

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	39	39.4%	60	60.6%	99	100.0%
Trial Court	598	37.4%	999	62.6%	1,597	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>640</b>	<b>37.6%</b>	<b>1,063</b>	<b>62.4%</b>	<b>1,703</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Race/Ethnicity<sup>2</sup>**

Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	5	5.1%	10	10.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	72	72.7%	2	2.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	99	100.0%
Trial Court	7	0.4%	132	8.3%	125	7.8%	184	11.5%	5	0.3%	1,030	64.5%	15	0.9%	66	4.1%	33	2.1%	1,597	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1,104</b>	<b>64.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1,703</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

1. The tabular data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2020. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation**

Court	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Court of Appeal	77	77.8%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	19.2%	99	100.0%
Trial Court	1,158	72.5%	25	1.6%	35	2.2%	4	0.3%	1	0.1%	374	23.4%	1,597	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,241</b>	<b>72.9%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>1,703</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2020. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of justices and judges responding to the survey in a given year.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	13	44.8%	16	55.2%	29	100.0%
Third District	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Fourth District	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	100.0%
Fifth District	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>1,597</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	26	36.1%	46	63.9%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	21	58.3%	15	41.7%	36	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Fresno	15	40.5%	22	59.5%	37	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>						
Glen	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Imperial	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	9	30.0%	21	70.0%	30	100.0%
Kings	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Lake	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	184	38.7%	291	61.3%	475	100.0%
Madera	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	6	100.0%
Marin	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Merced	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	16	100.0%
Napa	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Nevada	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Orange	37	31.1%	82	68.9%	119	100.0%
Placer	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	19	30.6%	43	69.4%	62	100.0%
Sacramento	21	35.6%	38	64.4%	59	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>						
San Bernardino	20	27.8%	52	72.2%	72	100.0%
San Diego	52	40.0%	78	60.0%	130	100.0%
San Francisco	18	37.5%	30	62.5%	48	100.0%
San Joaquin	8	32.0%	17	68.0%	25	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	11	44.0%	14	56.0%	25	100.0%
Santa Barbara	7	31.8%	15	68.2%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	34	48.6%	36	51.4%	70	100.0%
Santa Cruz	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Shasta	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11	100.0%
Sierra	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18	100.0%
Stanislaus	7	36.8%	12	63.2%	19	100.0%
Sutter	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Tehama	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	100.0%
Ventura	7	25.0%	21	75.0%	28	100.0%
Yolo	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	11	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2020. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	13	65.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	75.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	29	100.0%
Third District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Fourth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	20	80.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	25	100.0%
Fifth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1,030</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>1,597</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	0	0.0%	8	11.1%	12	16.7%	7	9.7%	0	0.0%	38	52.8%	0	0.0%	7	9.7%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	27	75.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	36	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Fresno	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	4	10.8%	6	16.2%	0	0.0%	23	62.2%	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	37	100.0%
Glenn	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	24	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>Trial Court</b>																					
Kings	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	
Lassen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
Los Angeles	2	0.4%	54	11.4%	59	12.4%	75	15.8%	2	0.4%	242	50.9%	7	1.5%	21	4.4%	13	2.7%	475	100.0%	
Madera	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
Marin	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%	
Mariposa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
Merced	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	
Modoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
Monterey	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	10	62.5%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	16	100.0%	
Napa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
Nevada	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	
Orange	0	0.0%	8	6.7%	6	5.0%	13	10.9%	0	0.0%	82	68.9%	2	1.7%	4	3.4%	4	3.4%	119	100.0%	
Placer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	
Plumas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
Riverside	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	5	8.1%	6	9.7%	0	0.0%	44	71.0%	0	0.0%	4	6.5%	0	0.0%	62	100.0%	
Sacramento	0	0.0%	7	11.9%	3	5.1%	5	8.5%	0	0.0%	38	64.4%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	3	5.1%	59	100.0%	
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
San Bernardino	0	0.0%	6	8.3%	6	8.3%	8	11.1%	0	0.0%	49	68.1%	0	0.0%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%	
San Diego	0	0.0%	7	5.4%	10	7.7%	14	10.8%	0	0.0%	87	66.9%	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	8	6.2%	130	100.0%	
San Francisco	0	0.0%	10	20.8%	3	6.3%	7	14.6%	0	0.0%	28	58.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	48	100.0%	
San Joaquin	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	19	76.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	100.0%	
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%	

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>Trial Court</b>																					
San Mateo	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	72.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	25	100.0%	
Santa Barbara	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	17	77.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	100.0%	
Santa Clara	0	0.0%	10	14.3%	3	4.3%	6	8.6%	2	2.9%	41	58.6%	0	0.0%	5	7.1%	3	4.3%	70	100.0%	
Santa Cruz	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	
Shasta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%	
Sierra	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	
Solano	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	10	55.6%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%	
Sonoma	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%	
Stanislaus	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	15	78.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	100.0%	
Sutter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	
Tehama	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	
Trinity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	
Tulare	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%	
Tuolumne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%	
Ventura	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	24	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	28	100.0%	
Yolo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%	
Yuba	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2020. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.
2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.
3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.
4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.



**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges**  
**Responses with Two or More Races**  
**(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))**  
**As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Supreme Court</b>	7	1	<i>14.3%</i>
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
<b>Court of Appeal</b>			
<b>Second District</b>	29	1	<i>3.4%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Fourth District</b>	25	2	<i>8.0%</i>
Black; Hispanic		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Sixth District</b>	6	1	<i>16.7%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Trial Court</b>			
<b>Alameda</b>	72	7	<i>9.7%</i>
Asian; Black or African American		1	
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		2	
Hispanic or Latino; White		3	
<b>Contra Costa</b>	36	2	<i>5.6%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
<b>Fresno</b>	37	2	<i>5.4%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
<b>Imperial</b>	10	1	<i>10.0%</i>
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Responses with Two or More Races  
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

<b>Trial Court</b>	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Los Angeles</b>	475	21	4.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native; Black or African American		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Asian; Pacific Islander		3	
Asian; White		3	
Black or African American, White		1	
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		7	
Hispanic or Latino; White; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		3	
<b>Marin</b>	13	1	7.7%
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
<b>Mariposa</b>	2	1	50.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Mendocino</b>	7	1	14.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
<b>Monterey</b>	16	2	12.5%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Orange</b>	119	4	3.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Asian; Hispanic or Some Other Race		1	
<b>Riverside</b>	62	4	6.5%
Asian; White		1	
Black or African American; Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Responses with Two or More Races  
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Trial Court	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Sacramento</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
Pacific Islander; White		1	
<b>San Benito</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
<b>San Bernardino</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
African American; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
<b>San Diego</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
African American; White		1	
White; Some Other Race		1	
<b>San Mateo</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
Asian; White		1	
<b>Santa Clara</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		2	
<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Sonoma</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Tulare</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native ; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Ventura</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

1. The data reflect responses from courts where one or more judicial officers have indicated that their race/ethnic backgrounds include multiple groups. Only those justices and judges that are active as of December 31, 2020 are included. The tables do not include justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	16	80.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20	100.0%
Second District	27	93.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	29	100.0%
Third District	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Fourth District	15	60.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	36.0%	25	100.0%
Fifth District	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>1,158</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>1,597</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	57	79.2%	3	4.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	9	12.5%	72	100.0%
Alpine	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	30	83.3%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	11.1%	36	100.0%
Del Norte	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Fresno	27	73.0%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	24.3%	37	100.0%
Glenn	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Humboldt	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	22	73.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	26.7%	30	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>														
Kings	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Lake	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	328	69.1%	6	1.3%	16	3.4%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	123	25.9%	475	100.0%
Madera	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	6	100.0%
Marin	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7	100.0%
Merced	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Mono	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	14	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	16	100.0%
Napa	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Nevada	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Orange	81	68.1%	1	0.8%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	29.4%	119	100.0%
Placer	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	46	74.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	24.2%	62	100.0%
Sacramento	39	66.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	32.2%	59	100.0%
San Benito	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	56	77.8%	2	2.8%	2	2.8%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	11	15.3%	72	100.0%
San Diego	88	67.7%	2	1.5%	4	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36	27.7%	130	100.0%
San Francisco	32	66.7%	3	6.3%	4	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	18.8%	48	100.0%
San Joaquin	15	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	9	36.0%	25	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	18	72.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	20.0%	25	100.0%
Santa Barbara	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	48	68.6%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	27.1%	70	100.0%
Santa Cruz	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Shasta	7	63.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	36.4%	11	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>														
Sierra	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	12	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	33.3%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	22.2%	18	100.0%
Stanislaus	17	89.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	19	100.0%
Sutter	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	5	100.0%
Tehama	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	15.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
Ventura	19	67.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	32.1%	28	100.0%
Yolo	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2020. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2020.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of judicial officers responding to the survey in a given year.

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>						Disabled <sup>1</sup>					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>100%</b>
First District	13	100%	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%	0	0%	13	100%
Second District	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%
Third District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Fourth District	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Fifth District	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sixth District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>100%</b>
Alameda	29	97%	1	3%	30	100%	29	97%	1	3%	30	100%
Alpine	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Amador	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Butte	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Calaveras	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Contra Costa	13	81%	3	19%	16	100%	15	94%	1	6%	16	100%
Del Norte	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
El Dorado	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Fresno	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%
Glenn	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>						Disabled <sup>1</sup>					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Humboldt	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Imperial	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Inyo	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Kern	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Kings	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Lake	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Lassen	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Los Angeles	190	94%	13	6%	203	100%	200	98%	5	2%	205	100%
Marin	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Mariposa	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Mendocino	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Merced	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
Monterey	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Napa	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	4	80%	1	100%	5	100%
Nevada	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Orange	45	92%	4	8%	49	100%	45	92%	4	8%	49	100%
Placer	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Plumas	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Riverside	31	100%	0	0%	31	100%	31	100%	0	0%	31	100%
Sacramento	15	94%	1	6%	16	100%	16	100%	0	0%	16	100%



**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>						Disabled <sup>1</sup>					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Benito	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
San Bernardino	26	90%	3	10%	29	100%	28	97%	1	3%	29	100%
San Diego	53	93%	4	7%	57	100%	56	98%	1	2%	57	100%
San Francisco	19	90%	2	10%	21	100%	21	100%	0	0%	21	100%
San Joaquin	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
San Luis Obispo	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%
San Mateo	10	91%	1	9%	11	100%	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%
Santa Barbara	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Santa Clara	29	97%	1	3%	30	100%	31	100%	0	0%	31	100%
Santa Cruz	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Shasta	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Sierra	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Siskiyou	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Solano	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Sonoma	9	82%	2	18%	11	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Stanislaus	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%
Sutter	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Tehama	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Trinity	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Tulare	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>						Disabled <sup>1</sup>					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
Trial Court	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>
Tuolumne	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Ventura	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Yolo	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Yuba	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%

1. Tabled values for veteran and disability status include responses from justices and judges new to the bench in calendar years 2014 through 2020, as well as experienced justices and judges who chose to update their demographic information during the same 6 year period. Demographic questions pertaining to veteran and disability status are new as of 2014 and reflect an expansion of the mandate for the collection of demographic information from new justices and judges.

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Court / Year	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>						
2008	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2009	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2010	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2011	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2012	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2013	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2014	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2015	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2016	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2017	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2018	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2019	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2020	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2021	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
<b>Court of Appeal</b>						
2008	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2009	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2010	30	29.4%	72	70.6%	102	100.0%
2011	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2012	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2013	31	31.0%	69	69.0%	100	100.0%
2014	29	30.5%	66	69.5%	95	100.0%
2015	31	31.6%	67	68.4%	98	100.0%
2016	30	30.9%	67	69.1%	97	100.0%
2017	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%
2018	33	36.7%	57	63.3%	90	100.0%
2019	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%
2020	41	40.2%	61	59.8%	102	100.0%
2021	39	39.4%	60	60.6%	99	100.0%

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Court / Year	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>						
2008	421	28.1%	1,075	71.9%	1,496	100.0%
2009	430	28.7%	1,068	71.3%	1,498	100.0%
2010	444	29.2%	1,078	70.8%	1,522	100.0%
2011	486	30.6%	1,102	69.4%	1,588	100.0%
2012	484	30.8%	1,085	69.2%	1,569	100.0%
2013	484	31.2%	1,065	68.8%	1,549	100.0%
2014	508	32.2%	1,071	67.8%	1,579	100.0%
2015	499	32.2%	1,052	67.8%	1,551	100.0%
2016	517	32.9%	1,053	67.1%	1,570	100.0%
2017	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
2018	543	34.3%	1,039	65.7%	1,582	100.0%
2019	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
2020	604	37.2%	1,019	62.8%	1,623	100.0%
2021	598	37.4%	999	62.6%	1,597	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	%	N	%	N	%
2008	453	28.3%	1,150	71.7%	1,603	100.0%
2009	462	28.8%	1,143	71.2%	1,605	100.0%
2010	477	29.2%	1,154	70.8%	1,631	100.0%
2011	522	30.8%	1,174	69.2%	1,696	100.0%
2012	521	31.1%	1,156	68.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	519	31.3%	1,137	68.7%	1,656	100.0%
2014	541	32.2%	1,140	67.8%	1,681	100.0%
2015	533	32.2%	1,122	67.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	551	32.9%	1,123	67.1%	1,674	100.0%
2017	566	33.6%	1,118	66.4%	1,684	100.0%
2018	579	34.5%	1,099	65.5%	1,678	100.0%
2019	633	36.3%	1,110	63.7%	1,743	100.0%
2020	648	37.4%	1,084	62.6%	1,732	100.0%
2021	640	37.6%	1,063	62.4%	1,703	100.0%

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of that year. The 2009 through 2021 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

Note: The changes in percentages from year to year are the result of more than one factor, including: (1) new judicial appointments; (2) judicial retirements; and (3) newly-acquired data from judges on the bench who previously did not provide their demographic information.

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to  
Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>Supreme Court</b>																					
2008	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2009	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2010	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2011	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2012	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2013	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2014	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2015	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
2016	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2017	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2018	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
2019	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
2020	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2021	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
<b>Court of Appeal</b>																					
2008	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	82	82.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2009	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	81	81.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	83	81.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%	3	2.9%	102	100.0%	
2011	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	83	79.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.7%	2	1.9%	104	100.0%	
2012	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	81	80.2%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	101	100.0%	
2013	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0	0.0%	79	79.0%	1	1.0%	6	6.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2014	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	75	78.9%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%	
2015	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	75	76.5%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	1	1.1%	98	100.0%	
2016	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	1	1.0%	6	6.2%	1	1.0%	97	100.0%	
2017	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	1.0%	93	100.0%	
2018	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	10	11.1%	6	6.7%	0	0.0%	65	72.2%	2	2.2%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	90	100.0%	
2019	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%	
2020	0	0.0%	6	5.9%	9	8.8%	6	5.9%	0	0.0%	75	73.5%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	102	100.0%	
2021	0	0.0%	5	5.1%	10	10.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	72	72.7%	2	2.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	99	100.0%	

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to  
Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>Trial Court</b>																					
2008	2	0.1%	67	4.5%	74	4.9%	103	6.9%	2	0.1%	1,076	71.9%	7	0.5%	63	4.2%	102	6.8%	1,496	100.0%	
2009	5	0.3%	71	4.7%	77	5.1%	110	7.3%	3	0.2%	1,080	72.1%	10	0.7%	58	3.9%	84	5.6%	1,498	100.0%	
2010	5	0.3%	78	5.1%	81	5.3%	118	7.8%	3	0.2%	1,113	73.1%	15	1.0%	52	3.4%	57	3.7%	1,522	100.0%	
2011	6	0.4%	88	5.5%	90	5.7%	134	8.4%	4	0.3%	1,142	71.9%	15	0.9%	52	3.3%	57	3.6%	1,588	100.0%	
2012	7	0.4%	89	5.7%	91	5.8%	133	8.5%	4	0.3%	1,128	71.9%	18	1.1%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,569	100.0%	
2013	7	0.5%	91	5.9%	96	6.2%	133	8.6%	4	0.3%	1,101	71.1%	18	1.2%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,549	100.0%	
2014	8	0.5%	95	6.0%	99	6.3%	148	9.4%	4	0.3%	1,108	70.2%	18	1.1%	52	3.3%	47	3.0%	1,579	100.0%	
2015	8	0.5%	97	6.3%	101	6.5%	149	9.6%	4	0.3%	1,079	69.6%	18	1.2%	50	3.2%	45	2.9%	1,551	100.0%	
2016	9	0.6%	104	6.6%	101	6.4%	158	10.1%	4	0.3%	1,082	68.9%	19	1.2%	50	3.2%	43	2.7%	1,570	100.0%	
2017	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%	
2018	9	0.6%	116	7.3%	111	7.0%	166	10.5%	4	0.3%	1,067	67.4%	17	1.1%	51	3.2%	41	2.6%	1,582	100.0%	
2019	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%	
2020	8	0.5%	129	7.9%	124	7.6%	181	11.2%	5	0.3%	1,059	65.2%	16	1.0%	67	4.1%	34	2.1%	1,623	100.0%	
2021	7	0.4%	132	8.3%	125	7.8%	184	11.5%	5	0.3%	1,030	64.5%	15	0.9%	66	4.1%	33	2.1%	1,597	100.0%	
<b>Total</b>																					
2008	2	0.1%	71	4.4%	77	4.8%	107	6.7%	2	0.1%	1,162	72.5%	7	0.4%	72	4.5%	103	6.4%	1,603	100.0%	
2009	5	0.3%	75	4.7%	81	5.0%	114	7.1%	3	0.2%	1,165	72.6%	10	0.6%	67	4.2%	85	5.3%	1,605	100.0%	
2010	5	0.3%	82	5.0%	84	5.2%	122	7.5%	3	0.2%	1,200	73.6%	15	0.9%	60	3.7%	60	3.7%	1,631	100.0%	
2011	6	0.4%	92	5.4%	95	5.6%	139	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,229	72.3%	15	0.9%	60	3.5%	59	3.5%	1,699	100.0%	
2012	7	0.4%	94	5.6%	96	5.7%	137	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,212	72.3%	19	1.1%	59	3.5%	49	2.9%	1,677	100.0%	
2013	7	0.4%	96	5.8%	101	6.1%	138	8.3%	4	0.2%	1,183	71.4%	19	1.1%	59	3.6%	49	3.0%	1,656	100.0%	
2014	8	0.5%	99	5.9%	104	6.2%	153	9.1%	4	0.2%	1,186	70.6%	19	1.1%	60	3.6%	48	2.9%	1,681	100.0%	
2015	8	0.5%	100	6.0%	108	6.5%	155	9.4%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.9%	19	1.1%	58	3.5%	46	2.8%	1,655	100.0%	
2016	9	0.5%	108	6.5%	110	6.6%	165	9.9%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.1%	20	1.2%	57	3.4%	44	2.6%	1,674	100.0%	
2017	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1,159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	3.4%	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%	
2018	9	0.5%	120	7.2%	122	7.3%	173	10.3%	4	0.2%	1,133	67.5%	19	1.1%	57	3.4%	41	2.4%	1,678	100.0%	
2019	8	0.5%	134	7.7%	134	7.7%	185	10.6%	4	0.2%	1,155	66.3%	18	1.0%	68	3.9%	37	2.1%	1,743	100.0%	
2020	8	0.5%	137	7.9%	134	7.7%	188	10.9%	5	0.3%	1,136	65.6%	18	1.0%	72	4.2%	34	2.0%	1,732	100.0%	
2021	7	0.4%	138	8.1%	137	8.0%	191	11.2%	5	0.3%	1,104	64.8%	17	1.0%	71	4.2%	33	1.9%	1,703	100.0%	

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of that year. The 2009 through 2021 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2020**

**Race/Ethnicity Categories**

The category descriptions are adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000, which for the first time invited respondents to identify more than one category. The same category descriptions were used in Census 2010.

*American Indian or Alaska Native*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

*Asian*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent. The category includes persons who indicate their race as Cambodian, Chinese, East Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Thai, or Vietnamese.

*Black or African American*: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

*Hispanic or Latino*: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

*White*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.