g. Name: mother Address: father guardian unknown If mother or father (check all that apply):	
	legal biological presumed alleged	legal biological presumed alleged	
	h. Name: mother Address: father guardian unknown If mother or father (check all that apply):	Other (state name, address, and relationship to child): No known parent or guardian resides within this state. This adult	
ŀ	legal biological presumed alleged	relative lives in this county or is closest to this court.	
	j. Prior to intervention, child resided with parent (name): parent (name): guardian (name): Indian custodian (name): other (state name, address, and relationship to child):	k. Child is not detained detained Date and time of detention: Current place of detention (address):	
		Relative Shelter/foster care Other	
5.	a. The child named below comes within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court under the following subdivisions of section 300 of the Welfare and Institutions Code (check applicable boxes; see attachment 3a for concise statements of facts): [(a) [(b)(1) [(b)(2) [(c) [(d) [(e) [(f) [(g) [(h) [(i) [(i) [(b)(2) [(b)(
	b. Child's name:	c. Age: d. Date of birth: e. Sex:	
Information is the same as that given for the child in item 1. (If not the sar		. (If not the same, provide different information below.)	
	f. Name: mother Address: father guardian unknown	g. Name: mother Address: father guardian unknown	
	If mother or father (check all that apply):	If mother or father (check all that apply):	
ļ	h. Name: Address: guardian unknown	i. Other (state name, address, and relationship to child):	
	If mother or father (check all that apply): legal biological presumed alleged	No known parent or guardian resides within this state. This adult relative lives in this county or is closest to this court.	
	j. Prior to intervention, child resided with parent (name): parent (name): guardian (name): Indian custodian (name): other (state name, address, and relationship to child):	k. Child is not detained Date and time of detention: Current place of detention (address):	
		Relative Shelter/foster care Other	

6. I have asked about Indian ancestry for each child and have completed and attached the required *Indian Child Inquiry Attachment*, form ICWA-010(A).

	JV-12	
CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:	
FAILURE TO PROTEC	ст	
§ 300(b)		
§ 300(b)(1)		
The child has suffered, or there is a substantial risk that the child will suffer, se	erious physical harm or illness,	
as a result of the failure or inability of his or her parent or legal guardian	to supervise or protect the child adequately.	
as a result of the willful or negligent failure of the child's parent or legal of from the conduct of the custodian with whom the child has been left.	guardian to supervise or protect the child adequately	
by the willful or negligent failure of the parent or legal guardian to provide the child with adequate food, clothing, shelter, or medical treatment.		
by the inability of the parent or legal guardian to provide regular care for the child due to the parent's or legal guardian's mental illness, developmental disability, or substance abuse.		
§ 300(b)(2)		
The child's parent or guardian has failed to, or was unable to, protect the child		
has been or is being sexually trafficked, as described in section 236.1 o	of the Penal Code.	

has been or is receiving food or shelter in exchange for, or who is paid to perform sexual acts described in section 236.1 or

(State supporting facts concisely and number them 1, 2, 3, etc.):

11165.1 of the Penal Code.