#### JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

#### INVITATION TO COMMENT

#### SP19-01

Title

Tactical Plan for Technology 2019-2020

 $\label{eq:constraints} \textbf{Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes}$ 

N/A

Proposed by

Information Technology Advisory Committee and Tactical Plan Workstream

Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair

**Action Requested** 

Review and submit comments by March 21,

2019

**Proposed Effective Date** 

TBD (following Judicial Council approval)

Contact

Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair

Information Technology Advisory Committee

shanson@occourts.org

Kathleen Fink, Information Technology

415-865-4094

kathleen.fink@jud.ca.gov

#### **Executive Summary and Origin**

As part of its 2018 Annual Agenda, the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) initiated a workstream to update the Tactical Plan for Technology 2017-2018. After analyzing the *Strategic Plan for Technology 2019-2022* updated goals, evaluating existing initiatives, and incorporating new initiatives, the workstream now requests your input on the initial draft of the updated *Tactical Plan for Technology 2019-2020*.

Please review and respond with your comments by **Thursday**, **March 21 at 5:00 p.m.** You may submit your comments by email to itac@jud.ca.gov.

#### **Background**

The <u>Court Technology Governance and Funding Model 2014-2016</u> directs that the Judicial Council adopt a <u>Tactical Plan for Technology</u> every two years. It assigns the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) the responsibility of developing, seeking input on, and publishing the <u>Tactical Plan for Technology</u>. Building on the <u>Strategic Plan for Technology 2019-2022</u>, the <u>Tactical Plan for Technology 2019-2020</u> describes the focused efforts on technology solutions that further the administration of justice and meet the needs of the people of California.

#### **Summary of Updates**

Like the updated *Strategic Plan for Technology*, this updated *Tactical Plan* is more future-focused and concise so that it is more readable and easier to consume. The existing *Plan* was reviewed and evaluated, assessing which initiatives are completed, which need reorganization, and which should be extended into the new plan. Additionally, the team evaluated new themes based on emerging technologies in the branch and input from stakeholders and leadership. Two initiatives were completed and four were removed from the Plan and incorporated into other initiatives or operational processes. One initiative was reorganized into two initiatives and five new initiatives were introduced. A summary of the status of each initiative is included in the *Tactical Plan for Technology 2019-2020* appendix.

#### Request to Review and Provide Input

The Tactical Plan Workstream requests your review and input on the draft *Tactical Plan for Technology 2019-2020* by March 21, 2019. We value your input and believe that it is critical to incorporate feedback from throughout the branch, our judicial partners, and the public. The future is being built upon the success of local and branchwide innovation and leadership. You may contact Kathleen Fink or me directly if you have specific questions that you wish to discuss.



# Tactical Plan for Technology

2019-2020



#### CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL BRANCH

# Tactical Plan for Technology

2019-2020

Information Technology Advisory Committee

Tactical Plan Update Workstream
\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2019

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction	3
Executive Summary of Tactical Plan	4
Tactical Plan	5
Technology Initiatives to Promote the Digital Court	5
Case Management System Migration and Deployment	5
Expansion of Electronic Court Record Management	7
Language Access Technology	9
Remote Video Appearance	11
Self-Help Electronic Services	13
Statewide Electronic Filing Program Development and Deployment	15
Digital Evidence Management	17
Branchwide Identity Management	19
Data Analytics and Business Intelligence	21
Enterprise Resource Management	23
Online Dispute Resolution Investigation	25
Technology Initiatives to Innovate Through IT Community	26
Expand Collaboration Within the Branch IT Community	26
Technology Initiatives to Advance IT Security and Infrastructure	28
LAN/WAN Infrastructure	28
Transition to Next-Generation Branchwide Hosting Model Phase II	30
Disaster Recovery Phase II	32
Branchwide Information Security Roadmap	34
Technology Initiatives to Promote Rule and Legislative Changes	36
Identify New Policy, Rule, and Legislative Changes	36
Appendixes	38
Appendix A: Tactical Plan Update Workstream Members	38
Appendix B: Initiative Summary and Progress	39

#### INTRODUCTION

Technology touches every Californian. It has a profound impact on the way we interact with organizations and on the way those organizations do their work. The pace of technological change can be staggering, and new systems are forever threatening to disrupt the old. But that disruption also brings opportunities, if we do the work necessary to capture them.

The California judicial branch is committed to embracing technological change to improve the way it does business. That change rarely comes easily, requiring significant time and money to put new technologies in place. Those resources are even more significant than they otherwise might be, given the size of California's court system, which has over 2,000 judicial officers, has roughly 19,000 employees, and processes 6.2 million cases every year. Also complicating any new technology is the diversity of California's courts, which range from a two-judge court serving a population of just over 1,000 to a court with 580 judicial officers serving a population exceeding 10 million.

Against that backdrop, the Tactical Plan Update Workstream is proud to present the *Tactical Plan for Technology 2019–2020*. The tactical plan is one of the documents guiding the judicial branch's technology planning. The *Strategic Plan for Technology* establishes a four-year plan, focused on promoting the digital court, with measurable goals that align with the judicial branch's overall strategic vision and plan. This *Tactical Plan for Technology* describes the focused efforts that are in use to improve the way that courts serve the public.

This document explains the technology initiatives of the judicial branch and why they are important. Some initiatives are focused primarily on making courts more efficient. Many others are designed to improve access to California's courts. We are also working on improving information technology (IT) security, eliminating barriers to innovation, and building a robust and reliable technological infrastructure. These objectives are not mutually exclusive; in fact, most of the initiatives meet several objectives. The initiatives are all guided by the principles reflected in the *Strategic Plan for Technology*.

Effective innovation requires the branch to foster collaborative relationships, stay flexible as technologies emerge, and remember why we need technology: not as an end in itself, but to improve judicial administration and access to justice. The initiatives contained in the *Tactical Plan for Technology* uniformly reflect those core values and the judicial branch's commitment to improving the lives of everyone it serves. Building on these aspirations, our future is bright.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF TACTICAL PLAN**

# 1 🛍

#### **Promote the Digital Court**

Increase access to the courts, administer timely and efficient justice by supporting a foundation for the digital court and by implementing comprehensive digital services for public and justice partners.

- \* Case Management System Migration and Deployment
- \* Expansion of Electronic Court Record Management
- \* Language Access Technology
- \* Remote Video Appearance
- \* Self-Help Electronic Services
- \* Statewide Electronic Filing Program Development and Deployment
- \* Digital Evidence Management
- \* Branchwide Identity Management
- \* Data Analytics and Business Intelligence
- \* Enterprise Resource Management
- \* Online Dispute Resolution Investigation



#### **Innovate through IT Community**

Maximize its ability to innovate by strengthening and broadening its IT Community through collaboration, education, and employment strategies, to leverage innovative solutions and resources to drive technological change.

\* Expand Collaboration Within the Branch IT Community



#### **Advance IT Security and Infrastructure**

Invest in a secure, scalable and reliable technology infrastructure as a foundation to providing digital services and public access, while maintaining a focus on privacy protections and security.

- \* LAN/WAN Infrastructure
- \* Transition to Next-Generation Branchwide Hosting Model Phase II
- \* Disaster Recovery Phase II
- \* Branchwide Information Security Roadmap



#### **Promote Rule and Legislative Change**

Promote the modernization of statutes, rules, and procedures to facilitate use of technology in court operations and delivery of court services.

\* Identify New Policy, Rule, and Legislative Changes

#### TACTICAL PLAN

#### **Technology Initiatives to Promote the Digital Court**

#### Case Management System Migration and Deployment

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Although most trial courts have deployed, or are in the process of deploying, new CMSs, some courts still have legacy systems that need to be replaced. The goal is to identify trial court CMS strategies and solutions and enable courts to implement them.

With the objective of providing effective CMS options for courts to use in procurement and on behalf of the trial courts, the Judicial Council issued and evaluated a request for proposal (RFP) for CMS vendors. Four vendors were selected, and master service agreements (MSAs) are in the negotiation phase, with an estimated completion date of early 2019.

#### **Benefits**

- Builds the foundation for the digital court branchwide.
- Enables the potential for online access for all participants in the justice process –
  judicial officers, research attorneys, judicial assistants, local and state justice partners,
  private attorneys and public users for faster and easier access to authorized case
  records and documents for reading, research, review and downloading of materials.
- Lowers costs to store and retrieve documents electronically through a CMS.
- Enables integration with state and local justice partner systems.
- Provides the public with ease-of-use functionality such as electronic filing and acceptance of online payments.
- Enables management reporting to make data-driven decisions.
- Improves operational efficiencies by automating processes, critical for optimizing branch resources and providing the public greater access to the courts.
- Allows groups of courts using the same CMS to take advantage of economies of scale, as well as best practices.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Enable replacing trial court legacy CMSs across the branch with new, modern systems for all case types.
- Provide multiple MSAs for courts to leverage and options for procuring CMS services.
- Collaborate on, track status of, and support modern CMS deployments for all courts.
- Support courts in completing CMS deployment projects funded by Budget Change Proposals (BCPs).
- Obtain funding in FY 2019–20 for 10 superior courts (in Amador, Colusa, Contra Costa, Lassen, Marin, Mariposa, Mono, Nevada, Shasta, and Solano Counties) to replace their legacy CMSs.
- Staff positions to provide CMS and MSA assistance to the trial courts.

 Support CMS user groups in leveraging lessons learned from CMS deployments and migrations, both completed and in progress.

#### **Considerations**

- Funding through the BCP process for FY 2019–20.
- Deployment schedules, which depend on availability of vendor resources.
- Timing to replace legacy systems, which depends on local court staffing resources.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

Deployment of new case management systems.

- Ongoing licensing, maintenance, and support of new case management systems.
- Staff resources to provide support to the trial courts related to CMS services/MSAs.

#### Expansion of Electronic Court Record Management

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

To receive the full benefit and efficiencies of electronic filing and a digital court record, a court must transition from current paper-based case files to electronic case files. To do so, a court's case management system (CMS) must integrate with a document management system (DMS) or enterprise content management system (ECMS). DMSs and ECMSs provide for a true digital court record or paper-on-demand environment with configurable workflows and other operational benefits. Although modern case management systems include an integrated DMS, extending existing case management systems with digital court record solutions such as a DMS or ECMS, where feasible, may be another option in circumstances where case volume and workload do not require the efficiencies generally garnered from the implementation of a new CMS.

DMSs and ECMSs also provide support and operational efficiencies for court administration (e.g., fiscal, facilities, human resources [HR], procurement, and others). To leverage the benefits of a digital court record, a court's historical records may be converted to a digital format.

#### **Benefits**

- Improves workforce efficiency through faster routing and simultaneous review of case documents.
- Eliminates the risk of lost or misplaced physical files by providing centrally stored, managed, and protected electronic files accessible by authorized personnel.
- Promotes uniformity in document processing.
- Eliminates the need for manual reminders and file-tracking tasks, promoting instead the use of automated electronic processes.
- Improves court disaster recovery (DR) and continuity of operations.
- Reduces physical document storage needs, and simplifies the document purging process.
- Decrease reliance on physical, outdated microfilm/fiche viewing equipment.
- Expands options for public access.
- Promotes greater and more convenient self-service.
- Decreases copy fees.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Identify opportunities for acquisition and integration of DMS and/or ECMS with existing branch and local CMSs, and for administrative use at both branch and local court levels.
- Monitor and provide input on implementation of the DMS for the current Appellate Court Case Management System.
- Identify the most efficient and cost-effective models to transition from paper case files and filmed archival records (e.g. microfilm/fiche).
- Leverage branchwide MSAs for DMS software procurement and digitization of court case records.

 Develop educational sessions and training materials for courts transitioning from paper and filmed archival records to electronically accessible case files.

#### **Considerations**

- Funding and resource allocation for digitization programs and services.
- Court business process reengineering.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

- Hardware, software, and services for DMS or ECMS implementation at identified courts.
- Hardware, software, and services for document digitization implementation at identified courts.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

Annual maintenance; periodic software and hardware upgrades.

#### Language Access Technology

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

In August 2013, Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye announced Access 3D, her vision for improving access to justice for all Californians. It involves physical, remote, and equal access to the justice system, including the need for courts to serve people of all languages, abilities, and needs, in keeping with California's diversity.

In 2015, the Judicial Council adopted the <u>Strategic Plan for Language Access in the California Courts</u>, which recommends the appropriate use of technology throughout the branch to enhance language access. More than 200 languages are spoken in the California courts, and the state has 7 million limited-English-proficient (LEP) residents and potential court users. Efforts to enhance language access for all LEP court users through projects including Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) and Voice-to-Text Language Services are critical components of this vision.

VRI uses video conferencing technology to provide court users with a qualified interpreter, when an onsite interpreter is not readily available. The vision for the Voice-to-Text Language Services project is to allow court staff outside the courtroom to conduct court business with an LEP stakeholder via the use of automated translation technologies.

#### **Benefits**

- Provides additional access to justice for LEP customers through technology.
- Offers the ability to scale language services and access to language services via technology.
- Provides increased and timely access to the justice system while streamlining some of the current logistics for arranging or interacting with language services.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Expand remote availability of certified and registered court interpreter services.
- Expand the use of remote video outside the courtroom (e.g., at counters, self-help centers, family law facilitators' offices, and mediation facilities).
- Assist non-English speakers in their interactions with court staff outside the courtroom using software and hardware voice-recognition and translation technologies.

#### **Considerations**

- Language services leveraging technology must be measurable to validate that technical solutions are effective.
- Need to focus on the management of change when adding, replacing, or supplementing solutions.
- Language services solutions provided over the Internet require sufficient bandwidth
  and fidelity so as not to affect the quality or accuracy of the language services being
  provided.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

 Hardware, software, and telecommunications infrastructure, if not currently available.

- Annual maintenance, lease, licensing, or expenses for hardware and software.
- Hosted, cloud-based solutions, which may require an annual subscription or consumption-based expense.

#### Remote Video Appearance

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

The Commission on the Future of California's Court System sought practical ways to effectively adjudicate cases, achieve greater fiscal stability for the branch, and use technology to enhance the public's access to its courts. One of the key recommendations in the final report was to move forward with remote video appearance for most noncriminal court proceedings: "The option to attend court proceedings remotely should ultimately be available for all noncriminal case types and appearances, and for all witnesses, parties, and attorneys in courts across the state." Taking up the charge from earlier local pilots of remote video appearances in Fresno and San Bernardino Counties, as authorized by rule 4.220 of the California Rules of Court, this initiative was established to identify and conduct mock remote video hearings using web conferencing systems for specific hearing types as a proof of concept in a court.

#### **Benefits**

- Provides visual information not available in an audio-only proceeding.
- Gives participants more options for appearance locations, possibly including from their homes or workplaces.
- Saves participants time, cost of travel, and the need to miss work or arrange childcare.
- Provides easier access for those with physical disabilities or who live far from the courthouse.
- Provides individuals in custody the ability to appear remotely in civil matters, reducing costs for the state and the person in custody.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Create a team from a diverse group of courts, including participants in the Video Remote Interpreting Pilot Workstream and Remote Video Court Innovation Grants.
- Develop a set of business and technical issues for investigation, including review of earlier, local court projects.
- Review alternative approaches to the various topic areas—procedures, evidence, rules, and technology—including but not limited to user technical requirements, evidence exchange, and presentation rules.
- Conduct at least one mock hearing to crystalize findings.
- Submit an initial report and propose a pilot program, if warranted.

#### **Considerations**

- Funding for initial start-up and ongoing operation.
- Willingness of the court and parties to litigate in a nontraditional manner.
- Collaboration and cooperation with other advisory committees and working groups.
- Collaboration and cooperation with other stakeholders (e.g., interpreters, bar associations).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of California, *Report to the Chief Justice: Commission on the Future of California's Court System* (Futures Commission; 2017), p. 223.

The need for changes to legislation or rules of court.

#### **Potential Funding Requirements**

#### One-Time Costs

- Hardware, software, and telecommunications infrastructure, if not currently available.
- Bandwidth/network upgrades, if required.

- Annual maintenance, lease, and licensing for hardware and software.
- Potential staffing, depending on the workload created by the solutions.

#### Self-Help Electronic Services

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Each day, thousands of Californian's research or seek information that will enable them to resolve a legal issue. In California, more than 4.3 million people per year come to court without an attorney and more than 75 percent of civil cases have at least one party without an attorney. The trend of Californians representing themselves in court, as well as the expectation that they can conduct court business online, is increasing.

The vision of statewide self-help electronic services (e-services) is to enable Californians to access the courts more effectively online, instead of *in* line. A new statewide portal will provide comprehensive, interactive, step-by-step instructions that will integrate with new digital services, such as online chat and intelligent forms assembly, to improve access to the justice system.

A new statewide self-help portal aims to deliver an end-to-end "customer journey" for site visitors, beginning with instructional information, moving on to document assembly, and culminating with e-filing or e-submission through the portal. A key component of the portal will be intelligent chat technology to assist users in navigating the legal system and provide real-time support as they fill out legal forms.

#### **Benefits**

- Enhances service delivery through remote technology, reducing the need for court visits, additional staffing, and space requirements.
- Better informs and prepares litigants for visiting court self-help centers and their hearings.
- Provides the ability to achieve economies of scale and leverage up-to-date statewide instructional materials and resources.
- Can introduce electronic tools to reduce the error rate of submitted and filed legal forms and documents.
- Allows greater online interaction between self-represented litigants (SRLs) and court systems.
- Offers more convenience and greater access to the justice system.
- Provides access to the court any time, resulting in more user satisfaction and fewer missed work hours and delays caused by needing to travel to court during regular business hours.
- Enhances digital services by integrating self-help resources with trial court systems.
- Offers intelligent chat software to provide answers to frequently asked questions and assistance in completing Judicial Council forms, thereby helping customers get answers to questions in a timely manner.
- Supports mobile-optimized self-help via smart phones and tablets.
- Furnishes more online services to reduce trips to courthouses.
- Improves satisfaction with the court experience overall.

#### Goals and Objectives

Assess court readiness, maturity, and current self-help e-services offerings.

- Determine and validate self-help and SRL needs and court requirements.
- Develop an RFP for self-help e-services solutions to solicit vendors and identify initial costs.
- Pilot self-help e-services with one or more courts and expand based on pilot evaluation.
- Building on the results of the Intelligent Forms Phase I Workstream, establish next steps to provide more effective assistance to the public in filing Judicial Council forms.
- Develop a Request for Information for intelligent chat technologies as part of the Intelligent Chat Phase I Workstream.

#### **Considerations**

- Integration with related initiatives, including e-filing and identity management.
- A multitude of existing self-help resources at the branch and local court levels that could be coordinated and leveraged. Smart forms have already been developed for many Judicial Council forms, and document assembly software is licensed at the branch level.
- Courts committing to engage in the prototype or pilot and later phases.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

• Initial design, testing, development, and deployment, based on a phased rollout.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

 Maintenance of new e-services, and maintenance and updating of forms, information, resources, and instructional materials.

### Statewide Electronic Filing Program Development and Deployment

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Electronic filing (e-filing) and storage of court documents is becoming a standard method for people to interact with the courts. E-filing requires courts use an e-filing manager (EFM) and e-filing service provider (EFSP) to route documents between filers and courts: Individual users use an EFSP to submit documents to the EFM. The EFM, in effect, acts as a bridge between the EFSP and the court. This program will establish a statewide standards-based e-filing environment that employs multiple EFMs to ensure a competitive marketplace and give courts the ability to select a vendor that best suits their individual needs.

The statewide Electronic Filing Workstream has been underway since January 2015. It has established an e-filing framework and selected three EFM vendors—Journal Technologies, Tyler Technologies, and ImageSoft—to service California's trial courts. In this model, EFSPs will need to be certified and be required to work with all statewide EFMs.

The statewide e-filing ecosystem will use the <u>Electronic Court Filing/National Information</u> <u>Exchange Model</u> (ECF/NIEM) standards, published by the <u>Organization for the Advancement</u> of Structured Information Standards (OASIS).

Although courts are not required to use an EFSP, many will choose this route because the EFSP will shoulder much of the workload, from training users to providing technical support for e-filing transactions.

#### **Benefits**

- Offers the ability for documents in all case types to be electronically filed and stored.
- Greatly reduces case and document data entry workload for court clerks.
- Increases accuracy of the data in court case management systems.
- Provides the ability to electronically sign documents that are e-filed.
- Automates a portion of the "clerk review" validation process.
- Facilitates electronic payment of court filing fees.
- Reduces the financial data entry workload for court staff related to filing fees.
- Enables users to send electronic notices and receive open/read confirmation.
- Allows documents to be submitted to the court electronically at any time.
- Offers the ability to pay court fees electronically.
- Enables receipt of electronic notifications from the court.
- Provides the ability to serve other case participants electronically.
- Facilitates remote access to court case documents.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Develop a strategy for an e-filing program implementation.
- Publish an implementation plan for trial courts participating in the statewide e-filing program.
- Establish the standards for integration between EFSPs, EFMs, and courts.
- Coordinate branchwide efforts to integrate EFMs and EFSPs.

- Implement an identity and access management (IAM) solution.
- Establish an e-filing program governance structure.
- Establish an escalation process for resolution of issues between principal stakeholders (EFSPs, EFMs, and courts).
- Implement the e-filing program for pilot courts.

#### **Considerations**

- Service provider agreements must adhere to the *Judicial Branch Contracting Manual*.
- A court's CMS strategy (current or future) must be considered in conjunction with its strategy for e-filing.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

 Court staff time to design the new procedures for handling electronic caseflow and filing fee management.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

 Judicial Council staff time to administer the statewide shared e-filing program components.

#### Digital Evidence Management

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

A statewide survey conducted in 2018 provided insight into the use of digital evidence in the courts. Results from the survey indicate that there would be a business benefit to identify a common set of processes and technology to accept, store, or retain digital evidence. Courts that have received requests to accept digital evidence require that the evidence be transferred into a physical format, such as CD, DVD, or flash drive. Courts and justice partners expressed interest in establishing statewide guidelines, as well as technology recommendations and solutions, to address this growing evidentiary medium.

A review of existing rules and statutes identified an opportunity to establish statewide standards in certain areas. Courts can leverage effective practices for managing digital evidence and share their policies and processes to make them available branchwide. Technology solutions are available or entering the market, providing opportunities for more efficient management of digital evidence in electronic form.

#### **Benefits**

- Minimizes the requirement for paper or other physical evidence, where a digital copy is available.
- Provides consistency, efficiency, and security of practices for accepting, storing, presenting, and retaining digital evidence.
- Offers recommendations for equipment and services for securely accepting, storing, and retaining digital evidence.
- Minimizes the cost and effort by establishing master services agreements for the branch.
- Reduces the need and cost for physical storage.
- Provides greater consistency and predictability across courts for litigants (including self-represented litigants), attorneys, and the public.
- Minimizes the requirement for paper or other physical evidence, where a digital copy is available.
- Efficiently uses technology to securely share and view digital evidence.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Propose changes to rules and statutes to assist courts with accepting and managing digital evidence and identifying potential standards and formats.
- Propose statewide recommendations on best practices for accepting, maintaining, securing, accessing, retaining, and managing digital evidence.
- Ensure that recommendations consider both trial court and appellate court requirements.
- Circulate draft statute and rule revisions, suggested business practices, and technical standards for comment, and then publish them.
- Revise the *Trial Court Records Manual* to reflect revisions of statutes, rules, and recommended policies and business practices.
- Seek legislation, as needed.

 Research and recommend existing technology and services available in the market that would support acceptance, storage, transmission, and protection of digital evidence.

#### **Considerations**

 Rule and statute changes should support the strategy and roadmap of proposed electronic court initiatives.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

- Potential consulting assistance regarding possible technical standards.
- Hosting of a "Digital Evidence Summit" event to discuss options and potential solutions.
- Modification of existing document or case management systems to accept, store, and provide access to digital exhibits.
- Acquisition of technology solutions.

- Greater hardware storage capacity for digital evidence, possibly including associated storage and retrieval software.
- Maintenance of technology solutions.
- Ongoing implementation of new policies and business practices by court staff.

#### Branchwide Identity Management

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Branchwide identity management provides individual court users with the means to authorize and authenticate themselves using a single user identity as they interact with online court services. In addition to single sign-on, it enables the appropriate authorized access level for each of the online court services for those individual users.

As courts move further into the digital world, transactions that once required a visit to the courthouse can now be done remotely through online or mobile court services and third-party service providers. Transactions such as e-filing a document, accessing case information remotely, or making a reservation for services at the court (e.g., a self-help workshop) are enhanced (or allowed) depending on confidence that the online user is known. Connecting a person's online and physical identity is accomplished with an identity management program.

Establishing a central location to securely store and manage online identities will also allow individual users to access services across many courts and, potentially, justice partners from different jurisdictions. The efficiencies gained by a centralized identify management platform will improve the experience for all court users and allow courts to fully realize the power of transitioning to the digital world.

Identity and access management (IAM) has three core capabilities:

- **Identity Management:** The baseline platform that supports IAM services and includes a unique online digital identity; the ability to capture, store, and share identity information (directory services); and the ability to manage the identity over time.
- Access Management: The process of controlling and granting access to services.
   Features include single sign-on, the ability to establish trust and federation across organizations (for certain types of identities), the ability to grant or revoke access (user entitlement management), and auditability.
- **Authentication and Authorization:** The processes to manage login, sharing access attributes and directory information within and across service providers.

Identity and Access Management, in conjunction with third-party services, will allow the courts to enable many remote services.

#### **Benefits**

- Protects the individual and the court from unintended access to court resources and services and restricts access to personal information.
- Improves user experience through single sign-on across a multitude of courts and services.
- Allows an individual user to access services across several courts and services without needing to establish separate online identities.
- Improves user experience as data is shared between authorized service providers.

 Increases productivity for the court, justice partners, and litigants as users become more self-sufficient in completing tasks.

#### Goals and Objectives

The branchwide identity management underlying technology has already been selected. The goals and objectives of this phase focus on policy and implementation.

#### **Policy**

- Develop a governance structure for courts to use in identity and access management.
- Identify and document policies (statewide and court specific) required to effectively implement an identity-management capability.
- Develop identity-information sharing guidelines between service providers.

#### **Implementation**

- Develop implementation guidance for courts and vendors.
- Establish a process to ensure that service providers adhere to judicial branch requirements.
- Manage legacy vendors (CMS vendors for case access, e-filing managers for EFSP) and their transition to the branch identity solution.
- Implement and deploy new services enabled by identity management.
- Identify and define a funding model to cover ongoing user identity and authorization costs.

#### **Considerations**

- Service providers must adhere to the branchwide identity solution to realize benefits.
- Public identities must be managed centrally to ensure the greatest access to services.
- Justice partner identities are greatly simplified with local court and county adoption of Microsoft Office 365 or Microsoft Azure identity services.
- Identity proofing (linking an online and a physical identity) may require local court effort.
- Authorization to some services—such as person-centric docketing of events in the court's case management system—may require local court effort.

#### **Potential Funding Requirements**

#### One-Time Costs

- Court staff to implement and integrate identity management capabilities into court legacy applications.
- Vendor consulting to implement and integrate identity management capabilities into their services.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

Identity services for users and authentication.

#### Data Analytics and Business Intelligence

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Data analytics is the process by which information or data is collected and analyzed to draw conclusions and make business recommendations. Although in the past analyzing data has been a time-consuming activity, in today's electronic age, technical advancements in data analytics tools have made the process easier and more accessible, reliable, and digestible than ever before. Advanced data analytics tools combined with other court-related advances (e.g., modern case management systems, electronic filing, electronic juror summons, human resources platforms, inexpensive storage, computing power, and cloud technology) create an opportunity for the judicial branch to use data to enhance its business practices and operations and make data-driven decisions. The key to success is determining what data is relevant, gathering that data, and translating it into understandable visuals that can provide greater insight into court services and management for all judicial branch entities (JBEs).

#### **Benefits**

Data analytics can help inform, enhance, and transform the way we operate to increase access to justice, provide fair and timely case resolution, and improve court operations, regardless of jurisdiction. The following are some examples demonstrating how data analytics could benefit the courts and those who use or interface with the courts:

- Clarifies litigation and appellate trends that demonstrate resource needs or suggest internal reallocation of court resources through analysis of existing statewide reporting data (e.g., Judicial Branch Statistical Information System filings).
- Helps organize resources to meet the needs of self-represented litigants through analysis of self-help services provided based upon demand, location, case type, and language.
- Facilitates organization and scheduling of interpreters and court reporters to promote increased language access and compliance with legal reporting requirements through analysis of interpreter and court reporter requests.
- Helps courts more accurately analyze juror summons rates, potentially saving state residents substantial time and transportation costs through analysis of juror summons, response, and usage rates.
- Helps courts predict and track vacancies and better plan for the associated management and budgetary impacts through analysis of human resources data.
- Provides a mechanism to perform what-if analysis on potential changes in legislation, alternative business practices, and model policies.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Create data collection, retention, sharing, reporting, and destruction standards that can be adopted by JBEs.
- Create both local and branchwide data analytics governance models to facilitate the consistent and intentional use of data analytics, as well as public disclosure of analytics results, where appropriate.

- Consider potential local and statewide rule amendments or legislative proposals to clarify appropriate data analytics collection, governance, and publication.
- Investigate appropriate data analytics solutions for the branch and develop associated data analytics training and implementation resources.
- Consider a potential statewide Request for Proposal (RFP) or Master Services
  Agreement (MSA) for data analytics products, software, and services, and make
  related proposals, if appropriate.
- Identify and prioritize areas of focus that might be appropriate subjects of a data analytics pilot program.

#### **Considerations**

- Data analytics depends on the accuracy and reliability of the data being gathered.
   Currently, data collection capabilities vary. Leveraging common business processes would contribute to more effective data analytics efforts.
- Local court technology resources and their skills vary throughout the branch. Many courts do not have the personnel or funding to engage in meaningful data analytics efforts. For that reason, clear, low-cost implementation opportunities, support resources, and associated funding will be necessary for any widespread analytics work within the branch.
- This initiative should leverage exploratory work being performed by the Superior Court of Orange County through a related Court Innovation Grant.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

- Software, hardware, and services for data analytics tools.
- Staff training to implement appropriate data collection and analytics practices.

- Software and hardware maintenance.
- Software programming and integration services for effective implementation of data analytics tools.
- Staffing to support data collection and analytics.

#### Enterprise Resource Management

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems are designed to manage core business processes. The vendors that design these applications regularly invest heavily in research and development of new technologies and incorporate industrywide best practices into their software. Taking advantage of these advancements will improve court efficiencies.

This initiative focuses only on ERP systems managed by the Judicial Council. Examples of existing systems include:

- Phoenix System (the financial system for all statewide trial courts as well as the human resources application for a growing number of courts)
- Human Resources and Education Management System (the primary human resources application and education tracking system for the Supreme Court and appellate courts, Habeas Corpus Resource Center, and Commission on Judicial Performance)
- Computer-Aided Facilities Management System (the system supporting courts and Judicial Council of California facilities, lease, and maintenance management)

Judicial Council ERP systems are essential for supporting day-to-day core business operations. They provide vital services to the branch for managing staff, financial, and facilities resources. These mission-critical support systems must be kept up-to-date for supportability and to incorporate new functionality to address changing business needs.

#### **Benefits**

- Maintains investment in ERP systems, ensuring stability, security, and better integration.
- Supports deployment of new technology (for example, mobile and cloud) and enhanced functionality (for example, self-service and data analytics).
- Introduces economies of scale with implementation as well as the ability to leverage existing enterprise agreements.
- Collects data for more-informed decisions.

#### Goals and Objectives

The overall goal is to manage and maintain ERP systems managed by the Judicial Council. This goal supports the judicial branch strategic Goal III, Modernization of Management and Administration, and Goal VI, Branchwide Infrastructure for Service Excellence.<sup>2</sup>

#### Short-Term

 Assess current systems and the required ongoing investments needed to keep these investments protected.

 Develop three to five-year roadmaps and analyze related functionality to support business and technological needs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Justice in Focus:* <u>The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch 2006–2016</u> (2014), p. 13.

- Define funding needs and avenues for funding.
- Determine ongoing staffing required to support changing technology needs and evolving business processes.

#### Long-Term

- Identify new technologies and functionality that can be incorporated into Judicial Council managed ERP systems.
- Look for economies of scale to incorporate local court functionality, as appropriate.

#### **Considerations**

- Leveraging ERPs requires an examination of business processes and flexibility in standardizing these processes.
- The move towards more standardized business processes requires strong change management.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

- Acquisition of software products and hardware/equipment.
- Services related to hosting and consulting.
- Potential upgrades for technology infrastructure.
- Project implementation activities, such as travel and training.
- Purchase or development of required system or application interfaces.

- Staffing or services to design, develop, deploy, and maintain functionality as defined by the branch.
- Maintenance for software products and equipment.
- Continued training.
- Maintenance associated with interfaces.

#### Online Dispute Resolution Investigation

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 1: Promote the Digital Court

#### Description

New developments in technology have resulted in the increased use of online tools to resolve disputes. Online dispute resolution (ODR) provides a mechanism for individuals and entities to negotiate and settle disagreements without the need for in-person negotiation, mediation, arbitration, or formal court proceedings. ODR is a form of alternative dispute resolution that makes the most of existing technology.

Research shows that ODR has the potential to maximize the use of time, money, and other resources. Some courts in the United States and abroad, including California, have been testing and implementing various ODR solutions. ODR should continue to be explored for the California judicial branch.

#### Benefits

- Resolves disputes effectively and in a timely manner.
- Automates routine processes.
- Provides electronic recordkeeping.
- Offers another approach for parties to resolve their disputes.
- Increases access to justice.
- Adds the convenience of remote online access.
- Has the potential to maximize the use of time, money, and other resources.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Identify and evaluate available ODR technologies and potential scenarios in which ODR might benefit the judicial branch and its customers.
- Assess courts for one or more ODR pilot programs.

#### **Considerations**

- Usability, efficiency, and availability of ODR options.
- Possible need for amendments to existing laws and rules.
- Court business process reconfiguration.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-time Costs

Hardware, software, and resources for ODR implementation at pilot courts.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

Annual maintenance; periodic software and hardware upgrades.

# Technology Initiatives to Innovate Through IT Community Expand Collaboration Within the Branch IT Community

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 2: Innovate Through IT Community

#### Description

The California courts are diverse in terms of geography and population, yet our similarities exceed our differences. The collective resources and talents throughout the branch can be best leveraged by using accessible collaboration platforms, with the goal of reducing individual courts' efforts to independently research and develop common solutions. Sharing innovations and best practices across the branch allows us to develop and deploy technology solutions that more effectively administer and provide access to justice.

As the pace of technology changes continues to accelerate and the expectation of what technology can do for court stakeholders increases, the entire judicial branch must expand and strengthen its ability to innovate through its IT community via collaboration, education, and employment strategies.

#### **Benefits**

- Facilitates adoption of more digital services by more courts in a repeatable fashion, offering more consistent services throughout the branch.
- Streamlines project management and delivery for projects with justice agencies through multiple courts working together with those justice agencies, developing solutions with mutual benefit.
- Offers more efficient access across the branch to project, policy, and best practices documents via a shared collaboration platform.
- Improves hiring and development practices for technology staff by sharing successful HR strategies and practices across the branch.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Increase collaboration throughout the branch by sharing work products in an
  accessible manner to reduce the time spent researching solutions (e.g., transition to
  electronic case files).
- Evaluate existing HR strategies to ensure that the branch is proactively hiring and developing technologically savvy staff.
- Work as a community to identify and fill the resource gaps for hard-to-find, skilled staff resources throughout the branch.
- Pursue educational opportunities that support technology adoption for all levels of court staff, including technical staff and judicial officers.
- Support user groups in leveraging lessons learned from software deployments, both completed and in progress.
- Work as a community to identify enterprise Master Service Agreements (MSAs) and Leveraged Purchase Agreements (LPAs) that benefit the branch.

#### **Considerations**

- Commitment throughout the branch to work together to leverage resources and solve common problems.
- Partnership with branch HR professionals to ensure that required skillsets include technology-related skills and perspectives.
- Partnership with the Center for Judicial Education and Research and the Information Technology Advisory Committee to include technology-related topics, education, and tools in existing and new education strategies.
- Continued judicial and executive sponsorship of IT leadership development and participation in training opportunities.
- Continued Judicial Council IT program support and facilitation for IT community forums.
- Access to technical experts and expertise across the branch.
- Funding for recommended strategies.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time

- Judicial Council establishment of a branch collaboration solution.
- Consultative support for recommendations on evaluating HR strategies that support a technology-savvy workforce.

#### **Ongoing**

- Judicial Council program support, as needed.
- Training opportunities and forums, including travel for in-person IT-related forums.
- Judicial Council IT maintenance and licensing of branch collaboration solutions.

## Technology Initiatives to Advance IT Security and Infrastructure LAN/WAN Infrastructure

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 3: Advance IT Security and Infrastructure

#### Description

The Telecommunications LAN/WAN Program provides a portfolio of services for all courts. Program projects focus on modernizing branchwide wireless infrastructure to support mobile apps and services while optimizing LAN/WAN infrastructure and architecture to support data analytics and cloud-based applications and services. Additional focus areas include implementing infrastructure for cost-effective and reliable Internet service at all courts – including those in remote locations where connectivity options are limited – and offering managed security services that provide a layered defense against threats to availability, integrity, and confidentiality of court data.

#### **Benefits**

- Increases coverage and capacity for wireless and mobile devices, applications, and IoT (Internet of things).
- Proactively identifies and closes gaps in maintenance coverage.
- Enhances accuracy in budget projections.
- Provides a stronger defense against threats to court information and services as court technology and use of mobile devices expand.
- Increases availability and improves performance for remote online access to court services, data analytics, and cloud-based applications and services.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Create a branchwide inventory/asset management system for network equipment.
- Increase LAN backbone speeds as equipment is refreshed and court cabling permits.
- Upgrade routing, switching, and security infrastructure to support the increase of Internet and WAN bandwidth required for remote access to court services, data analytics, and cloud-based applications and services.
- Provide reliable Internet access for all courts.
- Modernize Wi-Fi infrastructure to better support mobile devices, mobile apps, and IoT.
- Develop standards for cloud connectivity and WAN failover.
- Extend the footprint of new managed security services to additional seats/courts.

#### **Considerations**

The current funding source for the LAN/WAN program, the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund, is operating at a structural deficit and may be unable to provide complete funding for this program.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### One-Time Costs

- Modernization of branchwide wireless infrastructure.
- Infrastructure of cost-effective and reliable Internet service at all courts.

- Asset management.
- Additional managed security services.

### Transition to Next-Generation Branchwide Hosting Model Phase II

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 3: Advance IT Security and Infrastructure

#### Description

The current data center hosting model for information technology applications and services at a branch level was developed largely based on the strategy of central hosting of court case management systems and other shared applications. Over the past five years, the branchwide strategy for the hosting of court case management systems and other branch solutions has evolved to correspond with the growth of new hosting technology solutions. The branch has reevaluated available modern hosting solutions to ensure that resources and opportunities are being used as effectively as possible to address the current and future needs of courts and align the branch with the new strategic direction.

As hosting models and technology evolve, the most cost-effective branchwide strategy for information technology applications and services hosting may be enabled through a combination of selective consolidation, virtualization, and implementation of secure private and public cloud environments. The goal of this tactical initiative will be to determine an updated model and modern approach for branchwide hosting, applicable to all judicial branch entities (JBEs).

#### **Benefits**

- Provides a strategy for business continuity and disaster recovery.
- Reduces ongoing needs for maintenance and support of hosting infrastructure.
- Reduces dependency on unreliable core facility infrastructure provisions, such as electrical power, cooling, and space.
- Reduces reliance on outdated technologies that do not meet the strategic goals of the judicial branch.
- Provides a versatile environment that enables the judicial branch to more rapidly deploy new services that better meet the needs of the public and consumers of judicial branch services.
- Offers the ability to rapidly procure and/or obtain needed infrastructure in emergency and nonemergency situations.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Create a multifaceted application strategy to determine when hosting, factoring, building, and/or replacing applications and their delivery.
- Determine how new applications can be deployed and/or built using secure cloudoptimized and cloud-native design principles.
- Develop a structured hosting matrix that identifies potential use cases for cloud computing, including the benefits and challenges for specific application workloads.
- Align next-generation hosting with the strategy and roadmap for the digital court initiatives and to be consistent/compliant with the security roadmap.
- Develop education on a cloud security framework and cloud security controls.

#### **Considerations**

- Judicial Council and court resources available to plan and execute next-generation hosting for judicial branch entities.
- Available funding for developing next-generation hosting solutions.
- Available expertise for assisting JBEs in their transition to next-generation hosting.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

- Hosting services that are shared across the branch.
- Direct billing to the courts for court-specific services.

#### Disaster Recovery Phase II

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 3: Advance IT Security and Infrastructure

#### Description

The vision of the Disaster Recovery Phase II initiative is to modernize and simplify the approach to implementing disaster recovery (DR) solutions within the California judicial branch. A robust DR program is a critical component of an organization's continuity of operations or business continuity plan. In case of a significant disruption resulting from a disaster such as a flood, a fire, an earthquake, or another natural or man-made incident, judicial branch entities (JBEs) currently have varying degrees of preparedness for recovery of their technological systems and may be at risk of being unable to provide a timely restoration of essential functions and services to court staff and the public.

Modern technologies and emerging cloud-based service offerings with new thresholds for elasticity, availability, and security provide JBEs with opportunities to design, test, and deploy scalable disaster recovery solutions in an expedited, cost-effective, and efficient manner. Disaster Recovery Phase II leverages the Court Innovation Grant awarded to the Superior Court of Monterey County to pilot the *Disaster Recovery Framework* developed in the earlier phase of this program. Its goals are to demonstrate viability of cloud-based, emerging solutions for timely recovery of critical court services and serve as a model for interested California JBEs to adopt.

#### **Benefits**

- Improves availability and accessibility to court programs and services.
- Increases confidence that essential court records will be securely maintained and will remain available, even in the event of a disaster.
- Provides a model for interested JBEs to adopt emerging cloud-based disaster recovery solutions.
- Modernizes and simplifies the approach to implementing disaster recovery solutions within the California judicial branch.
- Improves continuity of business services and access to justice.
- Reduces the risk of interruption to vital court services.
- Ensures that courts are better able to meet the public's expectations after a disaster incident.
- Reduces complexity and local infrastructure footprint.
- Reduces local infrastructure management and maintenance costs.
- Extends recovery capabilities using cloud service providers.
- Allows for more efficient allocations of court resources and time.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Modernize and simplify the approach to implementing disaster recovery solutions within the California judicial branch.
- Demonstrate the viability of emerging solutions for disaster recovery in the cloud.
- Recommend a list of critical technology services that make business sense for cloudbased recovery adoption.
- Develop a set of business questions or a toolkit for JBEs to self-assess their preparedness for disaster recovery and to assess their tolerance for risk.

- Leverage the work products and design solution templates from the Disaster
   Recovery Phase 2 Workstream to increase the disaster recovery maturity level.
- Recommend standard recovery times and priorities for each of the major technology components of the branch that leverage learnings from the new technology capabilities and cloud service offerings.
- Identify existing technology initiatives and infrastructure solutions that can be leveraged, such as the Next-Generation Hosting Workstream, Court Innovation Grants, and courts that have deployed solutions in the cloud—for example, functional hybrid architectures for critical systems that extend local infrastructure by using cloud service offerings for high availability.
- Draft a BCP and identify other avenues to fund a pilot group of courts interested in implementing cloud-based disaster recovery solutions for critical technology services.
- Conduct hands-on training, knowledge sessions, and demonstration of relevant usecase scenarios for courts interested in design, testing, and implementation of disaster recovery solutions using emerging technology solutions.

#### **Considerations**

- Work products and recommended approach from the Disaster Recovery Workstream.
- Success of the pilot implementation of disaster recovery solutions using cloud service providers.
- Funding sources for JBEs to implement recovery solutions using technologies from vendors selected in the cloud DR master agreement.

#### **Potential Funding Requirements**

#### One-Time Costs

- A disaster recovery solution for critical systems using cloud-based recovery solutions
- Design and deployment of functional hybrid architectures for priority systems that extend infrastructure to the cloud while reducing the local footprint.

#### **Ongoing Costs**

 Data storage, system, bandwidth, and other operational usage needs related to operating cloud-based solutions.

#### **Branchwide Information Security Roadmap**

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 3: Advance IT Security and Infrastructure

#### Description

One of the judicial branch's strategic objectives is to establish a sustainable information security program to support the reliable delivery of services to judicial branch entities and their customers. The judicial branch continues to invest in a secure, scalable, and robust technology infrastructure as a foundation to providing digital services. This program will accomplish its mission through the use of information security governance, policies, standards, guidelines, and services that protect the judicial branch's information assets and the security interests of the users of branch services.

#### **Benefits**

- Enhances security and data reliability; improves collaboration, data sharing, and decision-making.
- Provides more effective risk management.
- Clear security guidelines for all Judicial Council departments and JBEs.
- Creates baseline policies as a foundation to measure effectiveness.
- Ensures consistent application of security controls across the branch.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Design an implementation roadmap for evolving security strategies and tools.
- Define methods and activities for expanding branch information security capabilities.
- Create an overarching strategy for educating courts on information security best practices, risk management, and incident response.
- Update the security framework, as needed.
- Build a culture of collaborative IT security throughout the judicial branch.
- Identify resources to assist the courts in developing policies and procedures based on the judicial branch *Information Systems Controls Framework*.
- Propose additional proactive risk management tactics.
- Investigate additional security incident and event management approaches.
- Publish security education and awareness materials.

#### Considerations

- Security protocols, which are constantly changing, and a court's ability to keep up with those changes.
- Consistent and reliable security information, event management, vulnerability, and threat management, where appropriate.
- Baseline policies, procedures, and standards that can be leveraged by individual courts.
- Availability of and funding for security awareness training for employees, executives, and judicial leaders.
- Understanding that information security is an ongoing program requiring maintenance and support and not just a one-time discreet project.
- Information security, including business continuity and disaster recovery programs, which requires additional and ongoing expenses that may be difficult for select courts to fund out of their existing budgets.

Constantly evolving sophistication of threats to information security.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

#### Ongoing Costs

Maintenance of a sustainable information security program.

# Technology Initiatives to Promote Rule and Legislative Changes Identify New Policy, Rule, and Legislative Changes

Strategic Plan for Technology Goal 4: Promote Rule and Legislative Changes

#### Description

The need to align policies, rules of court, and legislation to support the use of technology in all courts consistent with the *Strategic Plan for Technology* is ongoing. This need includes supporting changes to implement selected Futures Commission initiatives, advance the use of innovative technologies in the courts, ensure that laws support adoption of new and existing technologies, authorize the use of technology to improve the courtroom experience, and balance the protection of personal privacy and public access to court data. Proposals for such changes may originate with judicial branch advisory committees or workgroups, courts, judges, attorneys, government entities, or the public.

#### **Benefits**

- Updates or provides new authority to implement modern electronic business practices.
- Increases public satisfaction with the ability to conduct court business and obtain services electronically outside of traditional business hours.
- Promotes potential savings in time, resources, and costs.
- Improves, expands, and offers more convenient access to the courts.
- Expands self-help and language services.
- Allows for better communication and information sharing between courts and justice partners.

#### Goals and Objectives

- Continue modernization of statutes, rules, and procedures to permit and enhance the use of technology in court operations and delivery of court services.
- Develop and update rules, standards, and guidelines for electronic signatures on documents submitted to the trial courts, justice partner data exchanges, online access to court records for parties and justice partners, court records maintained as data, and other areas where new technologies affect court operations and access to the courts.
- Update and publicize branch and model court privacy policies on electronic access to court records and other court-held information.
- In partnership with the Court Executive Advisory Committee (CEAC), revise the Trial Court Records Manual to reflect changes in the law, new standards and guidelines, and best practices relating to court records.

#### **Considerations**

- Rules and legislative proposals require action by the Judicial Council, internal committees, and advisory committees (including subcommittees).
- Legislative proposals require action by the Legislature and Governor.
- Branch internal policies and guidelines require advisory committee action and may also require action by the Judicial Council and internal committees.
- Public and stakeholder feedback must be considered at all levels.

 Judicial Council staff support is required throughout applicable review and approval processes.

#### Potential Funding Requirements

No new funding is required to make changes to policies, rules, and legislation.

#### One-Time Costs

• Implementation of policy, rule, and legislative changes by individual courts.

- Staff support and some travel for Judicial Council internal and advisory committee members during the development, review, and approval process of specific proposals.
- Implementation of policy, rule, and legislative changes by individual courts.

#### **APPENDIXES**

#### **Appendix A: Tactical Plan Update Workstream Members**

### Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Executive Sponsor

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Orange

#### Hon. Louis R. Mauro

Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

#### Hon. Kyle S. Brodie

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino

#### Hon. Tara M. Desautels

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda

#### Ms. Nancy CS Eberhardt

Court Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino

#### Ms. Kimberly Flener

Court Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of Butte

#### Mr. Jim Lombard

Deputy Court Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento

#### Mr. Darren Dang

Chief Fiscal and Administrative Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of Orange

#### Mr. Paras Gupta

Chief Information Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of Monterey

#### Mr. David Schlothauer

Chief Information Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of Nevada

#### Mr. Rick Walery

Chief Information Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

#### Ms. Heather Pettit

Chief Information Officer, Judicial Council of California

#### Mr. Kirk Hauer

Information Technology Manager of the Superior Court of California, County of Butte

#### **COMMITTEE STAFF**

#### Mr. Richard Blalock

Senior Business Systems Analyst, Judicial Council Information Technology

#### Ms. Kathleen Fink

Manager, Judicial Council Information Technology

#### Ms. Jamel Jones

Information Systems Supervisor, Judicial Council Information Technology

### **Appendix B: Initiative Summary and Progress**

2014–2018 Strategic Plan Goal	2019–2022 Strategic Plan Goal*	2017–2018 Tactical Plan Initiative	2019–2020 Tactical Plan Initiative Update	Strategic Plan Objectives Supported <sup>†</sup>
Promote the Digital Court	Promote the Digital Court	Case management system (CMS) assessment and prioritization	CMS Migration and Deployment	1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 2.2, 3.2, 3.4
		Document management system expansion	Expansion of Electronic Court Record Management	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6
		Courthouse video connectivity (including video remote	Language Access Technology	1.1, 1.4, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4
		interpreting)	Remote Video Appearances	1.4, 2.2, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3
		California Courts Protective Order Registry	Completed	
		Implement self-represented litigants e-services	Self-Help Electronic Services	1.1, 1.3, 1.4
		Jury management technology enhancements (trial courts)	Incorporated into new Data Analytics initiative below	
		Statewide e-filing program development	Completed	
		E-filing deployment	Statewide Electronic Filing Program Development & Deployment	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3
		Identify and encourage projects that provide innovative services	Incorporated into operational processes	
		Establish an "open source" application-sharing community	Incorporated into the Expand Collaboration within the Branch IT Community initiative below	

2014–2018 Strategic Plan Goal	2019–2022 Strategic Plan Goal*	2017–2018 Tactical Plan Initiative	2019–2020 Tactical Plan Initiative Update	Strategic Plan Objectives Supported <sup>†</sup>
Promote the Digital Court (continued)	Promote the Digital Court (continued)	Digital evidence: acceptance, storage, and retention	Digital Evidence Management	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4
		New for 2019-2020	Branchwide Identity Management	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 3.2, 3.4
		New for 2019-2020	Data Analytics and Business Intelligence	1.2, 1.3, 1.5, 1.6 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 4.1
		New for 2019-2020	Enterprise Resource Management	1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 2.2 3.2, 3.5
		New for 2019-2020	Online Dispute Resolution Investigation	1.3, 1.4
Optimize Branch Resources	Innovate Through IT Community	Establish hardware and software master branch purchasing/licensing agreements	Incorporated into operational processes	
		Expand collaboration within the branch IT community	Expand Collaboration Within the Branch IT Community	1.2, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5
Optimize Infrastructure	Advance IT Security and Infrastructure	Extend LAN/WAN initiative to remaining courts	LAN/WAN Infrastructure	1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6
		Transition to next-generation branchwide hosting model	Transition to Next-Generation Branchwide Hosting Model Phase II	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5, 1.6, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6
		Court disaster recovery framework and pilot	Disaster Recovery Phase II	1.1, 2.2, 2.5, 3.3
		New for 2019-2020	Branchwide Information Security Roadmap	1.3, 1.5, 1.6 3.1, 3.4, 3.6
Promote Rule and Legislative Changes	Promote Rule and Legislative Changes	Identify new policy, rule, and legislative changes	Identify New Policy, Rule, and Legislative Changes	4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4

<sup>\*</sup> Judicial Council of California, Strategic Plan for Technology 2019–2022, pp. 8–15.

<sup>†</sup> Ibid.