Title	Administrative Record in CEQA Actions (adopt rules 3.1365, 3.1366, 3.1367, and 3.1368 of the California Rules of Court)
Summary	The proposed rules would provide a standardized format for electronic and paper versions of the administrative record in CEQA actions.
Source	Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee Hon. Dennis M. Perluss, Chair
Staff	Susan R. McMullan, 415-865-7990, susan.mcmullan@jud.ca.gov
Discussion	There are currently no statewide rules concerning the format or organization of the administrative record in actions brought under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Such records, which are the sole factual basis for the court's review in CEQA cases at both the trial and appellate levels, are frequently voluminous and can be physically and organizationally challenging for a court to handle. Last year, the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommended a rule to provide a standardized format for the paper version of the administrative record in CEQA actions. When the rule circulated for public comment, many commentators requested that a similar rule be developed for an electronic version of the record. In response to these comments, this proposal provides rules for electronic as well as paper versions of the record.
	Actions brought under CEQA seek judicial review of a decision by a public agency approving an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) or determining that a project does not require either an EIR or any other environmental review. The challenge normally takes the form of either an administrative mandamus action under Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.5 or a traditional mandamus action under Code of Civil procedure section 1085. Under either statute, the trial court must review an administrative record of the proceedings of the public agency relating to its approval of the project, which is lodged with the court by the public agency or, more commonly, one of the parties. Because the statutory requirements for the content of the administrative record are very broad, the record is frequently voluminous, ranging from a few dozen pages for even the smallest project, to many hundreds of pages for most projects, to tens of thousand of pages for the largest projects.  The proposed rules would provide a statewide standard to facilitate the court's review. Rule 3.1365 would govern the organization of the

record, whether in electronic or paper format, including the index and appendix of excerpts. The advisory committee is especially interested in comments addressing whether the order of documents should be by specified document type, as in the attached rule, or in chronological order. Whichever method of organization is specified in rule 3.1365(a)(1), the documents may be organized in a different way, on stipulation or by court order. (Rule 3.1365(a)(3).)

Rule 3.1366 would govern lodging and service of the administrative record. It would allow a party to lodge and serve only an electronic version of the record unless a court ordered lodging and service of the record in paper format or a party requested and paid for a paper version of the record.

Rule 3.137 addresses the administrative record in electronic format. Under the proposed rule, the electronic version of the record is required to be created in portable document format (PDF) or other format that is generally available; divided into PDF or other electronic files that identify each part of the record; contained on a CD-ROM, DVD, or other medium that cannot be altered; and capable of full text searching. (Rule 3.136(a).) The proposed rule would permit, but not require, the party preparing the electronic version of the record to include hyperlinks from the record index to the documents to which they refer.

Rule 3.1368 addresses the administrative record in paper format. It would require that both sides of each page be used and the record be bound or contained in three-ring binders.

The committee also seeks comments on the need for rules that would apply to other actions seeking review of final orders in administrative proceedings.

Rules 3.1365, 3.1366, 3.1367, and 3.1368 are attached at pages 3–6.

Attachment

Rules 3.1365, 3.1366, 3.1367, and 3.1368 of the California Rules of Court would be adopted by the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2010, to read:

1	<u>Cha</u>	<u>apter</u>	7. Pe	titions Under the California Environmental Quality Act
2 3	Rul	e 3.13	<b>365.</b> [	Form and format of administrative record lodged in a CEQA
4	pro	<u>ceedi</u>	ng	
5				
6	<u>(a)</u>	Org	ganiza	<u>ation</u>
7 8 9		<u>(1)</u>	<u>Ord</u>	er of documents
10			The	administrative record must be organized with the documents in the
11				owing order, as applicable:
12				
13			(A)	The Notice of Determination;
14				
15			<u>(B)</u>	The resolutions or ordinances adopted by the lead agency
16				approving the project;
17				
18			<u>(C)</u>	The findings required by Public Resources Code section 21081,
19				including any statement of overriding considerations;
20				
21			<u>(D)</u>	The final environmental impact report, including the draft
22				environmental impact report or a revision of the draft, all other
23				matters included in the final environmental impact report, and
24				other types of environmental impact documents prepared under the
25				California Environmental Quality Act, such as a negative
26				declaration, mitigated negative declaration, or addenda;
27			<del></del>	
28			<u>(E)</u>	The initial study;
29				
30			<u>(F)</u>	
31				subordinate approvals or recommendations to the lead agency, in
32				chronological order;
33			(C)	The second of the second or the second of th
34			<u>(G)</u>	Transcripts and minutes of hearings, in chronological order; and
35			(11)	The name index of the administrative record in shape leaves and
36			<u>(H)</u>	The remainder of the administrative record, in chronological order.
37		(2)	T : ~ 4	not limiting
38		(2)	List	not limiting

1 The list of documents in (1) is not intended to limit the content of the 2 administrative record, which is prescribed in Public Resources Code 3 section 21167.6(e). 4 5 (3) *Different order permissible* 6 7 The parties may stipulate, a party may seek a court order, or the court 8 may order that the documents be organized in a different order than set 9 out in (1). 10 11 (4) Oversized documents 12 13 Oversized documents included in the record must be presented in a 14 manner that allows them to be easily unfolded and viewed. 15 16 (5) *Use of tabs or electronic bookmarks* 17 18 The administrative record must be separated by tabs or marked with 19 electronic bookmarks that identify each part of the record listed above 20 and any other included documents. 21 22 (b) Index 23 24 A detailed index must be placed at the beginning of the administrative record. The index must list each document in the administrative record, in the order presented 25 26 or in chronological order if ordered by the court, including title, date of the 27 document, brief description, and the volume and page where it begins. The index must list any included exhibits or appendices and must list each document 28 29 contained in the exhibit or appendix (including environmental impact report 30 appendices) and the volume and page where each document begins. A copy of the 31 index must be filed in the court at the time the administrative record is lodged with 32 the court. 33 34 (c) Appendix of excerpts 35 36 A court may require each party filing a brief to prepare and lodge an appendix of 37 excerpts that contains the documents or pages of the record cited in that party's 38 brief.

1	Rul	e 3.13	866. Lodging and service				
2 3	The	party	preparing the administrative record must lodge it with the court and				
4			e all parties. A record in electronic format must comply with rule 3.1367. A				
5		-	paper format must comply with rule 3.1368. If the party preparing the				
6			ative record elects or is ordered to prepare an electronic version of the				
7			(1) a court may require the party to lodge one copy of the record in paper				
8			nd (2) a party may request the record in paper format and pay the				
9			e cost or show good cause for a court order requiring the party preparing				
10			ministrative record to serve the requesting party with one copy of the record				
11			Format.				
12	_	•					
13							
14	Rul	e 3.13	367. Electronic format				
15							
16	<u>(a)</u>	Req	<u>uirements</u>				
17							
18			ronic version of the administrative record lodged in the superior court in				
19 20	a pr	oceed	ling brought under the California Environmental Quality Act must be:				
21		(1)	In compliance with rule 3.1365;				
22		<u>\-/</u>					
23		(2)	Created in portable document format (PDF) or other format for which				
24			the software for creating and reading documents is in the public domain				
25			or generally available at a reasonable cost;				
26							
27		(3)	Divided into a series of electronic files and include electronic				
28			bookmarks that identify each part of the record and clearly state the				
29			volume and page numbers contained in each part of the record;				
30			<del>-</del>				
31		(4)	Contained on a CD-ROM, DVD, or other medium that cannot be				
32			altered; and				
33							
34		<u>(5)</u>	Capable of full text searching.				
35							
36	The	elect	ronic version of the index required under rule 3.1365(b) may include				
37	<u>hyp</u>	erlink	s to the indexed documents.				
38							
39	<u>(b)</u>	Doc	uments not included				
40							
41			ment that is part of the administrative record and for which it is not				
42	<u>feas</u>	ible t	o create an electronic version may be provided in paper format only. Not				

feasible means that it would be reduced in size or otherwise altered to such an extent that it would not be easily readable. Rule 3.1368. Paper format (a) Requirements In the paper format of the administrative record lodged in the superior court in a proceeding brought under the California Environmental Quality Act: (1) The paper must be recycled; (2) Both sides of each page must be used; (3) The paper must be opaque, unglazed, white or unbleached, 8 ½ by 11 inches, and of standard quality no less than 20-pound width, except that maps, charts, and other demonstrative materials may be larger; and (4) Each page must be numbered consecutively at the bottom. (b) Binding and cover The paper format of the administrative record must be bound on the left margin or contained in three-ring binders. Bound volumes must contain no more than 300 pages, and binders must contain no more than 400 pages. If bound, each page must have an adequate margin to allow unimpaired readability. The cover of each volume must contain the information required in Rule 2.111, be prominently entitled "ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD," and state the volume number and the page numbers included in the volume. 

**Chapter 78. Other Civil Petitions** 

## **Item SPR09-16** Response Form

Title:	Administrative Record in CEQA Actions (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.1365, 3.1366, 3.1367, and 3.1368)						
	Agree with proposed changes						
	☐ Agree with proposed changes if modified						
	☐ <b>Do not agree</b> with proposed changes						
Comments:							
Name:	:Title:						
Organ	ization:						
_	☐ Commenting on behalf of an organization						
Addre	ss:						
	State, Zip:						
To Sul Comme are <i>not</i> the pro	bmit Comments ents may be submitted online, written on this form, or prepared in a letter format. If you commenting directly on this form, please include the information requested above and posal number for identification purposes. Please submit your comments online or email, r fax comments. You are welcome to email your comments as an attachment.						
Intern	et: <a href="http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/">http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/</a>						
Email Mail:	invitations@jud.ca.gov  Ms. Camilla Kieliger  Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue  San Francisco, CA 94102						

(415) 865-7664, Attn: Camilla Kieliger

Fax:

DEADLINE FOR COMMENT: 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, June 17, 2009