

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS**

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Report

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Center for Families, Children & the Courts
Bonnie Rose Hough, Managing Attorney, 415-865-7668,
bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov

DATE: August 1, 2008

SUBJECT: Equal Access Fund: Distribution of Funds for IOLTA-Formula
Grants (Action Required)

Issue Statement

The State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission has submitted its annual report on the distribution of Equal Access Fund grants. In that report, the commission requests that the Judicial Council approve the distribution of \$14,850,000 according to the statutory formula set out in the proposed State Budget since the commission has complied with the guidelines set forth for the distribution of those funds. For the last nine years, the Budget Act authorizing the Equal Access Fund has provided that the Judicial Council must approve the commission's recommendations if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines.

Recommendation

Staff of the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) recommends that the Judicial Council follow the recommendation of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission and approve the distribution of \$14,850,000 in IOLTA* - Formula Grants for fiscal year 2008–2009 according to the terms of the State Budget, once that budget is enacted, and approve the commission's determination that the proposed budget of each individual grant complies with the statutory and other guidelines.

The commission's report on distribution of the grant funds is attached at pages 4–21.

* IOLTA stands for Interest on Lawyers' Trust Accounts.

Rationale for Recommendation

For the last nine years, the state Budget Act has contained a provision for the allotment of \$10 million to an Equal Access Fund “to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice.” (Stats. 2007, ch.171, pp. 40–42; Stats. 2006, ch. 47, pp. 26–30; Stats. 2005, ch. 38, pp. 9–11; Stats. 2004, ch. 208, pp.16–17; Stats. 2003, ch. 157, pp. 11–12; Stats. 2002, ch. 379, pp. 30–31; Stats. 2001, ch. 106, pp. 73–74; Stats. 2000, ch. 52, pp. 78–79; Stats. 1999, ch. 50, pp. 55–56.) This provision is contained in the current State Budget bills, Assembly Bill 1770 (Laird) and Senate Bill 1067 (Ducheny).

In 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That act established a new distribution of \$4.80 per filing fee to the Equal Access Fund. The estimated revenue from filing fees for the fund is \$5,255,000 per year. Those revenues were collected by the trial courts starting in January 2007.

The proposed budget-control language (attached at page 12) requires the Judicial Council to distribute the Equal Access Fund monies to legal services providers through the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The State Bar created the commission to administer the law regulating attorneys’ interest-bearing (IOLTA) trust accounts. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6210 et seq.; State Bar Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons, rule 4.)

The proposed Budget Act further requires that “[t]he Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. . . . The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements. . . .” (AB 1770, Laird.) (The relevant sections of the proposed act are attached at page 12.)

Under the Budget Act, the Chief Justice, as chair of the Judicial Council, appoints one-third of the voting members to the commission: five attorney members and two public members, one of whom is a court administrator. The Chief Justice also appoints three nonvoting judges to the commission: two trial court judges and one appellate justice. (The membership roster is attached at pages 13–14.)

The Legal Services Trust Fund Commission met on April 7, 2008, and approved a schedule for allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grants to legal services providers according to the formula established under the Business and Professions Code. Since the funds are allocated based on a formula set out in that statute, the

commission determined that eligible programs would be mailed application packets indicating the grant amount according to that formula. As in previous years, the commission approved a grant year of October 1, 2008, through September 30, 2009.

The commission's report on the allocation of the Equal Access Fund shows that the commission has followed the statutory requirements and the additional criteria proposed in a report to the Judicial Council at its August 1999 meeting. It has completed all site visits and performed all financial reviews identified as concerns raised by the Bureau of State Audits on April 27, 2007.

It is appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve the distribution of \$14,850,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission once the Budget Act of 2008 has been signed by the Governor to allow distribution to the eligible organizations in October. The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of Partnership Grants after completing that selection process later this year.

Alternative Actions Considered

There are no viable alternatives to distributing the funds according to the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The Budget Act requires the council to approve the distribution if it finds that the statutory and other relevant guidelines are met.

Comments From Interested Parties

The recommendations have been approved by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission as required by law. The statutory scheme does not contemplate public comment.

Implementation Requirements and Costs

The IOLTA-Formula Grants require no court implementation. AOC staff will continue to work with trust fund commission staff to oversee administration of the Equal Access Fund. AOC staff support is covered by the provision for administrative costs in the Budget Act appropriation. Although the recommended allocations will have no direct fiscal effect on the courts, the courts will benefit indirectly from the assistance provided to self-represented litigants.

Attachments



THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM

180 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-1639

TELEPHONE: (415) 538-2252; FAX: (415) 538-2529

Stephanie L. Choy
Managing Director
(415) 538-2249

Lorna Choy
Sr. Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2535

Denise Teraoka
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2545

Daniel Passamaneck
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2403

Robert G. Lee
Sr. Accountant
(415) 538-2009

DATE: July 15, 2008

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Diane Bras, Chair
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission

Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

SUBJECT: Distribution of Equal Access Fund Grants

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 1999, the Judicial Council (the "Council") budget has included the Equal Access Fund for grants to provide free legal assistance to indigent Californians. These grants are made through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar (the "commission").

In 1999, you took action to implement this Fund, adopting procedures for the Chief Justice to appoint a third of the members of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission and approving the award of grants. You approved the award of grants each subsequent year since 1999. Our ninth grant year, 2007-08, will end September 30, 2008, and we now request the Council approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2008-2009.

IOLTA-Formula Grants. The \$14,400,000 in "IOLTA-Formula Grants" allocated for the 2007-08 grant year has already funded a wide range of legal services for low-income Californians. These funds are allocated according to a formula set forth in the Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTA) statute (Business & Professions Code sections 6210 et seq.) and pursuant to procedures already in place for determining eligibility and administering grants. Two categories of legal services providers are eligible for grants: "Qualified Legal Services Projects" and "Qualified Support Centers." A system of grant application, reporting and budget review and on-site visits, is used to monitor compliance with grant requirements.

The commission has already identified eligible legal services providers and the appropriate allocation of 2008-2009 Equal Access Fund grants in accordance with the IOLTA statute. With approval by the Council at its August 2008 meeting, and pending the commission's final approval of specific project budgets from grantees, staff will begin to distribute the grant funds shortly after the commencement of the grant year on October 1.

Partnership Grants. For the \$1,600,000 in 2007-08 “Partnership Grants,” where the budget control language allows considerable discretion over the distribution of funds, a total of 24 proposals to help self-represented litigants at the courthouse were selected for funding. Commission members and staff are refining the grant guidelines used last year to prepare a new request for proposals for projects to be funded in calendar year 2009, and will present proposed grantees and allocations to the Council in December 2008.

It is now appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2008-2009 in the amount of \$14,850,000 pursuant to the Budget Act of 2008. The commission will present the proposed Partnership grants awards at a later date.

INTRODUCTION

The Equal Access Fund, initially created by the Budget Act of 1999, has been continued in each Budget Act since then, including the 2008 Budget Act. The budget initially allocated \$9.5 million to the Judicial Council, to be distributed in grants to legal services providers through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar. The budget control language establishes two kinds of grants: IOLTA-Formula Grants and Partnership Grants. The budget also provides for funds for the cost of administration.

In 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That Act established a new distribution to the Equal Access Fund of \$4.80 per filing fee. Filing fee revenue distributed through to the Equal Access Fund was \$4 million in 2006-07, and was \$5.7 million for 2007-08 (which included income received but not distributed in the prior year, less administrative expenses). With minor rounding, current receipts of filing fee funds for the 2008-09 fiscal year support an estimate of \$5,700,000 million in total grant year filing fee income available for distribution. Additionally, \$147,564 was received in filing fees last year beyond the amounts authorized for distribution that year; pursuant to last year’s Resolution by this Council, those “excess” funds are to be included in legal services grants for this coming 2008-09 grant year. Total filing fee revenue available for distribution for 2008-09 is therefore \$5,847,564. If actual filing fee receipts for 2008-09 exceed this sum, the excess will be included in the legal services grants in the coming year.

The Budget Act also applied the State Appropriation Limit (SAL) to the Judiciary Budget for the first time in 2006. The SAL to be applied this year is anticipated to be 2.7%, to be applied to last year’s SAL-augmented total of \$10,495,000. This results in a SAL augmentation for 2008-09 of \$283,365, and a total budgetary allocation for the 2008-09 grant year of \$10,778,365.

These three components – the basic budgetary allocation of \$10,495,000, the SAL augmentation of \$283,365 to the budgetary allocation, and the filing fee revenue of \$5,847,564 – result in an estimated total of \$16,625,929. Because this number is only

an estimate, at this time we recommend a distribution of \$16,500,000 in 2008-2009. Any amount collected in excess of \$16.5 million will be distributed in the 2009-2010 year. Distribution will be pursuant to the language of the Budget Act:

- Ninety percent of the grant funds are to be distributed to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers according to a formula set forth in California's Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA") statute. Funds available for this category of grants, called "IOLTA-Formula Grants," equal \$14,850,000.
- Ten percent of the grant funds are set aside for Partnership Grants to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers for "joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants." Funds available for Partnership Grants equal \$1,650,000.
- Administrative costs, in a total amount up to \$825,000, are shared between the Judicial Council and the Trust Fund Commission.

(The proposed 2008-2009 budget control language is attached at page 12.)

The Chief Justice continues to appoint one-third of the members of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission, plus three advisors. All of them participate actively in the commission's work, with each serving or having served on one of its three standing committees. (A roster of commission members as of August 2008 is attached at page 13.)

IOLTA-FORMULA GRANTS

First Nine Years' Grants

Legal services providers have used the IOLTA-Formula Grants for a wide range of services and activities that reflect both the legal needs of poor people and the special strengths of the participating programs. A substantial share of the efforts funded by these grants has been aimed at legal needs of children (adoptions, guardianships and children's access to health care, for example) or the elderly (Alzheimer's patients, nursing home evictions, home equity fraud). IOLTA-Formula Grants have also supported efforts to address the needs of families, including a range of services to help overcome barriers to self-sufficiency and make welfare-to-work a reality. Others focus on populations that are particularly at risk, such as people with disabilities, the homeless, or victims of abuse and human trafficking.

At the request of the commission, providers budget these funds for specific projects, activities, staff and other identified expenses, rather than use the funds for general operating support. The programs are required to report on project results from prior grant years and to describe their organizational efforts to measure and evaluate the success of their activities.

In March 2005, the Judicial Council submitted an extensive report to the Legislature evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of the use of these funds. The report concluded “that nonprofit legal aid providers have efficiently and effectively used their grants to provide legal assistance to some of the neediest Californians, but that there remains a tremendous unmet need.” The report included the following key findings:

- The Equal Access fund improves the lives of vulnerable Californians.
- Thoughtful and innovative delivery systems have been implemented to stretch Equal Access Fund dollars and maximize services to clients.
- The Equal Access Fund strengthens, expands, and is efficiently incorporated into the legal aid delivery system.
- The Equal Access Fund creates strong partnerships between the courts and nonprofit legal aid providers that benefit low-income litigants, the judicial system, and the public at large.
- Despite the gains, significantly more funding is necessary to serve California’s unrepresented litigants.

Given these findings, the report recommends that the Equal Access Fund be increased to build on the statewide legal aid network serving low-income people; that additional funding is needed to expand court-based self-help centers; and that ongoing evaluation is needed to continue to improve the delivery of legal assistance to low-income and marginalized Californians. The Administrative Office of the Courts has provided, and continues to provide, education and training to service providers in evaluation theory and technique relevant to this last recommendation, and has analyzed significant quantities of reported data. AOC and State Bar staff continue to cooperate closely to analyze evaluative data and to provide technical assistance to service providers to improve the efficiency and utility of their evaluations.

Eligibility and Distribution of Grants

The budget control language has, each year of the Equal Access Fund, provided for the funds to be distributed “to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in sections 6213 through 6215 of the Business and Professions Code.” Those provisions of the IOLTA statute establish the basic eligibility requirements for these two categories of organizations that are entitled to receive funding:

- “Legal Services Projects,” which have as their primary purpose the provision of legal services in civil matters directly to indigent clients without charge. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(a)]
- “Support Centers,” which provide statewide back-up assistance – training, technical assistance and advocacy support – to the legal services projects. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(b)]

All grantees must be nonprofit corporations, must maintain quality control procedures approved by the commission, and must meet minimum levels of funding and services that are set out in the statute. [Business and Professions Code, §§6214-6215]

The Budget Act requires 90 percent of the Equal Access Fund to be distributed to qualified organizations in the same way as are the IOLTA funds, consistent with sections 6216 through 6223 of the Business and Professions Code, for IOLTA-Formula Grants. Business and Professions Code section 6216 establishes the formula by which funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants are allocated:

- Fifteen percent of the grant money is reserved for Support Centers and is divided among the centers equally.
- Eighty-five percent of the funds are allocated by county based on poverty population, and then divided up within each county among the Legal Services Projects based on the amount they spent in the prior calendar year providing services in that county. Programs that utilize volunteers as their principal means of delivering legal services share an additional allocation in each county where they so qualify.

The IOLTA statute also addresses the use of funds by recipient organizations. Grants must be used to provide free civil legal services to indigent persons or to qualified Legal Services Projects. Qualified Legal Services Projects are required to spend grant funds providing services for the benefit of clients in the counties for which they are allocated. In addition, Legal Services Projects shall make extra efforts to increase services to especially disadvantaged and underserved client groups within their service areas. Qualified Support Centers must publicize the availability of their services and demonstrate that they actually provided legal support to qualified Legal Services Projects on a statewide basis. [Business and Professions Code, §§6218, 6220, 6221, 6223]

Oversight and Reporting

The requirements regarding eligibility and use of funds are reflected in regulating rules and grant conditions approved by the State Bar Board of Governors and incorporated into a written agreement with each grant recipient. To monitor compliance with the requirements, the commission administers a system of grant reporting and oversight that includes written reports, regular telephone contact, and on-site visits.

Oversight begins with the annual application for funding. The application includes extensive information about the legal services provider's activities and services, accompanied by an annual financial statement that must be audited (or reviewed if gross expenditures are less than \$500,000) by an independent certified public accountant. Following the commission's determination of eligibility and allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grant amounts, each applicant submits a proposed budget for use of the funds, with a narrative description of the services to be provided and how the efficacy and impact of those services will be measured and maximized. The commission reviews this budget to ensure that it complies with the requirements described above before any funds are actually distributed. Subsequently, grant recipients provide written reports of their expenditure of grant funds, services provided, and clients or customers served.

On-site visits are used in tandem with review of the application documentation and budgets to monitor compliance with the statutory requirements and grant conditions as well as to evaluate provider effectiveness and monitor the provider's fiscal practices for the handling of grant funds. Teams of staff, sometimes joined by commission members, conduct these visits on a three-year cycle.

The State of California's audit of the State Bar, released on April 27, 2007, noted that the Trust Fund Program was behind schedule in completing site visits, and in particular, fiscal monitoring visits. The Trust Fund Program has brought both program and fiscal site visits up to date, and continues to monitor programs according to schedule. Addressing other points raised by the audit, new online attorney IOLTA reporting has been incorporated to track attorney compliance with the Trust Fund statute, and Trust Fund staff is fully utilizing – and updating – monitoring forms to enhance program assessment during site visits.

PARTNERSHIP GRANTS

Since 1999, 10% of the Equal Access Fund has been set aside annually in the Budget Act for a competitive grants program for projects that work with local courts to help provide legal services for self-represented litigants. Beginning in 2006, those funds have been augmented by filing fee income and application of the Statutory Appropriations Limit. Twenty-four projects throughout California currently are receiving these "Partnership Grants," in sums from \$28,000 to \$168,000. Only recipients of IOLTA and IOLTA-Formula Grants are eligible to apply for these grants, which are awarded to maximize the impact of this funding across areas of legal need, population types, and geographical regions. This year, \$1,650,000 will be available for Partnership Grants.

The Partnership Grants process begins with evaluation of proposals by Trust Fund Program staff and a committee of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. This evaluation encompasses several criteria, including but not limited to assuring the impartiality of the services, providing meaningful referrals, assurances of program effectiveness and fiscal stability, and whether the proposal seeks refunding or is for a new project. Partnership Grants are considered "seed money" to encourage new projects; consequently, grants for ongoing projects have in the past been reduced significantly after three years of Partnership funding, and have been terminated after five unless extraordinary conditions dictate to the contrary, as defined by written commission protocols. Funding is provided on a calendar year basis.

The Request for Proposals for Partnership Grants projects for calendar year 2009 will be issued in August 2008. The commission, with the advice and participation of AOC staff, will select successful proposals and determine tentative allocations in November 2008. The Council will have final responsibility for approving the commission's recommendations and grant awards at its December 9, 2008 meeting.

NEXT STEPS: TRUST FUND COMMISSION AND JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. At its meeting on April 7, 2008, the commission reviewed grant applications and determined which legal services providers are eligible for IOLTA grants for the 2008-09 grant year.

Based on amounts distributed last year and projected filing fee revenue, the commission has provided grantees with tentative Equal Access Fund grant allocation amounts. Based on these tentative amounts, each grant recipient is currently preparing a detailed line item budget. Budgets will be reviewed by staff and amendments will be proposed as needed between August 11th and September 18th. The commission will approve budgets on September 19, 2008; thereafter, the State Bar will sign a grant agreement with each recipient program. (A form version of the grant agreement used last year is attached at page 15; no substantive changes have been proposed for this year's agreement.)

The grant period for these distributions will be October 1, 2008, through September 30, 2009. We will issue the first of four quarterly grant checks for distribution to recipients in October. [A list of the grant allocations, along with the allocation of grants from the IOLTA Fund for the 2008-09 grant year (July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009, in the case of the IOLTA Fund grants) appears on page 19.]

The commission and its staff will be responsible for administration of these funds in tandem with IOLTA revenues and voluntary contributions through the Justice Gap Fund. Along with the regular reporting we already require for IOLTA Fund Grants, grant recipients account separately for the Equal Access Fund IOLTA-Formula Grants, submitting quarterly expenditure reports as well as year-end program assessments.

We will continue to work closely with AOC staff, providing regular reports reflecting how the grants meet the statutory requirements and other guidelines, as well as information needed to assist the Council in budget preparation. In 2007, consultants hired by the AOC together with AOC research department staff finalized mandatory reporting instruments and program-owned evaluative toolkits that were used to collect data for the comprehensive report to the Legislature. The Trust Fund staff, working together with the Administrative Office of the Courts, continues to encourage legal services providers to make critical assessments of their work and its impact on the communities they serve.

Judicial Council. The Budget Act provides that "the Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the Council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines." It is now appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of \$14,850,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2008-09 to those legal services providers determined by the commission to be in compliance with the statutory and other applicable guidelines. The funds will be released by the Council to the State Bar in four equal disbursements, and will be paid out to the eligible legal services programs quarterly over the course of the grant period.

SUMMARY OF COUNCIL ACTION REQUIRED

In conclusion, it is appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve on August 15 the distribution of \$14,850,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission pursuant to the Budget Act of 2008. That will make it possible for us to disburse the first quarter of the funding to eligible organizations in October.

The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of \$1,650,000 in Partnership Grants after completing the selection process later this year.

Attachment A

Budget Control Language

**AB 1770
(p. 11)**

(8) 45.55.090-Equal Access Fund Program...10,776,000
(8.5) Unallocated Reduction..... -1,938,000
(9) Reimbursements..... -53,260,000
-2,275,000
-3,075,000

Amount payable from Federal
Trust Fund (Item 0250-101-
0890).....
(10)

Provisions:

In order to improve equal access and the fair
administration of justice, the funds appropriated

1.
in Schedule (8) are to be distributed by the Judicial
Council through the Legal Services Trust
Fund Commission to qualified legal services
projects and support centers as defined in Sections
6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business
and Professions Code, to be used for legal services
in civil matters for indigent persons. The
Judicial Council shall approve awards made by
the commission if the council determines that
the awards comply with statutory and other relevant
guidelines. Ten percent of the funds in
Schedule (8) shall be for joint projects of courts
and legal services programs to make legal assistance
available to pro per litigants and 90 percent
of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be distributed
consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive,
of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial
Council may establish additional reporting
or quality control requirements consistent with
Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.

Attachment B

Roster of Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Members

OFFICERS

<p>Diane Bras, <i>Chair</i> Family Law Facilitator Superior Court of CA, County of Placer 11546 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603 ph: (530) 889-7406 fx: (530) 889-7464 e-mail: dbras@placerco.org</p>	<p>Catherine "Kathi" M. Frazier 6825 Alabama Avenue #214 Canoga Park, CA 91303 ph: (818) 779-6222 e-mail: KFraz38841@aol.com</p>
<p>Peter Carson, <i>Co-Vice Chair</i> Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 ph: (415) 393-2830 fx: (415) 393-2286 e-mail: peter.carson@bingham.com</p>	<p>Barbara Heller San Rafael City Council 24 Sienna Way San Rafael, CA 94901 ph: (415) 457-9810 fx: (415) 457-9820 e-mail: barbaraheller2000@yahoo.com</p>
<p>Julie Weng-Gutierrez, <i>Co-Vice Chair</i> Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 445-8223 fx: (916) 324-5567 e-mail: julie.wenggutierrez@doj.ca.gov</p>	<p>Donna Hershkowitz Assistant Director, Office of Governmental Affairs Judicial Council–Administrative Office of the Courts 770 L Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 323-3121 fx: (916) 323-4347 e-mail: donna.hershkowitz@jud.ca.gov</p>
<p>Anyta Archer 804 Alpine Avenue Burlingame, CA 94010 ph: (650) 738-4207 e-mail: archer@smccd.edu</p>	<p>David E. Hopmann Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2228 ph: (415) 983-1977 fx: (415) 983-1200 e-mail: david.hopmann@pillsburylaw.com</p>
<p>William Bentley 41 Mirabel Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941 ph: (415) 388-3782 e-mail: whbbab@sbcglobal.net</p>	<p>Barbara Yanow Johnson 2170 Century Park East, Suite 1211 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2247 ph: (310) 393-4220 fx: (310) 496-0142 e-mail: barbarajohnson@byjlaw.net</p>
<p>Knox Cologne III 1930 Lorain Road San Marino, CA 91108 ph: (626) 570-0776 fx: (626) 570-0786 e-mail: kmcologne@mac.com</p>	<p>David Lash O'Melveny & Myers 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 ph: (213) 430-8366 fx: (213) 430-6407 e-mail: dlash@omm.com</p>

<p>Kirk McInnis California Attorney General's Office Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-1499 ph: (510) 622-2191 fx: (510) 622.2121 e-mail: kirk.mcinnis@doj.ca.gov</p>	<p>ADVISORS Hon. Keith D. Davis Judge of the Superior Court, County of San Bernardino 8303 Haven Avenue Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 ph: (909) 356-6486 e-mail: kddavis@courts.sbcounty.gov</p>
<p>Steven A. Nissen Vice President, Legal & Government Affairs NBC Universal 100 Universal City Plaza, 1280/04 Universal City, CA 91608 ph: (818) 777-9632 fx: (818) 866-3363 e-mail: Steve.Nissen@nbcuni.com</p>	<p>Hon. Charles F. Palmer Judge of the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 974-8759 fx: (213) 680-0390 e-mail: cfpalmer@LASuperiorCourt.org</p>
<p>Richard Odgers Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105 ph: (415) 983-1000 fx: (415) 983-1200 e-mail: richard.odgers@pillsburylaw.com</p>	<p>Hon. Maria P. Rivera Judge of the First District Court of Appeal 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4712 ph: (415) 865-7240 fx: (415) 865-7309 e-mail: rivera@jud.ca.gov</p>
<p>Ellen Pirie County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street, Room 500 Santa Cruz, CA 95060 ph: (831) 454-2200 fx: (831) 454-3262 e-mail: ellen.pirie@co.santa-cruz.ca.us</p>	<p>Tania Ugrin-Capobianco Superior Court of California, County of El Dorado 2850 Fairlane Court, Building C Placerville, CA 95667 Ph: (530) 621-5155 fx: (530) 295-2536 e-mail: tania@eldaradocourt.org</p>
<p>Conchita Franco Serri Serri Compliance Training 254 Ely Place, Suite B Palo Alto, CA 94306 ph: (650) 722-1505 fx: (408) 331-0186 e-mail: mailto:conchita@serricompliance.com</p>	
<p>John W. Stump 4133 Poplar Avenue City Heights, CA 92105 ph: (619) 281-7394 fx: (619) 281-7394 e-mail: jwstump@cox.net</p>	

Attachment C

GRANT AGREEMENT

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM - EQUAL ACCESS FUND

This Grant Agreement is made as of October 1, 2007, (the "Effective Date") between The State Bar of California ("State Bar"), a California public corporation, and «PGNM27», a California nonprofit corporation ("Recipient").

RECITALS

Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 6210-6228 (the "Act") and the Budget Act of 2007 (Statutes 2007, chapter 171, §2, item No. 0250-101-0001, schedule (8), provision 1, and item No. 0250-101-0932, schedule (8), provisions 10, 12 (SB 77, the "Budget Act")) and the Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons (the "Rules") and The State Bar of California Legal Services Trust Fund Program General Grant Provisions (the "Grant Provisions"), a Legal Services Trust Fund Program ("Program") has been established in the State of California and will administer an Equal Access Fund ("Fund").

Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar an Application for Funding under the Program and Fund. As part of the Application for Funding, Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar, Certifications, Assurances, Attachments, and a Proposed Budget (collectively, including the Application for Funding, the "Application Materials").

In reliance upon the representations and agreements made in the Application Materials, State Bar has determined that Recipient is eligible for a grant under the Program and the Fund for the period commencing on October 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008 ("Grant Period").

The Board of Directors, the officers and similarly empowered staff of Recipient have read and understand the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials. Recipient has familiarized its staff with the requirements of the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials.

AGREEMENTS

1. Pursuant to the Program and Fund and in reliance upon the promises and representations made by Recipient, State Bar grants to Recipient «SMGRT» ("Grant Amount").
2. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Application Materials are incorporated into this Agreement as if set forth in their entirety in this Agreement. Recipient agrees to comply with the Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Assurances and other agreements made in the Application Materials. Recipient agrees to comply with all lawful statutes, rules, regulations, guidelines, policies, instructions and similar directives pertaining to the Program and the Fund (collectively "Directives") issued by the State of California, the Supreme Court of the State of California or State Bar, including without limitation, any Directive adopted after the Effective Date.
3. State Bar will use its best efforts to pay the Grant Amount in accordance with the Grant

Provisions. State Bar, however, will in no circumstances bear any liability to Recipient or to other persons or entities for delays in payments.

4. Notwithstanding the Grant Provisions or any other provision of the entire agreement regarding the payment of grants, Recipient acknowledges that the Grant Amount and all payments thereof shall be made from funds received by the State Bar pursuant to the Budget Act; and are contingent upon the availability and sufficiency of such funds to the State Bar, as determined by the State Bar. Consequently, Recipient shall not be guaranteed any specific dollar amount in grant funds or any grant funds at all, if funds received pursuant to the Budget Act (“State Funding”) are insufficient or unavailable to the State Bar. This Agreement shall terminate automatically if State Funding becomes unavailable. The State Bar shall not assume any liability whatsoever to Recipient for any failure to pay the Grant Amount or any part thereof that results because funds are insufficient or unavailable.

5. Recipient must spend funds received in connection with the Program and Fund in each county served in the amounts set forth in Schedule of Grant Allocations, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

6. Recipient warrants that Recipient’s Application Materials for a grant under the Program and Fund do not contain any misstatement of a material fact or omit any material fact necessary to make the statements contained in the Application Materials not misleading. Recipient will notify State Bar promptly of any change in any material fact affecting Recipient's eligibility to receive funds under the Program and Fund, including without limitation, any change that affects the accuracy of any statement made in conjunction with Recipient's application for a grant under the Program and Fund.

7. In support of the State Bar’s obligation to the Judicial Council to ensure full participation by Trust Fund grant recipients in maintaining and utilization of statewide on-line resources for legal advocates and consumers of legal services, Recipient will:

a) Ensure that, during the grant year, Recipient is accurately identified on the statewide legal services websites, including, as appropriate, in the:

- i. Client referral directory on LawHelpCalifornia.org;
- ii. Legal Services Directories (support center and field program directories); and
- iii. *Pro Bono* Opportunities Guide on CALegalAdvocates.org.

b) Include information about LawHelpCalifornia.org and CALegalAdvocates.org in trainings for new advocates; circulate information about the sites when received from state coordinators; encourage advocates to join the CALegalAdvocate.org Web site; and encourage participation in available brief trainings about the sites.

8. Recipient will permit State Bar’s agents to inspect at any time any office or other premises maintained by Recipient or used by Recipient in connection with the expenditure of funds received under the Program. Recipient will cooperate with State Bar’s agents during such inspections and will furnish the agents with any information that the agents reasonably request as relevant to determining Recipient’s compliance with this Agreement. State Bar’s right of access to Recipient's records for purposes of compliance will survive the expiration of the Grant Period. In complying with disclosure requirements of this Agreement and of the Program and Fund, Recipient may withhold any client-identifying information when Recipient reasonably determines that disclosure would violate the Act, the Rules or a rule or canon of professional responsibility.

9. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives set forth requirements concerning use of Program funds and payment for subcontracts to provide legal services (“Subcontracted Services”). Recipient acknowledges its obligation to inform all providers of Subcontracted Services with the requirements of the Program and to obtain from all Subcontracted Services providers a written agreement to comply with all requirements of this Agreement as if that provider is the Recipient. Recipient assigns to State Bar all rights that Recipient has or will acquire to inspect the premises and records of providers of Subcontracted Services to ensure compliance with Program, provided that disclosure of client-identifying information by a provider of Subcontracted Services shall be governed by the provisions of paragraph 8 hereof.

10. (a) Recipient shall not represent or in any way suggest that it may obligate or pledge the credit of the State of California or of State Bar.

(b) Recipient agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless State Bar (including its Board of Governors, officers, agents, and employees, as the same may be constituted now and from time to time hereafter) from and against any and all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses), which may arise against or be incurred by State Bar as a result of or in connection with (i) claims by any and all contractors, subcontractors, providers of consulting services, materialmen, laborers, or any other person, firm, or corporation retained by Recipient to furnish or supply work, service, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement; and (ii) claims by any person, firm, or corporation for injury or damage by Recipient or Recipient's agents in connection with the provision of legal services pursuant to this Agreement. Recipient shall further protect, indemnify and hold harmless the State Bar from and against all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses, or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses), arising from or in connection with the State Bar's enforcement of its rights under this paragraph. This indemnity provision shall survive the termination or expiration of this Grant Agreement.

(c) Recipient will use reasonable efforts to have State Bar named as an insured party to any liability insurance policies purchased by or for Recipient, and shall provide the State Bar with these certificates of insurance.

11. This Agreement does not impose on State Bar any obligation to provide Recipient funds in excess of the Grant Amount or beyond the end of the Grant Period.

12. (a) All notices given in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and be made personally or by first-class, certified, registered or express mail addressed to the parties at the addresses stated below:

State Bar:	The State Bar of California 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105
Attention:	Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director Legal Services Trust Fund Program
Recipient:	«PGNM26» «PGA126» «PGA226» «PGCY26», «PGST26» «PGZP26»
Attention:	«PGNM28» «PGTL28»

Notices given by mail will be deemed to have been given five (5) business days after being deposited in a United States Postal Services mailbox or with an express courier mail service. Changes in address for purposes of giving notice will be effective two weeks after giving notice of the change in address.

(b) This Agreement, together with the Application Materials, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives, contains and constitutes the entire agreement between State Bar and Recipient regarding the State Bar's grant of Equal Access Fund monies to Recipient and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral. This Agreement shall be binding upon agents and successors of both parties. No alteration of the terms of this Agreement will be valid or effective unless in writing and executed by each party.

(c) This Agreement was made and entered into by the parties in the State of California and shall be construed according to the laws of that state. Any action or suit brought to interpret, construe or enforce the provisions of this Agreement shall be commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California.

(d) Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Agreement and the person signing this Agreement on behalf of each party has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement. Each party further acknowledges that its Directors, Governors or similarly empowered persons have read this Agreement, understand it and agree to be bound by it.

(e) No term or provision herein shall be deemed waived and no breach excused unless such waiver or consent is in writing and signed by the party claimed to have waived or consented. No consent or waiver by one party to a breach of this Agreement by the other party, whether expressed or implied, shall constitute a consent to, waiver of, or excuse for any other, different or subsequent breach. No amendment, consent, or waiver on behalf of State Bar shall be binding upon State Bar unless it is executed by the Executive Director of The State Bar of California or his/her designee.

By executing this Agreement below, the parties agree to its terms.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

RECIPIENT

By _____
Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director
Legal Service Trust Fund Program

By _____
«PGNM28»
Program Director

DATE: _____, 2007

DATE: _____, 2007

By _____
Peggy Van Horn
Chief Financial Officer

By _____
Name _____
Chairperson

DATE: _____, 2007

DATE: _____, 2007

Attachment D

List of Grant Allocations 2008-2008

Program Name	IOLTA grant	IOLTA- Formula EAF grant
AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCATES	10,672	10,206
AIDS LEGAL REFERRAL PANEL	12,878	12,341
ALAMEDA CO BAR VOLUNTEER LEGAL SERVICES	21,598	20,791
ALAMEDA COUNTY HOMELESS ACTION CENTER	17,392	16,930
ALLIANCE FOR CHILDREN'S RIGHTS	290,431	277,765
ASIAN LAW CAUCUS	26,340	25,237
ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN LEGAL CENTER	247,954	237,141
ASIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER LEGAL OUTREACH	44,961	43,358
BAY AREA LEGAL AID	272,889	261,854
BENCHMARK INSTITUTE	105,866	101,249
BET TZEDEK LEGAL SERVICES	546,055	522,242
CALIF. ADVOCATES FOR NURSING HOME REFORM	105,866	101,249
CALIF. RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOUNDATION	105,866	101,249
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR LAW AND THE DEAF	6,660	0
CALIFORNIA INDIAN LEGAL SERVICES	110,292	105,514
CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.	1,245,308	1,191,005
CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S LAW CENTER	105,866	101,249
CASA CORNELIA LAW CENTER	56,767	54,291
CENTER FOR HEALTH CARE RIGHTS	123,705	118,310
CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CONST. LAW	105,866	101,249
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA LEGAL SERVICES	612,742	586,023
CENTRO LEGAL DE LA RAZA	15,191	14,735
CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW CLINICS	40,973	39,186
CHILD CARE LAW CENTER	105,866	101,249
CHILDREN'S RIGHTS CLINIC	19,823	18,959
COALITION OF CALIF. WELFARE RIGHTS ORGS.	105,866	101,249
COMMUNITY LEGAL SVCS. IN EAST PALO ALTO	19,048	18,217
CONTRA COSTA SENIOR LEGAL SERVICES	13,054	12,484
DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA	1,513,458	1,448,005
DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUC. AND DEFENSE FUND	105,866	101,249
DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER	186,382	178,255
EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER	72,843	70,909
ELDER LAW & ADVOCACY	63,883	61,097
FAMILY VIOLENCE LAW CENTER	47,287	46,031
GREATER BAKERSFIELD LEGAL ASSISTANCE	240,748	230,250
HALSA	59,370	56,781
HARRIETT BUHAI CENTER FOR FAMILY LAW	138,511	132,471
IELLA LEGAL AID PROJECT	52,288	50,007
IMMIGRANT LEGAL RESOURCE CENTER	105,866	101,249

Program Name	IOLTA grant	IOLTA- Formula EAF grant
INLAND COUNTIES LEGAL SERVICES	686,622	656,680
INNER CITY LAW CENTER	95,584	91,416
INSIGHT CENTER	105,866	101,249
LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL	36,314	34,748
LAW CENTER FOR FAMILIES	12,367	12,039
LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY	111,214	106,364
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS	85,136	81,700
LEARNING RIGHTS LAW CENTER	38,425	36,750
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES	958,239	916,451
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF SANTA BARBARA	62,523	59,796
LEGAL AID OF MARIN	25,429	24,320
LEGAL AID OF NAPA VALLEY	12,515	11,970
LEGAL AID OF SONOMA COUNTY	38,180	36,515
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF ORANGE COUNTY	576,503	551,362
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN BERNARDINO	141,222	135,063
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO	462,537	442,367
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN MATEO COUNTY	48,765	46,638
LEGAL AID SOCIETY-EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER	109,512	105,064
LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR SENIORS	39,277	38,205
LEGAL ASSISTANCE TO THE ELDERLY	10,644	10,179
LEGAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN	40,321	38,768
LEGAL SERVICES FOR SENIORS	43,958	42,041
LEGAL SERVICES OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	654,414	625,884
LEGAL SVCS. FOR PRISONERS WITH CHILDREN	105,866	101,249
LOS ANGELES CENTER FOR LAW AND JUSTICE	60,017	57,399
LOS ANGELES CO. BAR ASSOCIATION PROJECTS	64,336	61,530
MCGEORGE COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES	39,788	38,052
MENTAL HEALTH ADVOCACY SERVICES	74,286	71,046
NAT'L HEALTH LAW PROGRAM	105,866	101,249
NAT'L HOUSING LAW PROJECT	105,866	101,249
NAT'L SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER	105,866	101,249
NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW	105,866	101,249
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER	105,866	101,249
NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES	628,489	601,081
PRISON LAW OFFICE	168,836	161,501
PRO BONO PROJECT SILICON VALLEY	48,232	46,129
PUBLIC ADVOCATES	128,757	123,249
PUBLIC COUNSEL	628,975	601,546
PUBLIC INTEREST CLEARINGHOUSE	105,866	101,249
PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT	105,866	101,249
PUBLIC LAW CENTER	248,818	237,968
PUBLIC SERVICE LAW CORP. OF RIVERSIDE	62,315	59,597
SAN DIEGO VOLUNTEER LAWYER PROGRAM	179,712	171,874

Program Name	IOLTA grant	IOLTA- Formula EAF grant
SAN FRANCISCO BAR VOLUNTEER LGL. SVCS.	80,213	76,715
SANTA CLARA COUNTY ASIAN LAW ALLIANCE	25,210	24,111
SANTA CLARA UNIV. ALEXANDER LAW CENTER	34,414	32,913
SENIOR ADULTS LEGAL ASSISTANCE	21,223	20,297
SENIOR CITIZENS' LEGAL SERVICES	15,718	15,033
SENIOR LAW PROJECT	9,743	9,318
THE IMPACT FUND	105,866	101,249
THE WATSONVILLE LAW CENTER	19,209	18,371
UC DAVIS SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	62,428	59,706
USC LAW SCHOOL LITIGATION CLINICS	48,912	46,779
USD SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	34,831	33,313
VOLUNTARY LGL. SVCS. OF NORTHERN CALIF.	81,056	77,521
WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY	105,866	101,249
WORKSAFE INC.	105,866	101,249
YOUTH LAW CENTER	105,866	101,249
YUBA-SUTTER LEGAL CENTER FOR SENIORS	13,334	12,752
	15,527,108	14,850,000