

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688 Tel 415-865-4200 TDD 415-865-4272 Fax 415-865-4205 www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO Chair, Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR. Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson Hon. Brian John Back Hon. Richard Bloom Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie

Hon. Kyle S. Brodie Hon. Ming W. Chin

Hon. Samuel K. Feng

Hon. Scott M. Gordon Hon. James M. Humes

Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson

Ms. Audra Ibarra Mr. Patrick M. Kelly

Hon. Dalila C. Lyons Ms. Donna D. Melby

Hon. Gary Nadler

Ms. Debra Elaine Pole Hon Dean T Stout

ADVISORY MEMBERS Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton

Hon. C. Todd Bottke Hon. Daniel J. Buckley Mr. Jake Chatters Mr. Richard D. Feldstein Ms. Kimberly Flener

Hon, David F. Gunn

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO Administrative Director, Judicial Council September 8, 2017

Hon. Holly J. Mitchell, Chair Joint Legislative Budget Committee 1020 N Street, Room 553 Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Philip Y. Ting, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Budget Committee 1020 N Street, Room 553 Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Michael Cohen Director, Department of Finance 915 L Street Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Report on the Judicial Council's Court Innovations Grant Program in accordance with the Budget Act of 2016

Dear Senator Mitchell, Assembly Member Ting, and Mr. Cohen:

I am writing to report on the Court Innovations Grant Program, which was approved in the Budget Act of 2016 (Sen. Bill 826; Stats. 2016, ch. 23). This Act allocated \$25 million to the judicial branch to promote court innovations and efficiencies through a grant program. Of this \$25 million, \$12 million was earmarked for collaborative courts, \$8 million for family, juvenile and self-help programs, and \$5 million for other efficiencies. Five percent (\$1.25 million) was authorized to administer and evaluate the program.

I am pleased to report that the Judicial Council swiftly launched a highly competitive grant process, which has resulted in \$23.5 million in grants being awarded for 52 programs designed to improve court services or increase court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/cipg-budget-act.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To increase the amount of funds available to be awarded for grants, the Judicial Council will use only \$625,000 of the \$1.25 million authorized.

Hon. Holly J. Mitchell Hon. Philip Y. Ting Mr. Michael Cohen September 8, 2017 Page 2

efficiencies. These grants were approved by the Judicial Council at its March 24, 2017 meeting, and they were distributed to 28 trial courts and one appellate court.

Many parts of the judicial branch worked together to implement the grant process and to ensure its success. In June 2016, the Chief Justice appointed an ad hoc working group to formulate rules for the process. This group developed a Request for Applications (RFA), which was approved by the council at its August 25, 2016 meeting. The RFA was issued to all local and state courts on September 1, 2016, and it required final grant applications to be submitted by October 31, 2016. Interest in the program was tremendous. We received over 118 applications from 42 courts (38 trial courts and 4 appellate courts) collectively seeking over \$70 million in funding.

The responsibility for administering the competitive grant process was assigned to the council's Judicial Branch Budget Committee. The committee met frequently, and it carefully scored each application under established criteria. This criteria was designed to ensure fairness and to promote sensible innovations and efficiencies in accordance with legislative directives. The committee's recommendations were presented to the Judicial Council, which approved them at its March 2017 meeting.

Of the \$23.5 million in total grants awarded, \$11.3 million was awarded for collaborative court programs, \$7.5 million was awarded for self-help, family and juvenile programs, and \$4.7 was awarded for other programs to increase court efficiencies. Attachment A is a list of the grants awarded. As shown in this attachment, some minor changes in awards have been made. One court declined its award after more closely considering a cost-benefit analysis of its program, and some awards were modestly increased consistent with delegated authority. S1 projects are moving forward, totaling \$22.3 million (\$10.1 million for collaborative court programs, \$7.5 million for self-help, family, and juvenile court programs, and \$4.7 million to other court efficiencies).

In addition to awarding the grants, the council retained three to four percent of the funding in each grant category for contingency funding to pay for unforeseen costs that might arise during the grant period. As indicated in the table below, the amount of the contingency fund has grown. This increase is the result of the one court declining its grant. The contingency fund will be monitored and, as appropriate, funds will be allocated to courts on an as-needed basis during the grant period.

## **Contingency Fund Balance as of September 2017**

Total	Collaborative	Self-help	Other
\$2,056,647	\$1,557,534	\$350,725	\$148,387

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Circulating Order CO-17-04, delegating funding adjustments related to the Court Innovations Grant Program to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee, was approved by the Judicial Council on June 30, 2017. A copy of the circulating order can be found at <a href="https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5311536&GUID=0A5CC66D-930B-4818-A504-F8FF88486F06">https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5311536&GUID=0A5CC66D-930B-4818-A504-F8FF88486F06</a>.

Hon. Holly J. Mitchell Hon. Philip Y. Ting Mr. Michael Cohen September 8, 2017 Page 3

Each of the 51 projects is covered by an Intra-branch Agreement (IBA) between the Judicial Council and the recipient court. These IBAs require recipient courts to submit quarterly reports to council staff starting October 30, 2017. These reports are to include the following information:

- Program activities and milestones;
- Significant changes, challenges, or problems and how they were or will be addressed;
- Monetary savings (to date and prospectively);
- Measures taken to ensure the sustainability of the project;
- Measures taken to ensure the project can be replicated in other courts;
- Measurable outcomes identified in the IBAs:
- The status of any relevant collaborations with the justice system or other local or state partners; and
- Program activities scheduled for the next quarter, and how these activities will advance the program's goals and objectives.

To the extent practicable, program benefits—including products, services, materials, and technology—will be shared among different courts during the grant period. Furthermore, as the projects are implemented and as quarterly reports are received, we will continue to evaluate each program's effectiveness to determine whether it can and should be replicated in other courts.

Thank you, and if you have any questions about this program, please call me or Maureen Dumas, Principal Manager in the Judicial Council's Special Projects office, maureen.dumas@jud.ca.gov or 916-263-2290.

Sincerely.

Martin Hoshino

Administrative Director

Judicial Council

MH/MD/cp

Enclosure

cc: Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel

Daniel Alvarez, Secretary of the Senate

E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly

Jessica Devencenzi, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon

Anita Lee, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

Hon. Holly J. Mitchell Hon. Philip Y. Ting Mr. Michael Cohen September 8, 2017 Page 4

> Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office Emma Jungwirth, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee Shaun Naidu, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee Jazmin Hicks, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee Pedro Reves, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget Amy Leach, Minute Clerk, Office of Assembly Chief Clerk Peggy Collins, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee Jody Patel, Chief of Staff, Judicial Council Millicent Tidwell, Chief Operating Officer, Judicial Council John Wordlaw, Chief Administrative Officer, Judicial Council Peter Allen, Director, Public Affairs, Judicial Council Cory T. Jasperson, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council Laura Speed, Supervising Attorney, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Admin Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council Maureen Dumas, Principal Manager, Special Projects, Judicial Council

## Judicial Council's Court Innovations Grant Program - Awards<sup>i</sup>

# Court	Program Name	Awards
Collaborative Courts		\$ 10,142,466
1 Alameda Superior Court	Collaborative Court Management Information System	\$ 114,223
2 Contra Costa Superior Court	Veteran's Treatment Court	\$ 367,975
3 Fresno Superior Court	Human Trafficking Court Planning and Implementation	\$ 383,651
4 Humboldt Superior Court	Family Dependency Drug Court	\$ 1,414,210
5 Inyo Superior Court	Reentry Court	\$ 273,712
6 Mendocino Superior Court	Adult Drug Court	\$ 374,612
7 Placer Superior Court <sup>ii</sup>	Video Appearances	\$ 280,000
8 Sacramento Superior Court	Monitor and Measure the Achievement of Program Goals	\$ 311,849
9 San Diego Superior Court	Evidence-Based Sentencing Triage Assessment Unit	\$ 1,484,759
10 San Francisco Superior Court	Veterans Justice Court	\$ 318,592
11 San Joaquin Superior Court	Community Supervision Court	\$ 1,982,208
12 San Mateo Superior Court	DUI and Restitution Court	\$ 1,012,477
13 Santa Cruz Superior Court	Collaborative Justice System	\$ 1,174,633
14 Sonoma Superior Court	Veterans Court Enhancements	\$ 56,476
15 Stanislaus Superior Court	Homeless Court Program	\$ 593,090
Self-help, Family and Juvenile Cou		\$ 7,449,275
16 5th District Court of Appeal	Self-Help and Learning Center Website	\$ 317,916
17 Butte Superior Court	Remote Video-Conferencing Technology	\$ 576,140
18 Contra Costa Superior Court	California's Virtual Self Help Site	\$ 970,365
19 El Dorado Superior Court	One Family/One Judge Pilot Project	\$ 66,599
20 Los Angeles Superior Court	Self-Help Traffic Avatar (Gina) Expansion	\$ 59,373
21 Monterey Superior Court	California Court Access App Enhance Self-Help Portal	\$ 789,940 326,800
22 Orange Superior Court	·	<u> </u>
n/a Placer Superior Court	Video Appearances	\$ 280,000
<ul><li>23 Riverside Superior Court</li><li>24 Riverside Superior Court</li></ul>	Attorney and Litigant Electronic Courtroom Self Check-In Intelligent Self-Help Kiosk	\$ 178,732 628,774
25 Riverside Superior Court	Traffic Avatar	\$ 66,866
26 San Bernardino Superior Court	Customer Relationship Management Portal	\$ 430,756
27 San Bernardino Superior Court	Video-Conferencing Child Custody Recommending Counseling	\$ 35,538
28 San Diego Superior Court	Access to Information Made Simple	\$ 276,320
29 San Mateo Superior Court	Develop and Provide Expanded Online Self-help	\$ 336,000
30 Santa Barbara Superior Court	Instant Family Law Orders	\$ 312,926
31 Shasta Superior Court	Cooperative Parenting Program	\$ 603,559
32 Sonoma Superior Court	Queuing/Appointment/Calendaring System	\$ 56,586
33 Tuolumne Superior Court	Expand Small Claims-Civil Mediation Program	\$ 24,000
34 Ventura Superior Court	Internet Based Self-help Workshops	\$ 932,404
35 Ventura Superior Court	Juvenile Delinquency Family Engagement Workshops	\$ 88,182
36 Yolo Superior Court	Online Interactive Multilingual Tool	\$ 91,500
Other Efficiencies		\$ 4,726,613
37 5th District Court of Appeal	Modernize the Transcript Assembly Program	\$ 793,000
38 Contra Costa Superior Court	Expanding LEP Court Access	\$ 239,000
39 Humboldt Superior Court	Interactive Video Conferencing System	\$ 170,920
40 Los Angeles Superior Court	E-Filing	\$ 114,760
41 Los Angeles Superior Court	Justice System Partner and Litigant Portal	\$ 637,500
42 Merced Superior Court 43 Monterey Superior Court	Video Conference Hearings Project Cloud Based Disaster Recovery Solution	\$ 194,540 209,361
44 Orange Superior Court	Automating the Courtroom Check-in	\$ 246,190
45 Orange Superior Court	Conservatorship Accountability Portal	\$ 212,972
46 Orange Superior Court	Court User Portal	\$ 511,200
47 Orange Superior Court	Improving Court Management Through the Use of Analytics	\$ 938,851
48 Sacramento Superior Court	Videoconferencing of Mental Health Hearings	\$ 52,860
49 San Bernardino Superior Court	Remote Video Proceedings	\$ 244,699
50 San Mateo Superior Court	Automated Line Queuing System	\$ 125,000
51 Santa Cruz Superior Court	SMS Notifications	\$ 35,760
	Grand Total	\$ 22,318,354

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Grant award projects and amounts differ from the original awards as one court declined the grant award and additional monies were approved by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee for reconsideration in June of 2017 in response to funding reconsideration requests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> The Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommended the Placer Superior Court Video Appearances project to be awarded split funding from both the Collaborative Courts and the Self-help, Family and Juvenile Courts grant categories.