Title	Appellate Procedure: Videoconferencing Oral Argument in the Superior Court Appellate Division (amend rules 8.885 and 8.929)
Summary	These amendments would authorize the presiding judge of the appellate division to order that oral argument be conducted using videoconferencing.
Source	Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee Hon. Kenneth K. So, Chair Appellate Advisory Committee Justice Kathryn Doi Todd, Chair
Staff	Heather Anderson, Appellate Advisory Committee Counsel, 415-865-7691, heather.anderson@jud.ca.gov
Discussion	Under Article VI, section 4 of the California Constitution, there is an appellate division in each of the superior courts in the state. These appellate divisions hear appeals and writ proceedings in limited civil, misdemeanor, and infraction cases. The Chief Justice of the California Supreme Court assigns judges to the appellate division for specified terms to promote the independence of the appellate division. The judges assigned to the appellate division may include judges from another county or a panel of judges from different superior courts who sit in turn in each of those courts.
	For the last four years, the Superior Courts in Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, and Sierra Counties have participated in a four-county regional appellate division program. Under this program, which won an award for innovation in 2007, one judge from each of these superior courts is assigned to the regional appellate division. When a matter comes to the appellate division from one of these superior courts, it is heard by the judges of the other three courts, thereby promoting the independence of the appellate division from the trial court.
	An important innovation that has allowed this regional appellate division program to succeed has been the participation of the appellate division judges in oral argument via videoconferencing. Each of the participating counties is geographically large, and the courthouses in each county are long distances from each other. Without videoconferencing, assembling judges from multiple counties in order to hold oral argument in person meant many hours of travel time for

the participating judges—hours that were not available for other judicial duties—as well as travel costs for the courts. Difficulties in finding a day when all of the appellate division judges could clear their calendars for such travel also often meant long waits for the parties before oral argument could be scheduled. By using videoconferencing, oral argument can be held without the judges from the different counties having to travel from their home courts. This technology allows parties and judges to fully participate in oral argument, seeing and hearing each other as if they were in the same room, while freeing up judicial time and court resources. It also reduces delays for the parties in scheduling oral argument, as the participating judges need only calendar the time actually needed for the oral argument, not all the time for travel to a remote hearing site. Thus, using videoconferencing for oral argument in this regional appellate division program has improved both public access and the efficient use of public resources.

This proposal would help expand these benefits by facilitating the use of videoconferencing for oral argument in other appellate divisions. All of the superior courts with three or fewer judges, as well as some other small to medium-size courts, currently have judges from several different counties serving on their appellate division and could therefore benefit from this approach. In addition, there may be many other superior courts that might be interested in this multicounty approach if the logistical problem of travel for oral argument is addressed.

This proposal would authorize the presiding judge of any appellate division to order that oral argument be conducted using videoconferencing either at the request of the parties or on the court's own motion. It would also establish some basic parameters for this process, including specifying that: (1) the appellate division must ensure that during oral argument, the participants are visible and their statements audible to all other participants, any court staff, and any members of the public who are in attendance; (2) unless otherwise ordered, all the parties must appear for oral argument at the superior court that issued the judgment or order that is being appealed; and (3) a party must not be charged a fee to participate in oral argument by videoconference if the party participates from a location from which a judge of the appellate division panel is participating in oral argument. In addition to allowing oral argument to be set sooner, this proposal could also improve access for parties in other ways. Under the

proposed rule amendments, with the presiding judge's consent, a party could participate in oral argument from any of the locations from which a judge of the appellate panel is participating. In some cases, participating from one of these other locations may be more convenient for a party than participating from the originating trial court location.

This proposal would also amend the rules regarding the length of oral argument to indicate that appellants can reserve part of their time for closing argument.

The proposed rule text is attached at pages 4-8.

Attachment

Rules 8.885 and 8.929 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2010, to read:

1 Chapter 4. Briefs, Hearing, and Decision in Limited Civil and Misdemeanor 2 **Appeals** 3 4 Rule 8.885. Oral argument 5 6 (a) **Calendaring and sessions** 7 8 Unless otherwise ordered, all appeals in which the last reply brief was filed 9 or the time for filing this brief expired 45 or more days before the date of a 10 regular appellate division session must be placed on the calendar for that 11 session by the appellate division clerk. By order of the presiding judge or the 12 division, any appeal may be placed on the calendar for oral argument at any 13 session. 14 15 (b) Oral argument by videoconference 16 17 (1) On application of any party or on the court's own motion, the presiding 18 judge of the appellate division may order that oral argument be 19 conducted by videoconference. An application from a party requesting 20 that oral argument be conducted by videoconference must be filed 21 within 10 days after the court sends notice of oral argument under 22 (c)(1). 23 24 (2) If the presiding judge orders that oral argument be conducted by 25 videoconference: 26 27 (A) Each judge of the appellate division panel assigned to the case 28 must participate in the entire oral argument either in person at the 29 superior court that issued the judgment or order that is being 30 appealed or by videoconference from another court. The oral 31 argument must be open to the public at each of the locations from 32 which a judge of the appellate division panel is participating. 33 34 (B) Unless otherwise ordered by the presiding judge, all the parties 35 must appear at oral argument in person at the superior court that 36 issued the judgment or order that is being appealed. 37

(C) The appellate division must ensure that:

38

39

1 2		<u>(i</u>	During oral argument, the participants in oral argument are visible and their statements are audible to all other
3			participants, any court staff, and any members of the public
4			attending the oral argument; and
5			attending the oral argument, and
6		(i	i) Participants are identified when they speak.
7		<u>(1</u>	1) I ditterpants are identified when they speak.
8		(i	ii) Only persons who are authorized to participate in the
9		<u>\1</u>	proceedings speak.
10			procedings speak.
11		(D) A	party must not be charged any fee to participate in oral argument
12			y videoconference if the party participates from a location from
13		_	which a judge of the appellate division panel is participating in
14			ral argument.
15		_	 _
16	(b)(c) N	otice of	argument
17			
18	<u>(1)</u>	As soo	on as all parties' briefs are filed or the time for filing these briefs
19		has exp	pired, the appellate division clerk must send a notice of the time
20		_	ace of oral argument to all parties. The notice must be sent at least
21		-	s before the date for oral argument. The presiding judge may
22			the notice period for good cause; in that event, the clerk must
23			liately notify the parties by telephone or other expeditious
24		method	d.
25	 \	-0.4	
26	<u>(2)</u>	_	presiding judge of the appellate division orders oral argument to
27			ducted by videoconference under (b), the clerk must specify
28			in the notice required under (1) or in a supplemental notice sent to
29		_	ties at least 5 days before the date for oral argument the location
30			which each judge of the appellate division panel assigned to the
31		case w	ill participate in oral argument.
32	(a)(d) V	Voiren o	formunant
33 34	(c) (a) v	vaiver o	of argument
35	Dart	iec may	waive oral argument.
36	1 art	ics may	warve orar argument.
37	(d) (e) C	onduct	of argument
38	(4) <u>(6)</u>	JIIGUCE	va ma Burnayar
39	Unle	ess the c	court provides otherwise:
40	Oni		7.0 1.2 P. 2 1.2 P. 2 P. 2 P. 2 P. 2 P. 2 P

1 2 3 4		(1)	The appellant, petitioner, or moving party has the right to open and close. If there are two or more such parties, the court must set the sequence of argument.		
5 6 7 8 9		(2)	Each side is allowed 10 minutes for argument. The appellant may reserve part of this time for closing argument. If multiple parties are represented by separate counsel, or if an amicus curiae—on written request—is granted permission to argue, the court may apportion or expand the time.		
11 12		(3)	Only one counsel may argue for each separately represented party.		
13			Advisory Committee Comment		
14 15 16 17 18	quart	division (a). Under rule 10.1108, the appellate division must hold a session at least once each rter, unless no matters are set for oral argument that quarter, but may choose to hold sessions e frequently.			
19					
20			Chapter 5. Appeals in Infraction Cases		
21			Auticle 2 Duicke Heaving and Decision in Infraction Anneals		
22 23		F	Article 3. Briefs, Hearing, and Decision in Infraction Appeals		
24	Rul	e 8.92	29. Oral argument		
25	1141	· 0.72			
26	(a)	Cale	endaring and sessions		
27					
28			ess otherwise ordered, all appeals in which the last reply brief was filed		
29			the time for filing this brief expired 45 or more days before the date of a		
30		_	lar appellate division session must be placed on the calendar for that		
31 32			ion by the appellate division clerk. By order of the presiding judge or the		
33			ellate division, any appeal may be placed on the calendar for oral ment at any session.		
34		argu	ment at any session.		
35	<u>(b)</u>	Ora	l argument by videoconference		
36	(2)	<u> </u>	- 0.2 <u></u>		
37		<u>(1)</u>	On application of any party or on the court's own motion, the presiding		
38			judge of the appellate division may order that oral argument be		
39			conducted by videoconference. An application from a party requesting		
40			that oral argument be conducted by videoconference must be filed		
41			within 10 days after the court sends notice of oral argument under		
42			(c)(1).		
43					

1 (2) If the presiding judge orders that oral argument be conducted by 2 videoconference: 3 4 (A) Each judge of the appellate division panel assigned to the case 5 must participate in the entire oral argument either in person at the 6 courthouse of the superior court that issued the judgment or order 7 that is being appealed or by videoconference from another court. 8 The oral argument must be open to the public at each of the locations from which a judge of the appellate division panel is 9 10 participating. 11 12 (B) Unless otherwise ordered by the presiding judge, all the parties 13 must appear in person at the courthouse of the superior court that 14 issued the judgment or order that is being appealed. 15 16 (C) The appellate division must ensure that: 17 18 During oral argument, the participants in oral argument are (i) 19 visible and their statements are audible to all other 20 participants, any court staff, and any members of the public 21 attending the oral argument; and 22 23 (ii) Participants are identified when they speak. 24 25 (iii) Only persons who are authorized to participate in the 26 proceedings speak. 27 28 (D) A party must not be charged any fee to participate in oral argument 29 by videoconference if the party participates from a location from 30 which a judge of the appellate division panel is participating in 31 oral argument. 32 33 (b)(c) Notice of argument 34 35 (1) As soon as all parties' briefs are filed or the time for filing these briefs has expired, the appellate division clerk must send a notice of the time 36 37 and place of oral argument to all parties. The notice must be sent at least 38 20 days before the date for oral argument. The presiding judge may 39 shorten the notice period for good cause; in that event, the clerk must 40 immediately notify the parties by telephone or other expeditious 41 method. 42

(2) If the presiding judge of the appellate division orders oral argument to be conducted by videoconference under (b), the clerk must specify either in the notice required under (1) or in a supplemental notice sent to all parties at least 5 days before the date for oral argument the location from which each judge of the appellate division panel assigned to the case will participate. (e)(d) Waiver of argument Parties may waive oral argument.

(d)(e) Conduct of argument

Unless the court provides otherwise:

- (1) The appellant, petitioner, or moving party has the right to open and close. If there are two or more such parties, the court must set the sequence of argument.
- (2) Each side is allowed 5 minutes for argument. The appellant may reserve part of this time for closing argument. If multiple parties are represented by separate counsel, or if an amicus curiae—on written request—is granted permission to argue, the court may apportion or expand the time.
- (3) Only one counsel may argue for each separately represented party.

Advisory Committee Comment

Subdivision (a). Under rule 10.1108, the appellate division must hold a session at least once each quarter, unless no matters are set for oral argument that quarter, but may choose to hold sessions more frequently.

Item SPR09-11 Response Form

Title:	Appellate Procedure: Videoconferencing Oral Argument in the Superior Court Appellate Division (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.885 and 8.929)				
	Agree with proposed changes				
	Agree with proposed changes if modified				
☐ Do not agree with proposed changes					
Comme	nts:				
Name:_	Title:				
Organiz	zation:				
	☐ Commenting on behalf of an organization				
Addres	s:				
City, St	ate, Zip:				
To Sub Commer are not of the proportion	mit Comments Into may be submitted online, written on this form, or prepared in a letter format. If you commenting directly on this form, please include the information requested above and osal number for identification purposes. Please submit your comments online or email, fax comments. You are welcome to email your comments as an attachment.				
Interne	http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/				
Email: Mail:	invitations@jud.ca.gov Ms. Camilla Kieliger Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102				
Fax:	(415) 865-7664, Attn: Camilla Kieliger				

Circulation for comment does not imply endorsement by the Judicial Council or the Rules and Projects Committee. All comments will become part of the public record of the council's action.

DEADLINE FOR COMMENT: 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, June 17, 2009