JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue . San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

INVITATION TO COMMENT LEG19-01

Title

Judicial Council—Sponsored Legislation: Consistent Fee Provisions with Electronic Filing and Service; Signatures on Electronically Filed Documents Not Signed Under Penalty of Perjury

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Amend Code Civ. Proc., § 1010.6

Proposed by

Information Technology Advisory Committee Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair

Action Requested

Review and submit comments by June 7, 2019

Proposed Effective Date

January 1, 2021

Contact

Andrea L. Jaramillo, 916-263-0991 andrea.jaramillo@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary and Origin

The Information Technology Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council sponsor legislation to amend Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, which governs electronic filing and service in civil matters. The purpose of the proposal would be twofold: (1) to create consistency in the fee provisions by allowing courts to recover no more than their actual costs regardless of whether electronic filing and service is permitted by local rule, required by court order, or required by local rule; and (2) to account for signatures made not under penalty of perjury by persons other than the filer. The proposal originated with Judicial Council staff.

Background

Cost recovery

Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6 (§ 1010.6) provides statutory authority for electronic filing and service. The trial courts may adopt local rules permitting or requiring electronic filing subject to certain conditions. (§ 1010.6(b), (d).) A court may also require electronic filing and service by court order in certain types of cases if it has adopted local rules conforming to the statutory conditions for permissive electronic filing. (§ 1010.6(c).) When a court permits electronic filing by local rule, it may charge a fee for payment processing not to exceed the costs of processing a payment. (§ 1010.6(b)(7).) If a court permits electronic filing by local rule, it may also require electronic filing and service by court order, but the provision on ordering

electronic filing and service does not directly address costs. (§ 1010.6(c).) A court may also require electronic filing and service by local rule, and in that case, it may "charge fees of no more than the actual cost" except in instances where the court deems waiving the fees appropriate. (§ 1010.6(d).) Accordingly, what costs a court can recover vary depending on whether electronic filing and service is permitted by local rule, required by court order, or required by local rule.

Documents not signed under penalty of perjury

Under section 1010.6, "When a document to be filed requires the signature of any person, not under penalty of perjury, the document shall be deemed to have been signed by the person who filed the document electronically." (§ 1010.6(b)(2)(A).) While this provision initially states that it applies when a signature of *any* person is required, the scope is limited by the language "the document shall be deemed to have been signed *by the person who filed*." As such, the provision does not account for a situation where someone signs a document not under penalty of perjury, the document is to be filed electronically, and the filer and signer are different people.

The Proposal

The proposal would create consistency in the fee provisions by allowing courts to recover no more than their actual costs regardless of whether electronic filing and service is permitted by local rule, required by court order, or required by local rule. The proposal would add a provision to account for signatures made not under penalty of perjury by persons other than the filer.

Cost recovery provisions

The provisions for electronic filing and service permitted by local rule are found in subdivision (b) of section 1010.6 while the provisions for electronic filing and service required by court order and required by local rule are found in subdivisions (c) and (d), respectively. The proposed amendments would add a new subdivision (b)(8) to allow courts to recover actual costs when electronic filing and service is permitted by local rule. The language of proposed subdivision (b)(8) is taken from existing subdivision (d). Because subdivision (d) is subject to the requirements and conditions of subdivision (b), the proposal removes the existing language from subdivision (d) that would be identical to the new language in proposed subdivision (b)(8).

The proposal also strikes "the court" from the existing language in subdivision (b)(7), which covers recovery of payment processing fees. Because the language in subdivision (b)(8) is broad enough to encompass payment processing fees, it would not be necessary to keep "the court" in subdivision (b)(7). Finally, the proposal adds to subdivision (c) that it is subject to the requirements and conditions of subdivision (b) and subdivision (f), which cover rulemaking for mandatory electronic filing. This is the same as language in existing subdivision (d) and makes subdivisions (c) and (d) more consistent.

Document signing provisions

The proposed amendment would preserve the status quo when the filer is the signer, but also account for documents not signed under penalty of perjury when the filer and signer are different

people. The amendment would leave the specific processes for signatures not under penalty of perjury when the filer and signer are different people to be described in a rule of court just as is the case for documents electronically signed under penalty of perjury.

Alternatives Considered

Cost recovery provisions

The committee considered maintaining the status quo, which would continue different cost recovery provisions depending on whether electronic filing and service is permitted by local rule, required by court order, or required by local rule. The committee considered it preferable to make the cost recovery provisions consistent and allow courts to recover no more than actual costs. This may encourage more courts to offer electronic filing or expand the scope of their offerings. Currently, only about half of the trial courts provide electronic filing and service either directly, through vendor services, or a combination of vendor and in-house services. The committee is seeking specific comments from the courts on this as well as comments on the impact on self-represented or indigent litigants.

Document signing provisions

The committee considered addressing this issue only in the rules of court. However, because section 1010.6 states that it governs the signature of *any person* not under penalty of perjury, but then specifically narrows to only address the filer, amending section 1010.6 would ensure there would be no potential inconsistency between the controlling statute and rules of court.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Courts can already recover actual costs when electronic filing and service is *required* by local rule. The main fiscal impacts therefore would be with electronic filing and service *permitted* by local rule. Where courts already permit electronic filing and service by local rule, the proposal may reduce costs for courts because those costs would be recoverable. The proposal may also make it more feasible for the court to expand the scope of electronic filing and service. Where courts already permit electronic filing and service by local rule, there may be an increase in costs to litigants already using permissive electronic filing because costs are currently limited to recovery of payment processing fees. Where courts do not currently permit electronic filing and service, the proposal may make it more feasible for more courts to do so. Because electronic filing and service permitted by local rule is optional, litigants would still have the choice to file in paper. The committee seeks specific comments from the courts and public on fiscal and operational impacts.

Request for Specific Comments

In addition to comments on the proposal as a whole, the advisory committee is interested in comments on the following:

- Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose?
- What impact would the proposal have on self-represented litigants and their access to permissive electronic filing and service?

The advisory committee also seeks comments from *courts* on the following cost and implementation matters:

- Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify.
- If the court does not currently have local rules permitting electronic filing and service, would the proposal make it more feasible for the court to do so?
- If the court currently has local rules permitting electronic filing and service, would the proposal help the court to improve or expand electronic filing and service?

Attachments and Links

- 1. Code Civ. Proc., § 1010.6, at pages 5–7
- 2. Link A: Code Civ. Proc., § 1010.6, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=1010.6.&lawCode=CCP

Section 1010.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure would be amended, effective January 1, 2021, to read:

§ 1010.6

1 2 3

(a) * * *

4

5 (b) A trial court may adopt local rules permitting electronic filing of documents, subject to rules 6 adopted pursuant to subdivision (e) and the following conditions:

7

8 (1) A document that is filed electronically shall have the same legal effect as an original paper document.

10

12 (2)(A) When a document to be filed requires the signature of any person, not under penalty of 12 perjury, the document shall be deemed to have been signed by the that person who filed the 13 document electronically. if filed electronically and if either of the following conditions is 14 satisfied:

15

16 (i) The filer is the signer.

17

18 (ii) The person has signed the document pursuant to the procedure set forth in a rule of court.

19 20

21

(B) When a document to be filed requires the signature, under penalty of perjury, of any person, the document shall be deemed to have been signed by that person if filed electronically and if either of the following conditions is satisfied:

222324

25

26

27

28

29

(i) The person has signed a printed form of the document before, or on the same day as, the date of filing. The attorney or other person filing the document represents, by the act of filing, that the declarant has complied with this section. The attorney or other person filing the document shall maintain the printed form of the document bearing the original signature until final disposition of the case, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 68151 of the Government Code, and make it available for review and copying upon the request of the court or any party to the action or proceeding in which it is filed.

30 31 32

(ii) The person has signed the document using a computer or other technology pursuant to the procedure set forth in a rule of court adopted by the Judicial Council by January 1, 2019.

333435

36

(3) Any document received electronically by the court between 12:00 a.m. and 11:59:59 p.m. on a court day shall be deemed filed on that court day. Any document that is received electronically on a noncourt day shall be deemed filed on the next court day.

3738

- (4) The court receiving a document filed electronically shall issue a confirmation that the
 document has been received and filed. The confirmation shall serve as proof that the document
- 41 has been filed.

1 2

(5) Upon electronic filing of a complaint, petition, or other document that must be served with a summons, a trial court, upon request of the party filing the action, shall issue a summons with the court seal and the case number. The court shall keep the summons in its records and may electronically transmit a copy of the summons to the requesting party. Personal service of a printed form of the electronic summons shall have the same legal effect as personal service of an original summons. If a trial court plans to electronically transmit a summons to the party filing a complaint, the court shall immediately, upon receipt of the complaint, notify the attorney or party that a summons will be electronically transmitted to the electronic address given by the person filing the complaint.

(6) The court shall permit a party or attorney to file an application for waiver of court fees and costs, in lieu of requiring the payment of the filing fee, as part of the process involving the electronic filing of a document. The court shall consider and determine the application in accordance with Article 6 (commencing with Section 68630) of Chapter 2 of Title 8 of the Government Code and shall not require the party or attorney to submit any documentation other than that set forth in Article 6 (commencing with Section 68630) of Chapter 2 of Title 8 of the Government Code. Nothing in this section shall require the court to waive a filing fee that is not otherwise waivable.

(7) A fee, if any, charged by the court, an electronic filing manager, or an electronic filing service provider to process a payment for filing fees and other court fees shall not exceed the costs incurred in processing the payment.

(8) The court may charge fees of no more than the actual cost of the electronic filing and service of the documents. The court shall waive any fees charged if the court deems a waiver appropriate, including in instances when a party has received a fee waiver.

(c) If a trial court adopts rules conforming to subdivision (b), it may provide by order, subject to the requirements and conditions stated in subdivision (b) and the rules adopted by the Judicial Council under subdivision (f), that all parties to an action file and serve documents electronically in a class action, a consolidated action, a group of actions, a coordinated action, or an action that is deemed complex under Judicial Council rules, provided that the trial court's order does not cause undue hardship or significant prejudice to any party in the action.

(d) A trial court may, by local rule, require electronic filing and service in civil actions, subject to the requirements and conditions stated in subdivision (b), the rules adopted by the Judicial Council under subdivision (f), and the following conditions:

(1) The court shall have the ability to maintain the official court record in electronic format for all cases where electronic filing is required.

 (2) The court and the parties shall have access to more than one electronic filing service provider capable of electronically filing documents with the court or to electronic filing access directly through the court. The court may charge fees of no more than the actual cost of the electronic filing and service of the documents. Any fees charged by an electronic filing service provider shall be reasonable. The court, an An electronic filing manager, or an electronic filing service provider shall waive any fees charged if the court deems a waiver appropriate, including in instances where a party has received a fee waiver.

1 2

(3) The court shall have a procedure for the filing of nonelectronic documents in order to prevent the program from causing undue hardship or significant prejudice to any party in an action, including, but not limited to, unrepresented parties. The Judicial Council shall make a form available to allow a party to seek an exemption from mandatory electronic filing and service on the grounds provided in this paragraph.

(4) Unrepresented persons are exempt from mandatory electronic filing and service.

(5) Until January 1, 2021, a local child support agency, as defined in subdivision (h) of Section 17000 of the Family Code, is exempt from a trial court's mandatory electronic filing and service requirements, unless the Department of Child Support Services and the local child support agency determine it has the capacity and functionality to comply with the trial court's mandatory electronic filing and service requirements.

(e) The Judicial Council shall adopt uniform rules for the electronic filing and service of documents in the trial courts of the state, which shall include statewide policies on vendor contracts, privacy, and access to public records, and rules relating to the integrity of electronic service. These rules shall conform to the conditions set forth in this section, as amended from time to time.

(f) The Judicial Council shall adopt uniform rules to permit the mandatory electronic filing and service of documents for specified civil actions in the trial courts of the state, which shall include statewide policies on vendor contracts, privacy, access to public records, unrepresented parties, parties with fee waivers, hardships, reasonable exceptions to electronic filing, and rules relating to the integrity of electronic service. These rules shall conform to the conditions set forth in this section, as amended from time to time.

36 (g) * * *