

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director

March 9, 2017

Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson, Chair Senate Judiciary Committee State Capitol, Room 2032 Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. John M. W. Moorlach, Vice-Chair Senate Judiciary Committee State Capitol, Room 2048 Sacramento, California 98514 Hon. Mark Stone, Chair Assembly Committee on Judiciary State Capitol, Room 3146 Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Jordan Cunningham, Vice-Chair Assembly Committee on Judiciary State Capitol, Room 4102 Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Senator Jackson, Senator Moorlach, Assembly Member Stone, and Assembly Member Cunningham:

The Judicial Council is required by statute to collect and release aggregate demographic information concerning the gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and veteran and disability status of California's justices and judges by specific jurisdiction on an annual basis. This information has been collected and released annually since 2007 and was again released on March 1, 2017, in accordance with the requirements of Government Code section 12011.5(n).

The information displayed in the attached charts reflects justices and judges on the bench as of December 31, 2016, who have reported demographic background information. The charts show:

- The overall gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and gender identity of responding justices and judges in the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, and trial courts;
- The gender of these justices and judges by jurisdiction (Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal by district, and trial courts by county);
- The race/ethnicity of responding justices and judges by jurisdiction:
- The specific race/ethnicity reported by those justices and judges who indicated that they consider themselves to be of more than one race/ethnicity;
- The sexual orientation and gender identity of responding justices and judges by jurisdiction;
- The veteran and disability status of responding judicial officers new to the bench beginning in calendar year 2014; and
- Comparisons of the current overall data on gender, race, and ethnicity with data from prior years' reports.

The most recent demographic data reflect changes resulting from judicial retirements and other departures from the bench, new judicial appointments, and an increase in the number of trial court judges who have provided race/ethnicity information.

The demographic data indicate a trend toward a greater proportion of women serving as trial court judges over time. At the end of calendar year 2016, women represented 33.5 percent of this component of the judiciary, compared to 26.8 percent at the end of 2006, continuing an upward trend across this time period.

The data also show an increase in the total number of judges since 2006 in the following race and ethnicity categories: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Pacific Islander, and Some Other Race. In addition to increases in the foregoing categories, a slight decrease in the number of judicial officers was observed since 2006 in the response categories of More Than One Race and Information Not Provided.

Of the 360 trial court judges responding to the question about their veteran status, 31 respondents (9 percent) indicated that they have served in the military. Further, of the 363 judges responding to the question concerning their disability status, 8 judges (2 percent) indicated that they have a disability.

Finally, the additional data in this year's report regarding sexual orientation and gender identity show the following breakdown in six categories in the trial courts (please note that the total can exceed 100 percent because respondents may select more than one category):

- Heterosexual, 65.2 percent
- Lesbian, 1.3 percent
- Gay, 1.5 percent

- Bisexual, 0.1 percent
- Transgender, 0.1 percent
- Information Not Provided, 31.8 percent

The Judicial Council will release the data by posting the information on the California Courts website at www.courts.ca.gov/13418.htm.

If you have questions about the report, please do not hesitate to contact either Robert Lowney, Director, Court Operations Services, at 415-865-7833, or David Smith, Senior Analyst, at 415-865-7696.

Sincerely,

Martin Hoshino

Administrative Director

Judicial Council

MH/DS

Enclosures

cc:

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chief Justice of California and Chari of the Judicial Council

Ms. Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee

Ms. Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee

Ms. Carrie Cornwell, Chief of Staff, Office of Speaker Anthony Rendon

Mr. Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Speaker Anthony Rendon

Mr. Shaun Naidu, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León

Mr. Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office

Mr. Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy and Budget

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender

Court	Fe	male	M	ale	Т	'otal
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%
Trial Court	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
Total	566	33.6%	1,118	66.4%	1,684	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity²

		erican											S	Oals an Barra			I 6	-4: NI-4		
Court		or Alaska e Only		n Only		or African can Only		anic or o Onlv		Islander nlv		Only		Other Race Only ³		e Than Race		ation Not		otal
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	93	100.0%
Trial Court	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
Total	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1,159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	3.4%	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2016. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation

Court	Hetero	osexual	Les	sbian	C	ay	Bise	exual	Trans	gender		ation Not vided ²	To	otal ³
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	64	68.8%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26	28.0%	93	100.0%
Trial Court	1,033	65.2%	20	1.3%	24	1.5%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	504	31.8%	1,584	100.0%
Total	1,104	65.6%	22	1.3%	25	1.5%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	530	31.5%	1,684	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2016. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

^{2. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

^{3.} Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of justices and judges responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Court	Fe	emale	M	I ale	T	otal
Supreme Court	N 4	% 57.1%	N 3	% 42.9%	N 7	% 100.0%
Court of Appeal	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%
First District	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	100.0%
Third District	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	21	100.0%
Fifth District	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	10	100.0%
Sixth District	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Trial Court	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
Alameda	25	34.7%	47	65.3%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	20	52.6%	18	47.4%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8	100.0%
Fresno	12	29.3%	29	70.7%	41	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Court	Fe	male	N	Male	'n	[otal
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Glen	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Imperial	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	11	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	5	16.1%	26	83.9%	31	100.0%
Kings	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	156	34.7%	294	65.3%	450	100.0%
Madera	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	8	100.0%
Marin	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8	100.0%
Merced	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	8	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Nevada	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Orange	36	30.3%	83	69.7%	119	100.0%
Placer	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	14	24.1%	44	75.9%	58	100.0%
Sacramento	17	29.3%	41	70.7%	58	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Court	Fe	emale	ľ	Male	'n	Γotal
	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Bernardino	19	28.4%	48	71.6%	67	100.0%
San Diego	47	35.6%	85	64.4%	132	100.0%
San Francisco	20	40.8%	29	59.2%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	8	28.6%	20	71.4%	28	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10	100.0%
San Mateo	9	36.0%	16	64.0%	25	100.0%
Santa Barbara	6	27.3%	16	72.7%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	33	44.6%	41	55.4%	74	100.0%
Santa Cruz	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11	100.0%
Shasta	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9	100.0%
Sierra	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	5	25.0%	15	75.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	6	33.3%	12	66.7%	18	100.0%
Stanislaus	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
Trinity	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Tulare	5	26.3%	14	73.7%	19	100.0%
Tuolumne	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	5	17.9%	23	82.1%	28	100.0%
Yolo	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2016. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Race/Ethnicity ² Court	Ind Alask	erican ian or a Native Only	Asia	n Only	Af	ick or rican can Only		oanic or no Only		Islander only	Whit	e Only		e Other	l	e Than e Race		mation rovided ⁴	7	[[] otal
						<u> </u>								-						
Supreme Court	N 0	% 0.0%	N 2	% 28.6%	N 1	% 14.3%	N 1	% 14.3%	N	% 0.0%	N 2	% 28.6%	N 0	% 0.0%	N	% 14.3%	N 0	% 0.0%	N 7	% 100.0%
Supreme Court	0	0.070		20.070	1	14.3/0	1	14.3/0	0	0.070		20.070	"	0.070	1	14.3/0	"	0.070	,	100.070
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	93	100.0%
First District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	80.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	25	100.0%
Third District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	18	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%
Fifth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Sixth District	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Trial Court	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
Alameda	0	0.0%	6	8.3%	12	16.7%	6	8.3%	0	0.0%	44	61.1%	0	0.0%	4	5.6%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	0	0.0%	2	5.3%	4	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	78.9%	0	0.0%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	5	12.2%	5	12.2%	0	0.0%	27	65.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	41	100.0%
Glenn	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	11	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	24	77.4%	0	0.0%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	31	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Race/Ethnicity ² Court	Ind Alask	erican ian or a Native Only	Asia	n Only	Af	ick or rican can Only	_	oanic or no Only		: Islander Only	Whi	te Only		e Other		e Than e Race	_	mation rovided ⁴	,	Total
										<i>3</i>				-						
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Trial Court																				
Kings	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	4	0.9%	42	9.3%	45	10.0%	64	14.2%	2	0.4%	256	56.9%	6	1.3%	15	3.3%	16	3.6%	450	100.0%
Madera	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Marin	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Merced	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Nevada	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	0	0.0%	6	5.0%	6	5.0%	9	7.6%	0	0.0%	89	74.8%	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	4	3.4%	119	100.0%
Placer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	3	5.2%	7	12.1%	0	0.0%	43	74.1%	0	0.0%	3	5.2%	0	0.0%	58	100.0%
Sacramento	0	0.0%	6	10.3%	2	3.4%	6	10.3%	0	0.0%	38	65.5%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	3	5.2%	58	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	0	0.0%	5	7.5%	5	7.5%	7	10.4%	0	0.0%	46	68.7%	1	1.5%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	67	100.0%
San Diego	0	0.0%	4	3.0%	8	6.1%	12	9.1%	0	0.0%	95	72.0%	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	9	6.8%	132	100.0%
San Francisco	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	4	8.2%	6	12.2%	0	0.0%	29	59.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	23	82.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Race/Ethnicity ² Court	Ind Alaska	erican ian or a Native only	Asia	n Only	Af	ack or rican can Only		panic or no Only		: Islander Only		te Only		e Other		e Than e Race		mation rovided ⁴		Total
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Trial Court																				
San Mateo	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	72.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	25	100.0%
Santa Barbara	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	22.7%	0	0.0%	17	77.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	0	0.0%	8	10.8%	5	6.8%	8	10.8%	1	1.4%	44	59.5%	1	1.4%	3	4.1%	4	5.4%	74	100.0%
Santa Cruz	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Shasta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
Sierra	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	13	65.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	17	94.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Stanislaus	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Tulare	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	16	84.2%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	19	100.0%
Tuolumne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	23	82.1%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	28	100.0%
Yolo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Yuba	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2016. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2016¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
Supreme Court	7	1	14.3%
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
Court of Appeal			
Second District	25	2	8.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Third District	11	1	9.1%
American Indian; White		1	
Fourth District	21	1	4.8%
Black; Hispanic		1	
Sixth District	6	1	<i>16.7%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Trial Court			
Alameda	72	4	5.6%
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Contra Costa	38	2	5.3%
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Fresno	41	2	4.9%
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Humboldt	6	1	<i>16.7%</i>
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Imperial	11	1	9.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Kern	31	2	6.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
White; Some Other Race		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2016¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
Los Angeles	450	17	3.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native; Black or African American		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Asian; Pacific Islander		2	
Asian; White		2	
Hispanic or Latino; White		6	
White; Some Other Race		3	
Mariposa	2	1	50.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Mendocino	8	1	12.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Monterey	18	1	5.6%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Orange	119	3	2.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Riverside	58	3	5.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Asian; White		1	
Black or African American; White		1	
Sacramento	58	1	1.7%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
San Benito	2	1	50.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
San Bernardino	67	3	4.5%
African American; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2016¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
San Diego	132	2	1.5%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
White; Some Other Race		1	
San Mateo	25	2	8.0%
Asian; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Santa Clara	74	3	4.1%
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Some Other Race		1	
Santa Cruz	11	1	9.1%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Sonoma	18	1	5.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Tulare	19	1	5.3%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Ventura	28	2	7.1%
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	

^{1.} The data reflect responses from courts where one or more judicial officers have indicated that their race/ethnic backgounds include multiple groups. Only those justices and judges that are active as of December 31, 2016 are included. The tables do not include justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

											Inform	ation Not		
Courts	Heter	osexual	Les	Lesbian		Bay	Bis	exual	Trans	gender	Pro	vided ²	7	otal ³
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Supreme Court	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	64	68.8%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26	28.0%	93	100.0%
First District	16	80.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	19	76.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	24.0%	25	100.0%
Third District	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	9	42.9%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	52.4%	21	100.0%
Fifth District	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10	100.0%
Sixth District	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Trial Court	1033	65.2%	20	1.3%	24	1.5%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	504	31.8%	1,584	312.4%
Alameda	54	75.0%	2	2.8%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	14	19.4%	72	100.0%
Alpine	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	31	81.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	13.2%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	27	65.9%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	31.7%	41	100.0%
Glenn	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	6	100.0%
Imperial	7	63.6%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11	100.0%
Inyo	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	20	64.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	35.5%	31	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

•											Information Not			
Courts	Heter	osexual	Le	sbian	(Gay	Bis	exual	Trans	sgender	Pro	vided ²	7	Total ³
Kings	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Lake	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	272	60.4%	3	0.7%	8	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	167	37.1%	450	100.0%
Madera	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	8	100.0%
Marin	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	100.0%
Merced	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	100.0%
Modoc	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	6	100.0%
Nevada	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	71	59.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	39.5%	119	100.0%
Placer	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	33	56.9%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	39.7%	58	100.0%
Sacramento	35	60.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	39.7%	58	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	51	76.1%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	13	19.4%	67	100.0%
San Diego	83	62.9%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	43	32.6%	132	100.0%
San Francisco	28	57.1%	4	8.2%	4	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	26.5%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	17	60.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	10	35.7%	28	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10	100.0%
San Mateo	13	52.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	40.0%	25	100.0%
Santa Barbara	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	45	60.8%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	36.5%	74	100.0%
Santa Cruz	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	11	100.0%
Shasta	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	9	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

·											Inform	ation Not		
Courts	Heter	rosexual	Lesbian		(ay	Bis	exual	Trans	sgender	Pro	vided ²	,	Total ³
Sierra	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	9	45.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	55.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	15	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	18	100.0%
Stanislaus	18	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
Trinity	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Tulare	14	73.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	26.3%	19	100.0%
Tuolumne	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	16	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	42.9%	28	100.0%
Yolo	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	11	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2016. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2016.

^{2. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

^{3.} Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of judicial officers responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges Relative to Veteran and Disability Status

(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Court		Veteran		Disabled						
	No	Yes	Total	No	Yes	Total				
Supreme Court	2	0	2	2	0	2				
Court of Appeal	11	1	12	12	0	12				
First District	3	0	3	3	0	3				
Second District	3	0	3	3	0	3				
Third District	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Fourth District	1	1	2	2	0	2				
Fifth District	3	0	3	3	0	3				
Trial Court	329	31	360	355	8	363				
Alameda	9	0	9	9	0	9				
Alpine	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Amador	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Butte	2	0	2	2	0	2				
Calaveras	0	1	1	1	0	1				
Contra Costa	11	2	13	13	1	14				
Del Norte	1	0	1	1	0	1				
El Dorado	2	1	3	3	0	3				
Fresno	5	0	5	5	0	5				
Glenn	0	1	1	1	0	1				
Humboldt	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Imperial	3	O	3	3	0	3				
Inyo	2	O	2	2	0	2				
Kern	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Los Angeles	94	6	100	99	3	102				
Marin	4	0	4	4	0	4				
Mariposa	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Merced	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Monterey	5	0	5	5	0	5				
Nevada	1	0	1	1	0	1				

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges Relative to Veteran and Disability Status

(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Court		Veteran			Disabled	
	No	Yes	Total	No	Yes	Total
Orange	28	3	31	29	2	31
Plumas	1	0	1	1	0	1
Riverside	12	0	12	12	0	12
Sacramento	6	0	6	6	0	6
San Bernardino	16	2	18	18	0	18
San Diego	38	4	42	42	1	43
San Francisco	9	1	10	10	0	10
San Joaquin	4	1	5	4	0	4
San Luis Obispo	2	0	2	2	0	2
San Mateo	3	0	3	3	0	3
Santa Barbara	5	1	6	6	0	6
Santa Clara	21	1	22	23	0	23
Santa Cruz	1	0	1	1	0	1
Shasta	3	0	3	3	0	3
Sierra	1	0	1	1	0	1
Siskiyou	4	0	4	4	0	4
Solano	2	3	5	4	0	4
Sonoma	7	2	9	8	0	8
Stanislaus	5	0	5	5	1	6
Sutter	1	0	1	1	0	1
Trinity	2	0	2	2	0	2
Tulare	5	0	5	5	0	5
Tuolumne	1	0	1	1	0	1
Ventura	3	1	4	4	0	4
Yolo	3	1	4	4	0	4
Yuba	1	0	1	1	0	1

^{1.} Tabled values for veteran and disability status include responses from justices and judges new to the bench in calendar years 2014 through 2016, as well as experienced justices and judges that chose to update their demographic information during the same 3 year period. Demographic questions pertaining to veteran and disability status are new as of 2014 and reflect an expansion of the mandate for the collection of demographic information from new justices and judges.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender

Court / Year	Fe	male	M	I ale	Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Supreme Court								
2007	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2008	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2009	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2010	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2011	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2012	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2013	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2014	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2015	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%		
2016	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2017	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
Court of Appeal								
2007	32	30.5%	73	69.5%	105	100.0%		
2008	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%		
2009	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%		
2010	30	29.4%	72	70.6%	102	100.0%		
2011	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%		
2012	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%		
2013	31	31.0%	69	69.0%	100	100.0%		
2014	29	30.5%	66	69.5%	95	100.0%		
2015	31	31.6%	67	68.4%	98	100.0%		
2016	30	30.9%	67	69.1%	97	100.0%		
2017	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%		

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Gender

Court / Year	Fe	male	M	ale	Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Trial Court								
2007	398	26.8%	1,088	73.2%	1,486	100.0%		
2008	421	28.1%	1,075	71.9%	1,496	100.0%		
2009	430	28.7%	1,068	71.3%	1,498	100.0%		
2010	444	29.2%	1,078	70.8%	1,522	100.0%		
2011	486	30.6%	1,102	69.4%	1,588	100.0%		
2012	484	30.8%	1,085	69.2%	1,569	100.0%		
2013	484	31.2%	1,065	68.8%	1,549	100.0%		
2014	508	32.2%	1,071	67.8%	1,579	100.0%		
2015	499	32.2%	1,052	67.8%	1,551	100.0%		
2016	517	32.9%	1,053	67.1%	1,570	100.0%		
2017	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%		
Total								
2007	433	27.1%	1,165	72.9%	1,598	100.0%		
2008	453	<i>28.3%</i>	1,150	71.7%	1,603	100.0%		
2009	462	28.8%	1,143	71.2%	1,605	100.0%		
2010	477	<i>29.2%</i>	1,154	70.8%	1,631	100.0%		
2011	522	<i>30.8%</i>	1,174	69.2%	1,696	100.0%		
2012	521	<i>31.1%</i>	1,156	68.9%	1,677	100.0%		
2013	519	<i>31.3%</i>	1,137	68.7%	1,656	100.0%		
2014	541	<i>32.2%</i>	1,140	67.8%	1,681	100.0%		
2015	533	<i>32.2%</i>	1,122	67.8%	1,655	100.0%		
2016	551	<i>32.9%</i>	1,123	67.1%	1,674	100.0%		
2017	566	33.6%	1,118	66.4%	1,684	100.0%		

^{1.} The calendar years in which the reports were published may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 reports reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2017 reports reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

Note: The changes in percentages from year to year are the result of more than one factor, including: (1) new judicial appointments; (2) judicial retirements; and (3) newly-acquired data from judges on the bench who previously did not provide their demographic information.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Race/Ethnicity ² Court / Year	Ind Alaska	erican lian or a Native Only	Asia	n Only	Afr	.ck or rican can Only		anic or		Islander Only	White	e Only		e Other	_	e Than e Race		mation	,	Гotal
:	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	1	70	11	70	1	70	11	70	11	70	1,	70	11	70	11	70	1	70	1	70
2007	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2008	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2012	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2013	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2014	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2015	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal																				
2007	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	86	81.9%	0	0.0%	9	8.6%	1	1.0%	105	100.0%
2008	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	82	82.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	81	81.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	83	81.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%	3	2.9%	102	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	83	79.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.7%	2	1.9%	104	100.0%
2012	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	81	80.2%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	101	100.0%
2013	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0	0.0%	79	79.0%	1	1.0%	6	6.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2014	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	75	78.9%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
2015	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	75	76.5%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	1	1.1%	98	100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	1	1.0%	6	6.2%	1	1.0%	97	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	1.0%	93	100.0%
Trial Court																				
2007	2	0.1%	66	4.4%	68	4.6%	96	6.5%	2	0.1%	1,030	69.3%	3	0.2%	61	4.1%	158	10.6%	1,486	100.0%
2008	2	0.1%	67	4.5%	74	4.9%	103	6.9%	2	0.1%	1,076	71.9%	7	0.5%	63	4.2%	102	6.8%	1,496	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	71	4.7%	77	5.1%	110	7.3%	3	0.2%	1,080	72.1%	10	0.7%	58	3.9%	84	5.6%	1,498	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	78	5.1%	81	5.3%	118	7.8%	3	0.2%	1,113	73.1%	15	1.0%	52	3.4%	57	3.7%	1,522	100.0%

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016¹

Race/Ethnicity ²	American Indian or			Rla	ck or															
		a Native				ican	Hisp	anic or	Pacific	Islander			Some	Other	More	Than	Infor	mation		
Court / Year	C	nly	Asiar	n Only	American Only		Latino Only		Only		White	Only	Race	Only ³	One Race		Not Provided ⁴		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0	N	%	N	0/0	N	0/0
Trial Court																				
2011	6	0.4%	88	5.5%	90	5.7%	134	8.4%	4	0.3%	1,142	71.9%	15	0.9%	52	3.3%	57	3.6%	1,588	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	89	5.7%	91	5.8%	133	8.5%	4	0.3%	1,128	71.9%	18	1.1%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,569	100.0%
2013	7	0.5%	91	5.9%	96	6.2%	133	8.6%	4	0.3%	1,101	71.1%	18	1.2%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,549	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	95	6.0%	99	6.3%	148	9.4%	4	0.3%	1,108	70.2%	18	1.1%	52	3.3%	47	3.0%	1,579	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	97	6.3%	101	6.5%	149	9.6%	4	0.3%	1,079	69.6%	18	1.2%	50	3.2%	45	2.9%	1,551	100.0%
2016	9	0.6%	104	6.6%	101	6.4%	158	10.1%	4	0.3%	1,082	68.9%	19	1.2%	50	3.2%	43	2.7%	1,570	100.0%
2017	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
Total																				
2007	2	0.1%	70	4.4%	71	4.4%	100	6.3%	2	0.1%	1,120	70.1%	3	0.2%	71	4.4%	159	9.9%	1,598	100.0%
2008	2	0.1%	71	4.4%	77	4.8%	107	6.7%	2	0.1%	1,162	<i>72.5%</i>	7	0.4%	72	4.5%	103	6.4%	1,603	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	75	4.7%	81	5.0%	114	7.1%	3	0.2%	1,165	<i>72.6%</i>	10	0.6%	67	4.2%	85	<i>5.3%</i>	1,605	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	82	5.0%	84	5.2%	122	7.5%	3	0.2%	1,200	73.6%	15	0.9%	60	3.7%	60	3.7%	1,631	100.0%
2011	6	0.4%	92	5.4%	95	5.6%	139	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,229	<i>72.3%</i>	15	0.9%	60	3.5%	59	3.5%	1,699	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	94	5.6%	96	5.7%	137	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,212	<i>72.3%</i>	19	1.1%	59	3.5%	49	2.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	7	0.4%	96	5.8%	101	6.1%	138	8.3%	4	0.2%	1,183	71.4%	19	1.1%	59	3.6%	49	3.0%	1,656	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	99	5.9%	104	6.2%	153	9.1%	4	0.2%	1,186	70.6%	19	1.1%	60	3.6%	48	2.9%	1,681	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	100	6.0%	108	6.5%	155	9.4%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.9%	19	1.1%	58	3.5%	46	2.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	9	0.5%	108	6.5%	110	6.6%	165	9.9%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.1%	20	1.2%	57	3.4%	44	2.6%	1,674	100.0%
2017	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	3.4%	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%

^{1.} The calendar years in which the reports were published may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 reports reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2017 reports reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2016

Race/Ethnicity Categories

The category descriptions are adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000, which for the first time invited respondents to identify more than one category. The same category descriptions were used in Census 2010.

American Indian or Alaska Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

Asian: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent. The category includes persons who indicate their race as Cambodian, Chinese, East Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Thai, or Vietnamese.

Black or African American: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Hispanic or Latino: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.