Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	41	40.2%	61	59.8%	102	100.0%
Trial Court	604	37.2%	1,019	62.8%	1,623	100.0%
Total	**648**	**37.4%**	**1,084**	**62.6%**	**1,732**	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity[2]

Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	6	5.9%	9	8.8%	6	5.9%	0	0.0%	75	73.5%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	102	100.0%
Trial Court	8	0.5%	129	7.9%	124	7.6%	181	11.2%	5	0.3%	1,059	65.2%	16	1.0%	67	4.1%	34	2.1%	1,623	100.0%
Total	**8**	**0.5%**	**137**	**7.9%**	**134**	**7.7%**	**188**	**10.9%**	**5**	**0.3%**	**1,136**	**65.6%**	**18**	**1.0%**	**72**	**4.2%**	**34**	**2.0%**	**1,732**	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2019. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation

Court	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided[2]		Total Respondents[3]	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	80	78.4%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	18.6%	102	100.0%
Trial Court	1,157	71.3%	24	1.5%	32	2.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	407	25.1%	1,623	100.0%
Total	**1,244**	**71.8%**	**26**	**1.5%**	**33**	**1.9%**	**2**	**0.1%**	**1**	**0.1%**	**426**	**24.6%**	**1,732**	**100.0%**

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2019. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of justices and judges responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	**3**	**42.9%**	**4**	**57.1%**	**7**	**100.0%**
Court of Appeal	**41**	**40.2%**	**61**	**59.8%**	**102**	**100.0%**
First District	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	14	46.7%	16	53.3%	30	100.0%
Third District	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	100.0%
Fifth District	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Trial Court	**604**	**37.2%**	**1,019**	**62.8%**	**1,623**	**100.0%**
Alameda	25	35.2%	46	64.8%	71	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	21	56.8%	16	43.2%	37	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	16	41.0%	23	59.0%	39	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%
Glen	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Imperial	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	8	25.8%	23	74.2%	31	100.0%
Kings	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Lake	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	188	38.8%	296	61.2%	484	100.0%
Madera	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	6	100.0%
Marin	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Merced	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Nevada	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Orange	37	31.4%	81	68.6%	118	100.0%
Placer	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	18	30.0%	42	70.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	19	31.7%	41	68.3%	60	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Bernardino	19	26.8%	52	73.2%	71	100.0%
San Diego	54	40.3%	80	59.7%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	20	40.8%	29	59.2%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	8	30.8%	18	69.2%	26	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	10	41.7%	14	58.3%	24	100.0%
Santa Barbara	7	31.8%	15	68.2%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	35	47.9%	38	52.1%	73	100.0%
Santa Cruz	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12	100.0%
Shasta	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	7	36.8%	12	63.2%	19	100.0%
Stanislaus	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Tehama	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	100.0%
Ventura	6	21.4%	22	78.6%	28	100.0%
Yolo	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2019. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Race/Ethnicity[2] Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	6	5.9%	9	8.8%	6	5.9%	0	0.0%	75	73.5%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	102	100.0%
First District	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	14	70.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	0	0.0%	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	76.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%
Third District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	20	80.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	25	100.0%
Fifth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Trial Court	8	0.5%	129	7.9%	124	7.6%	181	11.2%	5	0.3%	1,059	65.2%	16	1.0%	67	4.1%	34	2.1%	1,623	100.0%
Alameda	0	0.0%	8	11.3%	11	15.5%	6	8.5%	0	0.0%	40	56.3%	0	0.0%	6	8.5%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	0	0.0%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	27	73.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	37	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	5	12.8%	7	17.9%	0	0.0%	23	59.0%	1	2.6%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	39	100.0%
Glenn	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	24	77.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	31	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Race/Ethnicity[2] Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Kings	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	3	0.6%	52	10.7%	57	11.8%	75	15.5%	2	0.4%	252	52.1%	7	1.4%	23	4.8%	13	2.7%	484	100.0%
Madera	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Marin	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Merced	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Nevada	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	0	0.0%	7	5.9%	6	5.1%	13	11.0%	0	0.0%	82	69.5%	2	1.7%	4	3.4%	4	3.4%	118	100.0%
Placer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	4	6.7%	6	10.0%	0	0.0%	43	71.7%	0	0.0%	4	6.7%	0	0.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	0	0.0%	7	11.7%	2	3.3%	5	8.3%	0	0.0%	40	66.7%	2	3.3%	1	1.7%	3	5.0%	60	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	0	0.0%	6	8.5%	6	8.5%	7	9.9%	0	0.0%	49	69.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
San Diego	0	0.0%	7	5.2%	10	7.5%	14	10.4%	0	0.0%	92	68.7%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	8	6.0%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	5	10.2%	6	12.2%	0	0.0%	28	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	20	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Race/Ethnicity[2] Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Mateo	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	70.8%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	24	100.0%
Santa Barbara	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	17	77.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	0	0.0%	10	13.7%	4	5.5%	5	6.8%	2	2.7%	42	57.5%	1	1.4%	5	6.8%	4	5.5%	73	100.0%
Santa Cruz	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%
Shasta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	12	60.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	17	89.5%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	19	100.0%
Stanislaus	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%
Tehama	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
Ventura	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	24	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	28	100.0%
Yolo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2019. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Responses with Two or More Races
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
Supreme Court	7	**1**	*14.3%*
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
Court of Appeal			
Second District	30	**1**	*3.3%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Fourth District	25	**2**	*8.0%*
Black; Hispanic		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Sixth District	7	**1**	*14.3%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Trial Court			
Alameda	71	**5**	*7.0%*
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		2	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Contra Costa	37	**2**	*5.4%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Fresno	39	**2**	*5.1%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Imperial	10	**1**	*10.0%*
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Kern	31	**1**	*3.2%*
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Responses with Two or More Races
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
Trial Court			
Los Angeles	484	23	4.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native; Black or African American		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Asian; Pacific Islander		3	
Asian; White		4	
Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American, White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		6	
Hispanic or Latino; White; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		3	
Marin	13	1	*7.7%*
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Mariposa	2	1	*50.0%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Mendocino	7	1	*14.3%*
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Monterey	18	2	*11.1%*
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Orange	118	4	*3.4%*
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Asian; Hispanic or Some Other Race		1	
Riverside	60	4	*6.7%*
Asian; White		1	
Black or African American; Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Responses with Two or More Races
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
Trial Court			
Sacramento	60	1	*1.7%*
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
San Benito	2	1	*50.0%*
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
San Bernardino	71	3	*4.2%*
African American; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
San Diego	134	1	*0.7%*
White; Some Other Race		1	
San Mateo	24	1	*4.2%*
Asian; White		1	
Santa Clara	73	5	*6.8%*
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		2	
Santa Cruz	12	1	*8.3%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Solano	20	2	*10.0%*
Asian; Black or African American		1	
Asian; White		1	
Sonoma	19	1	*5.3%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Tulare	20	2	*10.0%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native ; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Ventura	28	1	*3.6%*
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

1. The data reflect responses from courts where one or more judicial officers have indicated that their race/ethnic backgrounds include multiple groups. Only those justices and judges that are active as of December 31, 2019 are included. The tables do not include justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided[2]		Total Respondents[3]	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	7	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	7	*100.0%*
Court of Appeal	80	*78.4%*	2	*2.0%*	1	*1.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	19	*18.6%*	102	*100.0%*
First District	16	*80.0%*	1	*5.0%*	1	*5.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*10.0%*	20	*100.0%*
Second District	28	*93.3%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*6.7%*	30	*100.0%*
Third District	10	*90.9%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	1	*9.1%*	11	*100.0%*
Fourth District	15	*60.0%*	1	*4.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	9	*36.0%*	25	*100.0%*
Fifth District	7	*77.8%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*22.2%*	9	*100.0%*
Sixth District	4	*57.1%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	3	*42.9%*	7	*100.0%*
Trial Court	1,157	*71.3%*	24	*1.5%*	32	*2.0%*	2	*0.1%*	1	*0.1%*	407	*25.1%*	1,623	*100.0%*
Alameda	55	*77.5%*	3	*4.2%*	2	*2.8%*	0	*0.0%*	1	*1.4%*	10	*14.1%*	71	*100.0%*
Alpine	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Amador	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Butte	8	*72.7%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	3	*27.3%*	11	*100.0%*
Calaveras	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Colusa	1	*50.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	1	*50.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Contra Costa	31	*83.8%*	1	*2.7%*	1	*2.7%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	4	*10.8%*	37	*100.0%*
Del Norte	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
El Dorado	7	*87.5%*	1	*12.5%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	8	*100.0%*
Fresno	28	*71.8%*	1	*2.6%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	10	*25.6%*	39	*100.0%*
Glenn	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Humboldt	6	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	6	*100.0%*
Imperial	7	*70.0%*	0	*0.0%*	1	*10.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*20.0%*	10	*100.0%*
Inyo	2	*100.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	2	*100.0%*
Kern	22	*71.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	0	*0.0%*	9	*29.0%*	31	*100.0%*

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided[2]		Total Respondents[3]	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Kings	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Lake	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	327	67.6%	5	1.0%	15	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	137	28.3%	484	100.0%
Madera	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	6	100.0%
Marin	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7	100.0%
Merced	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	18	100.0%
Napa	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Nevada	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	79	66.9%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	31.4%	118	100.0%
Placer	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	43	71.7%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	25.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	38	63.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	35.0%	60	100.0%
San Benito	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	56	78.9%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	11	15.5%	71	100.0%
San Diego	90	67.2%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	38	28.4%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	33	67.3%	3	6.1%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	16	61.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	9	34.6%	26	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	16	66.7%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	25.0%	24	100.0%
Santa Barbara	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	48	65.8%	2	2.7%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	30.1%	73	100.0%
Santa Cruz	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
Shasta	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	10	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided[2]		Total Respondents[3]	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Sierra	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	13	65.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	35.0%	20	100.0%
Sonoma	14	73.7%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	21.1%	19	100.0%
Stanislaus	18	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20	100.0%
Sutter	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Tehama	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
Ventura	18	64.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	35.7%	28	100.0%
Yolo	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2019. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2019.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of judicial officers responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019

Court	Veteran[1]						Disabled[1]					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	**3**	**100%**	**0**	**0%**	**3**	**100%**	**3**	**100%**	**0**	**0%**	**3**	**100%**
Court of Appeal	**39**	**95%**	**2**	**5%**	**41**	**100%**	**40**	**98%**	**1**	**2%**	**41**	**100%**
First District	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%
Second District	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%
Third District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Fourth District	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Fifth District	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sixth District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Trial Court	**582**	**93%**	**45**	**7%**	**627**	**100%**	**616**	**97%**	**16**	**3%**	**632**	**100%**
Alameda	25	96%	1	4%	26	100%	26	100%	0	0%	26	100%
Alpine	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Amador	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Butte	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Calaveras	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Contra Costa	14	82%	3	18%	17	100%	16	94%	1	6%	17	100%
Del Norte	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
El Dorado	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Fresno	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Glenn	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019

Court	Veteran[1]						Disabled[1]					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Humboldt	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Imperial	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Inyo	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Kern	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%
Kings	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Lake	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Lassen	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Los Angeles	179	94%	12	6%	191	100%	188	97%	5	3%	193	100%
Marin	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Mariposa	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Mendocino	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Merced	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
Monterey	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Napa	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	4	80%	1	100%	5	100%
Nevada	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Orange	42	91%	4	9%	46	100%	43	93%	3	7%	46	100%
Placer	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Plumas	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Riverside	28	100%	0	0%	28	100%	28	100%	0	0%	28	100%
Sacramento	12	92%	1	8%	13	100%	13	100%	0	0%	13	100%

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019

Court	Veteran[1]						Disabled[1]					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Benito	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
San Bernardino	23	88%	3	12%	26	100%	25	96%	1	4%	26	100%
San Diego	50	91%	5	9%	55	100%	54	98%	1	2%	55	100%
San Francisco	17	94%	1	6%	18	100%	18	100%	0	0%	18	100%
San Joaquin	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
San Luis Obispo	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%
San Mateo	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Santa Barbara	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Santa Clara	29	97%	1	3%	30	100%	31	100%	0	0%	31	100%
Santa Cruz	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Shasta	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sierra	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Siskiyou	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Solano	8	80%	2	20%	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Sonoma	9	82%	2	18%	11	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Stanislaus	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%
Sutter	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Tehama	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Trinity	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Tulare	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019

Court	Veteran[1]						Disabled[1]					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Tuolumne	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Ventura	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Yolo	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Yuba	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%

1. Tabled values for veteran and disability status include responses from justices and judges new to the bench in calendar years 2014 through 2019, as well as experienced justices and judges who chose to update their demographic information during the same 6 year period. Demographic questions pertaining to veteran and disability status are new as of 2014 and reflect an expansion of the mandate for the collection of demographic information from new justices and judges.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender

Court / Year	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court						
2008	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2009	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2010	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2011	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2012	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2013	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2014	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2015	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2016	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2017	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2018	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2019	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2020	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal						
2008	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2009	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2010	30	29.4%	72	70.6%	102	100.0%
2011	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2012	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2013	31	31.0%	69	69.0%	100	100.0%
2014	29	30.5%	66	69.5%	95	100.0%
2015	31	31.6%	67	68.4%	98	100.0%
2016	30	30.9%	67	69.1%	97	100.0%
2017	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%
2018	33	36.7%	57	63.3%	90	100.0%
2019	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%
2020	41	40.2%	61	59.8%	102	100.0%

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Gender

Court / Year	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%
2008	421	28.1%	1,075	71.9%	1,496	100.0%
2009	430	28.7%	1,068	71.3%	1,498	100.0%
2010	444	29.2%	1,078	70.8%	1,522	100.0%
2011	486	30.6%	1,102	69.4%	1,588	100.0%
2012	484	30.8%	1,085	69.2%	1,569	100.0%
2013	484	31.2%	1,065	68.8%	1,549	100.0%
2014	508	32.2%	1,071	67.8%	1,579	100.0%
2015	499	32.2%	1,052	67.8%	1,551	100.0%
2016	517	32.9%	1,053	67.1%	1,570	100.0%
2017	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
2018	543	34.3%	1,039	65.7%	1,582	100.0%
2019	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
2020	604	37.2%	1,019	62.8%	1,623	100.0%
Total	N	%	N	%	N	%
2008	**453**	**28.3%**	**1,150**	**71.7%**	**1,603**	**100.0%**
2009	**462**	**28.8%**	**1,143**	**71.2%**	**1,605**	**100.0%**
2010	**477**	**29.2%**	**1,154**	**70.8%**	**1,631**	**100.0%**
2011	**522**	**30.8%**	**1,174**	**69.2%**	**1,696**	**100.0%**
2012	**521**	**31.1%**	**1,156**	**68.9%**	**1,677**	**100.0%**
2013	**519**	**31.3%**	**1,137**	**68.7%**	**1,656**	**100.0%**
2014	**541**	**32.2%**	**1,140**	**67.8%**	**1,681**	**100.0%**
2015	**533**	**32.2%**	**1,122**	**67.8%**	**1,655**	**100.0%**
2016	**551**	**32.9%**	**1,123**	**67.1%**	**1,674**	**100.0%**
2017	**566**	**33.6%**	**1,118**	**66.4%**	**1,684**	**100.0%**
2018	**579**	**34.5%**	**1,099**	**65.5%**	**1,678**	**100.0%**
2019	**633**	**36.3%**	**1,110**	**63.7%**	**1,743**	**100.0%**
2020	**648**	**37.4%**	**1,084**	**62.6%**	**1,732**	**100.0%**

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of that year. The 2009 through 2019 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

Note: The changes in percentages from year to year are the result of more than one factor, including: (1) new judicial appointments; (2) judicial retirements; and (3) newly-acquired data from judges on the bench who previously did not provide their demographic information.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Race/Ethnicity[2] Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court																				
2008	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2012	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2013	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2014	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2015	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2018	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
2019	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
2020	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal																				
2008	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	82	82.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	81	81.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	83	81.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%	3	2.9%	102	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	83	79.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.7%	2	1.9%	104	100.0%
2012	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	81	80.2%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	101	100.0%
2013	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0	0.0%	79	79.0%	1	1.0%	6	6.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2014	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	75	78.9%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
2015	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	75	76.5%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	1	1.1%	98	100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	1	1.0%	6	6.2%	1	1.0%	97	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	1.0%	93	100.0%
2018	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	10	11.1%	6	6.7%	0	0.0%	65	72.2%	2	2.2%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	90	100.0%
2019	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%
2020	0	0.0%	6	5.9%	9	8.8%	6	5.9%	0	0.0%	75	73.5%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	102	100.0%

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019[1]

Race/Ethnicity[2] Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only[3]		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided[4]		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Trial Court																				
2008	2	0.1%	67	4.5%	74	4.9%	103	6.9%	2	0.1%	1,076	71.9%	7	0.5%	63	4.2%	102	6.8%	1,496	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	71	4.7%	77	5.1%	110	7.3%	3	0.2%	1,080	72.1%	10	0.7%	58	3.9%	84	5.6%	1,498	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	78	5.1%	81	5.3%	118	7.8%	3	0.2%	1,113	73.1%	15	1.0%	52	3.4%	57	3.7%	1,522	100.0%
2011	6	0.4%	88	5.5%	90	5.7%	134	8.4%	4	0.3%	1,142	71.9%	15	0.9%	52	3.3%	57	3.6%	1,588	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	89	5.7%	91	5.8%	133	8.5%	4	0.3%	1,128	71.9%	18	1.1%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,569	100.0%
2013	7	0.5%	91	5.9%	96	6.2%	133	8.6%	4	0.3%	1,101	71.1%	18	1.2%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,549	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	95	6.0%	99	6.3%	148	9.4%	4	0.3%	1,108	70.2%	18	1.1%	52	3.3%	47	3.0%	1,579	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	97	6.3%	101	6.5%	149	9.6%	4	0.3%	1,079	69.6%	18	1.2%	50	3.2%	45	2.9%	1,551	100.0%
2016	9	0.6%	104	6.6%	101	6.4%	158	10.1%	4	0.3%	1,082	68.9%	19	1.2%	50	3.2%	43	2.7%	1,570	100.0%
2017	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
2018	9	0.6%	116	7.3%	111	7.0%	166	10.5%	4	0.3%	1,067	67.4%	17	1.1%	51	3.2%	41	2.6%	1,582	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
2020	8	0.5%	129	7.9%	124	7.6%	181	11.2%	5	0.3%	1,059	65.2%	16	1.0%	67	4.1%	34	2.1%	1,623	100.0%
Total																				
2008	2	0.1%	71	4.4%	77	4.8%	107	6.7%	2	0.1%	1,162	72.5%	7	0.4%	72	4.5%	103	6.4%	1,603	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	75	4.7%	81	5.0%	114	7.1%	3	0.2%	1,165	72.6%	10	0.6%	67	4.2%	85	5.3%	1,605	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	82	5.0%	84	5.2%	122	7.5%	3	0.2%	1,200	73.6%	15	0.9%	60	3.7%	60	3.7%	1,631	100.0%
2011	6	0.4%	92	5.4%	95	5.6%	139	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,229	72.3%	15	0.9%	60	3.5%	59	3.5%	1,699	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	94	5.6%	96	5.7%	137	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,212	72.3%	19	1.1%	59	3.5%	49	2.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	7	0.4%	96	5.8%	101	6.1%	138	8.3%	4	0.2%	1,183	71.4%	19	1.1%	59	3.6%	49	3.0%	1,656	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	99	5.9%	104	6.2%	153	9.1%	4	0.2%	1,186	70.6%	19	1.1%	60	3.6%	48	2.9%	1,681	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	100	6.0%	108	6.5%	155	9.4%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.9%	19	1.1%	58	3.5%	46	2.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	9	0.5%	108	6.5%	110	6.6%	165	9.9%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.1%	20	1.2%	57	3.4%	44	2.6%	1,674	100.0%
2017	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1,159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	3.4%	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%
2018	9	0.5%	120	7.2%	122	7.3%	173	10.3%	4	0.2%	1,133	67.5%	19	1.1%	57	3.4%	41	2.4%	1,678	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	134	7.7%	134	7.7%	185	10.6%	4	0.2%	1,155	66.3%	18	1.0%	68	3.9%	37	2.1%	1,743	100.0%
2020	8	0.5%	137	7.9%	134	7.7%	188	10.9%	5	0.3%	1,136	65.6%	18	1.0%	72	4.2%	34	2.0%	1,732	100.0%

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of that year. The 2009 through 2019 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges
Relative to Race/Ethnicity
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))
As of December 31, 2019

Race/Ethnicity Categories

The category descriptions are adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000, which for the first time invited respondents to identify more than one category. The same category descriptions were used in Census 2010.

American Indian or Alaska Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

Asian: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent. The category includes persons who indicate their race as Cambodian, Chinese, East Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Thai, or Vietnamese.

Black or African American: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Hispanic or Latino: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.