

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS**

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Report

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Administrative Office of the Courts
Center for Families, Children & the Courts
Bonnie Rose Hough, Supervising Attorney, 415-865-7668,
bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov

DATE: August 14, 2007

SUBJECT: Equal Access Fund: Distribution of Funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants (Action Required)

Issue Statement

The State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission has submitted its annual report on the distribution of Equal Access Fund grants. In that report, the commission requests that the Judicial Council approve the distribution of \$14,400,000 according to the statutory formula set out in the proposed State Budget since the commission has complied with the guidelines set forth for the distribution of those funds. For the last eight years, the Budget Act authorizing the Equal Access Fund has provided that the Judicial Council must approve the commission's recommendations if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines.

Recommendation

Staff of the Administrative Office of the Courts recommends that the Judicial Council follow the recommendation of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission and approve the distribution of \$14,400,000 in IOLTA^{*}-Formula Grants for 2007–2008 according to the terms of the State Budget, once that budget is enacted, and approve the commission's determination that the proposed budget of each individual grant complies with the statutory and other guidelines.

The commission's report on distribution of the grant funds is attached at pages 4–26.

^{*} IOLTA stands for Interest on Lawyers' Trust Accounts.

Rationale for Recommendation

For the last eight years, the state Budget Act has contained a provision for the allotment of \$10 million to an Equal Access Fund “to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice.” (Stats. 2006, ch. 47, pp. 26–30; Stats. 2005, ch. 38, pp. 9–11; Stats. 2004, ch. 208, pp.16–17; Stats. 2003, ch. 157, pp. 11–12; Stats. 2002, ch. 379, pp. 30–31; Stats. 2001, ch. 106, pp. 73–74; Stats. 2000, ch. 52, pp. 78–79; Stats. 1999, ch. 50, pp. 55–56.) This provision is contained in the current State Budget bill, Senate Bill 77 (Ducheny) at pp. 10–16.

In 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That act established a new distribution of \$4.80 per filing fee to the Equal Access Fund. The estimated revenue from filing fees for the fund is \$5,255,000 per year. Those revenues were collected by the trial courts starting in January 2007.

The proposed budget-control language (attached at pages 13–17) requires the Judicial Council to distribute the Equal Access Fund monies to legal services providers through the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The State Bar created the commission to administer the law regulating attorneys’ interest-bearing (IOLTA) trust accounts. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6210 et seq.; State Bar Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons, rule 4.)

The proposed Budget Act further requires that “[t]he Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. . . . The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements. . . .” (SB 77, Ducheny.) (The relevant sections of the proposed act are attached at pages 13–17.)

Under the Budget Act, the Chief Justice as chair of the Judicial Council, appoints one-third of the voting members to the commission: five attorney members and two public members, one of whom is a court administrator. The Chief Justice also appoints three nonvoting judges to the commission: two trial court judges and one appellate justice. (The membership roster is attached at pages 18–19.)

The Legal Services Trust Fund Commission met on April 20, 2007, and approved a schedule for allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grants to legal services providers according to the formula established under the Business and Professions Code. Since the funds are allocated based on a formula set out in that statute, the commission determined that eligible programs would be mailed application packets indicating the grant amount according to that formula. As in previous

years, the commission approved a grant year of October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2008.

The commission's report on the allocation of the Equal Access Fund shows that the commission has followed the statutory requirements and the additional criteria proposed in a report to the Judicial Council at its August 1999 meeting. It is taking appropriate steps to respond to concerns raised by the Bureau of State Audits on April 27, 2007 which noted that the Trust Fund Program was behind schedule in completing financial site visits. The State Bar has since hired a Senior Accountant and made arrangements to bring all site visits up to date by the end of 2007.

It is appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve the distribution of \$14,400,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission once the Budget Act of 2007 has been signed by the Governor to allow distribution to the eligible organizations in October. The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of \$1,600,000 in Partnership Grants after completing that selection process later this year.

Alternative Actions Considered

There are no viable alternatives to distributing the funds according to the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The Budget Act requires the council to approve the distribution if it finds that the statutory and other relevant guidelines are met.

Comments From Interested Parties

The recommendations have been approved by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission as required by law. The statutory scheme does not contemplate public comment.

Implementation Requirements and Costs

The IOLTA-Formula Grants require no court implementation. AOC staff will continue to work with trust fund commission staff to oversee administration of the Equal Access Fund. AOC staff support is covered by the provision for administrative costs in the Budget Act appropriation. Although the recommended allocations will have no direct fiscal effect on the courts, the courts will benefit indirectly from the assistance provided to self-represented litigants.

Attachments



THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM

180 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-1639

TELEPHONE: (415) 538-2252; FAX: (415) 538-2529

July 24, 2007

Stephanie Choy
Managing Director
(415) 538-2249

Lorna Choy
Sr. Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2535

Denise Teraoka
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2545

Daniel Passamaneck
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2403

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Ellen Pirie, Chair
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission

Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

SUBJECT: Distribution of Equal Access Fund Grants

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 1999 the Judicial Council (the "Council") budget has included the Equal Access Fund for grants to provide free legal assistance to indigent Californians. These grants are made through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar (the "commission").

In 1999 you took action to implement this Fund, adopting procedures for the Chief Justice to appoint a third of the members of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission and approving the award of grants. In each subsequent year you again approved the award of the grants.

The \$12,574,050 in "IOLTA-Formula Grants" allocated for the 2006-07 grant year has already funded a wide range of legal services for low-income Californians; we will complete this eighth grant year at the end of September. These funds are distributed according to a system set forth in the Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTA) statute (Business & Professions Code sections 6210 et seq) and pursuant to procedures already in place for determining eligibility and administering grants. Two categories of legal services providers are eligible for grants: "Qualified Legal Services Projects" and "Qualified Support Centers." Allocation of funds to the eligible organizations is determined by a statutory formula. A system of grant reporting and oversight, including written reports and on-site visits, is used to monitor compliance with the requirements.

The commission has already determined which legal services providers are eligible for 2007-08 Equal Access Fund grants, and the basis upon which allocations will be made to specific programs. With approval by the Council at its August 31, 2007 meeting, and pending the commission's final approval of specific project budgets from grantees, staff will begin to distribute the grant funds shortly after the commencement of the grant year on October 1.

For the \$1,397,120 in 2006-07 “Partnership Grants,” where the budget control language allows considerable discretion over the distribution of funds, a total of 25 proposals were selected for funding in the eighth and most recent grant year. Commission members and staff are refining the grant criteria and guidelines used last year to prepare a new request for proposals for projects to be funded in calendar year 2008, and will present proposed grantees and allocations to the Council in December, 2007.

It is now appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2007-2008. The commission will present the proposed Partnership Grants awards at a later date.

INTRODUCTION

The Equal Access Fund, initially created by the Budget Act of 1999, has been continued in each Budget Act since, including the 2006 Budget Act. The budget initially allocated \$9.5 million to the Judicial Council each of these years, to be distributed in grants to legal services providers through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar. The budget control language establishes two kinds of grants: IOLTA-Formula Grants and Partnership Grants. The budget also provides for funds for the cost of administration.

In 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That Act established a new distribution of \$4.80 per filing fee to the Equal Access Fund. The projected revenue from filing fees for the fund was \$4.8 million, but given uncertainty about that amount, \$4 million was distributed in 2006-07. Revenue last year amounted to \$5,152,543. Therefore, distribution of filing fee revenues should be \$5.7 million for 2007-08, including \$5 million in projected filing fee revenues for the coming year and \$1 million collected but not distributed last year, less an administrative expenses charge for 2007-2008 of \$300,000. If filing fee receipts for 2007-08 exceed \$5 million, the excess will be included in the legal services grants in the coming year.

The Budget Act also applied the State Appropriation Limit (SAL) to the Judiciary Budget for the first time in 2006. This represented an increase of 4.96% in the basic value of the \$9.5 million Equal Access Fund. The SAL to be applied this year is approximately 5%, to be applied to last year’s SAL-augmented total of \$9,971,200. This results in a SAL augmentation for 2007-08 of \$498,560, and a total budgetary allocation for the 2007-08 grant year of \$10,469,760.

These three components – the basic budgetary allocation, the SAL augmentation to the budgetary allocation, and filing fee revenue – result in an estimated total of \$16,169,760. Based on this estimate, \$15 million will be distributed in 2007-

2008. Any amount collected in excess of \$1 million will be distributed in the 2008-2009 year. Distribution will be pursuant to the language of the Budget Act:

- Ninety percent of the grant funds are to be distributed to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers according to a formula set forth in California's Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA") statute. Funds available for this category of grants, called "IOLTA-Formula Grants," equal \$14,400,000.
- Ten percent of the grant funds are set aside for Partnership Grants to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers for "joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants." Funds available for Partnership Grants equal \$1,600,000.
- Administrative costs, in a total amount up to \$500,000, are shared between the Judicial Council and the Trust Fund Commission.

A copy of the proposed 2007-2008 budget control language is attached at pages 13-17.

The Chief Justice continues to appoint one-third of the members of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission, plus three advisors. All of them participate actively in the commission's work, with each serving or having served on one of its three standing committees. (See pages 18-19 for a roster of commission members as of the date of the Council's August 31 meeting.)

IOLTA-FORMULA GRANTS

First Eight Years' Grants

Legal services providers have used the IOLTA-Formula Grants for a wide range of services and activities that reflect both the legal needs of poor people and the special strengths of the participating programs. A substantial share of the efforts funded by these grants has been aimed at legal needs of children (adoptions, guardianships and children's access to health care, for example) or the elderly (Alzheimer's patients, nursing home evictions, home equity fraud). IOLTA-Formula Grants have also supported efforts to address the needs of families, including a range of services to help overcome barriers to self-sufficiency and make welfare-to-work a reality. Others focus on populations that are particularly at risk, such as people with disabilities, the homeless, or victims of abuse and human trafficking.

At the request of the commission, providers budget these funds for specific projects, activities, staff and other identified expenses, rather than using the funds for general operating support. As part of the administration of these funds, programs are reporting further on the results from prior grant years and

describing their organizational efforts to measure and evaluate the success of their activities.

In March 2005, the Judicial Council submitted an extensive report to the Legislature evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of the use of these funds. The report concluded “that nonprofit legal aid providers have efficiently and effectively used their grants to provide legal assistance to some of the neediest Californians, but that there remains a tremendous unmet need.” The report included the following key findings:

- The Equal Access fund improves the lives of vulnerable Californians.
- Thoughtful and innovative delivery systems have been implemented to stretch Equal Access Fund dollars and maximize services to clients.
- The Equal Access Fund strengthens, expands, and is efficiently incorporated into the legal aid delivery system.
- The Equal Access Fund creates strong partnerships between the courts and nonprofit legal aid providers that benefit low-income litigants, the judicial system, and the public at large.
- Despite the gains, significantly more funding is necessary to serve California’s unrepresented litigants.

Given these findings, the report recommends that the Equal Access Fund be increased to build on the statewide legal aid network serving low-income people; that additional funding is needed to expand court-based self-help centers; and that ongoing evaluation is needed to continue to improve the delivery of legal assistance to low-income and marginalized Californians. The Administrative Office of the Courts has provided, and continues to provide, education and training to service providers in evaluation theory and technique relevant to this last recommendation, and has analyzed significant quantities of reported data. AOC and State Bar staff continue to cooperate closely to analyze evaluative data and to provide technical assistance to service providers to improve the efficiency and utility of their evaluations.

Eligibility and Distribution of Grants

The budget control language has, each year of the Equal Access Fund, provided for the funds to be distributed “to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in sections 6213 through 6215 of the Business and Professions Code.” Those provisions of the IOLTA statute establish the basic eligibility requirements for these two categories of organizations that are entitled to receive funding:

- “Legal Services Projects,” which have as their primary purpose the provision of legal services in civil matters directly to indigent clients without charge. [Business & Professions Code, §6213(a)]

- “Support Centers,” which provide statewide back-up assistance – training, technical assistance and advocacy support – to the legal services projects. [Business & Professions Code, §6213(b)]

All grantees must be nonprofit corporations, must maintain quality control procedures approved by the commission, and must meet minimum levels of funding and services that are set out in the statute. [Business & Professions Code, §§6214-6215]

The Budget Act requires 90 percent of the Equal Access Fund to be distributed to qualified organizations in the same way as the IOLTA funds, consistent with sections 6216 through 6223 of the Business and Professions Code, for IOLTA-Formula Grants. Business and Professions Code section 6216 establishes the formula by which funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants are allocated:

- Fifteen percent of the grant money is reserved for Support Centers and is divided among the centers equally.
- Eighty-five percent of the funds are allocated by county based on poverty population, and then divided up within each county among the Legal Services Projects based on the amount they spent in the prior calendar year providing services in that county.

The IOLTA statute also addresses the use of funds by recipient organizations. Grants must be used to provide free civil legal services to indigent persons or to qualified Legal Services Projects. Qualified Legal Services Projects are required to spend grant funds providing services for the benefit of clients in the counties for which they are allocated. In addition, Legal Services Projects shall make extra efforts to increase services to especially disadvantaged and underserved client groups within their service areas. Qualified Support Centers must publicize the availability of their services and demonstrate that they actually provided legal support to qualified Legal Services Projects on a statewide basis. [Business & Professions Code, §§6218, 6220, 6221, 6223]

Oversight and Reporting

The requirements regarding eligibility and use of funds are reflected in regulating rules and grant conditions approved by the State Bar Board of Governors and incorporated into a written agreement with each grant recipient. To monitor compliance with the requirements, the commission administers a system of grant reporting and oversight that includes written reports, regular telephone contact, and on-site visits.

Oversight begins with the annual application for funding. The application includes extensive information about the legal services provider’s activities and services,

accompanied by an annual financial statement that must be audited (or reviewed if gross expenditures are less than \$500,000) by an independent certified public accountant. Following the commission's determination of eligibility and allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grant amounts, each applicant submits a proposed budget for use of the funds, with a narrative description of the services to be provided and how the efficacy and impact of those services will be measured and maximized. The commission reviews this budget to ensure that it complies with the requirements described above before any funds are actually distributed. Subsequently grant recipients provide written reports of their expenditure of grant funds, services provided, and clients or customers served.

On-site visits are used in tandem with review of the application documentation and budgets to monitor compliance with the statutory requirements and grant conditions as well as to evaluate both provider effectiveness and monitor the provider's fiscal practices for the handling of grant funds. Teams of staff and commission members conduct these visits on a three-year cycle.

The State of California's audit of the State Bar, released on April 27, 2007, noted that the Trust Fund Program was behind schedule in completing site visits, and in particular, fiscal monitoring visits. The Trust Fund Program has since hired a Senior Accountant and has made arrangements to bring both program and fiscal site visits up to date by the end of 2007, and to continue to fulfill its oversight obligations on a timely basis going-forward. Addressing other points raised by the audit, new technologies will improve the State Bar's tracking of attorney compliance with the Trust Fund statute, and Trust Fund staff is fully utilizing – and updating – check-list forms used in the course of program assessment during site visits.

Next Steps: Trust Fund Commission and Judicial Council

Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. At its meeting on April 20, 2007, the commission reviewed grant applications and determined which legal services providers are eligible for IOLTA grants for the 2007-08 grant year. Based on projected filing fee revenue and amounts held from last year, the commission has provided grantees with tentative grant allocation amounts. Each grant recipient is currently preparing a detailed line item budget for their tentative grant amount; these budgets will be reviewed by staff and amended as needed between August 10 and September 28. The commission will approve budgets on September 28; thereafter, the State Bar will sign a grant agreement with each recipient program. (Attached at pages 20-23 is a form version of the grant agreement used last year; no substantive changes have been proposed for this year's agreement.)

The grant period for these distributions will be October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2008. We will issue the first of four quarterly grant checks for distribution to recipients in October. A list of the grant allocations, appears on pages 24-26.

In years prior to 2002, this list showed IOLTA grants that were larger than the IOLTA-Formula Equal Access Fund Grants; from 2002 to 2004, this pattern was reversed. This is because IOLTA grant resources rise and fall depending on interest rates and the balances in attorneys' trust accounts. More recently, IOLTA revenues have been enhanced due to slight increases in interest rates, favorable terms on assessed service charges, and higher average amounts on deposit in lawyers' trust accounts. These conditions resulted in an increase in 2005-06 IOLTA funds of over 43% as against those available in 2004-2005, an additional increase of 0.6% in 2006-07, and a further increase of 0.9% for the current 2007-08 grant year. This year IOLTA-formula EAF grants will once again exceed IOLTA grants, by approximately \$573,000, due to the addition of filing fee revenues (new and carried-over), together with the compounded SAL.

This enhancement of IOLTA revenues has been realized in conjunction with the implementation of The Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act [AB 145 (2005)]. This legislation, in relevant part, provides that \$4.80 shall be collected from each initial petition or response in a civil case for the Equal Access Fund program. The Legal Services Trust Fund Commission is proposing that \$6 million be distributed to legal services programs this year, including \$1 million collected but not distributed last year.

Finally, the Statutory Appropriations Limit was applied to the Judiciary Budget for the first time in the 2006-07 grant year. This produced additional income in a total amount of \$471,200, of which \$424,080 was included in the IOLTA-Formula Grants. The remainder was distributed as part of the Partnership Grant program. This year a Statutory Appropriations Limit of approximately 5% will result in \$498,560 in additional funds, to be allocated between the IOLTA-Formula grants and the Partnership grants in the respective amounts of \$448,704 and \$49,856.

The commission and its staff will be responsible for ongoing administration of these funds. Along with the regular reporting we already require for IOLTA Fund Grants, grant recipients account separately for the Equal Access Fund IOLTA-Formula Grants, submitting quarterly expenditure reports as well as year-end program assessments.

We will also continue to work closely with AOC staff, providing regular reports to the Judicial Council reflecting how the grants meet the statutory requirements and other guidelines, as well as information needed to assist the Council in budget preparation. Consultants hired by the AOC together with AOC research department staff have also developed mandatory reporting instruments and program-owned evaluative toolkits that were used to collect data for the comprehensive report to the Legislature. The Trust Fund staff, working together with the Administrative Office of the Courts, continues to encourage legal services providers to make critical assessments of their work and its impact on the community they serve.

Judicial Council. The Budget Act provides that “the Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the Council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines.” It is now appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of \$16 million in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2007-08 to those legal services providers determined by the commission to be in compliance with the statutory and other applicable guidelines. The funds will be released by the Council to the State Bar in four equal disbursements, and will be paid out to the eligible legal services programs quarterly over the course of the grant period.

PARTNERSHIP GRANTS

Since 1999, \$950,000 has been set aside annually in the Budget Act for a competitive grants program for projects that work with local courts to help provide legal services for self-represented litigants. Beginning in 2006, those funds have been augmented by filing fee income and application of the Statutory Appropriations Limit. Twenty-five projects throughout California currently are receiving these “Partnership Grants,” in sums from \$24,000 to \$100,000. Only recipients of IOLTA and IOLTA-Formula Grants are eligible to apply for these grants, which are awarded to maximize the impact of this funding across areas of legal need, population types, and geographical regions. This year, a total of \$1.6 million will be available for Partnership Grants. This includes \$997,120 from the budget appropriation, \$570,000 from filing fee revenue, and \$49,856 from the State Appropriation Limit increase (less \$16,976 for prudent rounding).

The Partnership Grants process begins with evaluation of proposals by Trust Fund Program staff and a committee of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. This evaluation encompasses several criteria, including but not limited to assuring the impartiality of the services, providing meaningful referrals, assurances of program effectiveness and fiscal stability, and whether the proposal seeks refunding or is for a new project. Partnership Grants are considered “seed money” to encourage new projects; consequently, grants for ongoing projects are reduced significantly after three years of Partnership funding, and are terminated after five unless extraordinary conditions dictate to the contrary, as defined by written commission protocols. Funding is provided on a calendar year basis.

The Request for Proposals for Partnership Grants projects for calendar year 2008 will be issued in August 2007. The commission, with the advice and participation of AOC staff, will select successful proposals and determine tentative allocations in November 2007. The Council will have final responsibility for approving the commission’s recommendations and grant awards at its November 30 meeting.

SUMMARY OF COUNCIL ACTION REQUIRED

In conclusion, it will be appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve on August 31 the distribution of \$14,400,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission once the Budget Act of 2007 has been chaptered. That will make it possible for us to disburse the first quarter of the funding to eligible organizations in October.

The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of \$1,600,000 in Partnership Grants after completing the selection process later this year.

BUDGET ACT PROVISIONS - SB 77 (Ducheny) as of August 9, 2007

SB 77

— 10 —

Item	Amount
1 (2) 45.55.010-Child Support Commis-	
2 sioners Program (Article 4 (com-	
3 mencing with Section 4250) of	
4 Chapter 2 of Part 2 of Division 9 of	
5 the Family Code).....	49,241,000
6 (3) 45.55.020-California Collaborative	
7 and Drug Court Projects.....	3,032,000
8 (4) 45.55.030-Federal Child Access and	
9 Visitation Grant Program.....	800,000
10 (5) 45.55.050-Federal Court Improve-	
11 ment Grant Program.....	700,000
12 (6) 45.55.070-Grants—Other.....	745,000
13 (7) 45.55.080-Federal Grants—Other....	775,000
14 (8) 45.55.090-Equal Access Fund Pro-	
15 gram.....	10,495,000
16 (9) Reimbursements.....	-51,844,000
17 (10) Amount payable from Federal	
18 Trust Fund (Item 0250-101-	
19 0890).....	-2,275,000
20 Provisions:	
21 1. In order to improve equal access and the fair	
22 administration of justice, the funds appropriated	
23 in Schedule (8) are to be distributed by the Judi-	
24 cial Council through the Legal Services Trust	
25 Fund Commission to qualified legal services	
26 projects and support centers as defined in Sec-	
27 tions 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business	
28 and Professions Code, to be used for legal ser-	
29 vices in civil matters for indigent persons. The	
30 Judicial Council shall approve awards made by	
31 the commission if the council determines that	
32 the awards comply with statutory and other rel-	
33 evant guidelines. Ten percent of the funds in	
34 Schedule (8) shall be for joint projects of courts	
35 and legal services programs to make legal assis-	
36 tance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent	
37 of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be distributed	
38 consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive,	
39 of the Business and Professions Code. The Judi-	
40 cial Council may establish additional reporting	
41 or quality control requirements consistent with	
42 Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business	
43 and Professions Code.	
44 2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (1) is	
45 available for reimbursement of court costs relat-	
46 ed to the following activities: (a) payment of	
47 service of process fees billed to the trial courts	

Item	Amount
1 pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 1009 of	
2 the Statutes of 2002, (b) payment of the court	
3 costs payable under Sections 4750 to 4755, in-	
4 clusive, and Section 6005 of the Penal Code,	
5 and (c) payment of court costs of extraordinary	
6 homicide trials.	
7 0250-101-0890—For local assistance, Judicial Branch,	
8 for payment to Item 0250-101-0001, payable from	
9 the Federal Trust Fund.....	2,275,000
10 0250-101-0932—For local assistance, Judicial Branch,	
11 payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund.....	2,587,089,000
12	<i>3,056,153,000</i>
13 Schedule:	
14 (1) 45.10-Support for Operation of	
15 the Trial Courts.....	2,163,078,000
16	<i>2,632,142,000</i>
17 (2) 45.25-Compensation of Superior	
18 Court Judges.....	298,858,000
19 (3) 45.35-Assigned Judges.....	24,960,000
20 (4) 45.45-Court Interpreters.....	90,284,000
21 (5) 45.55.060-Court Appointed Special	
22 Advocate (CASA) Program.....	2,231,000
23 (6) 45.55.065-Model Self-Help Pro-	
24 gram.....	965,000
25 (8) 45.55.090-Equal Access Fund Pro-	
26 gram.....	5,527,000
27 (9) 45.55.095-Family Law Information	
28 Centers.....	348,000
29 (10) 45.55.100-Civil Case Coordina-	
30 tion.....	838,000
31 Provisions:	
32 1. Notwithstanding Section 26.00, the funds appro-	
33 priated or scheduled in this item may be allocat-	
34 ed or reallocated among categories by the Judi-	
35 cial Council.	
36 2. The funds appropriated in Schedule (2) shall be	
37 made available for costs of the workers' compen-	
38 sation program for trial court judges.	
39 3. The amount appropriated in Schedule (3) shall	
40 be made available for all judicial assignments.	
41 Schedule (3) expenditures for necessary support	
42 staff may not exceed the staffing level that is	
43 necessary to support the equivalent of three judi-	
44 cial officers sitting on assignments.	
45 4. The funds appropriated in Schedule (4) shall be	
46 for payments for services of contractual court	
47 interpreters, and certified and registered court	

Item		Amount
1	of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee or	
2	his or her designee may determine.	
3	6. Notwithstanding any other provision of law,	
4	upon approval and order of the Department of	
5	Finance, the amount appropriated in this item	
6	shall be reduced by the amount transferred in	
7	Item 0250-115-0932 to provide adequate re-	
8	sources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Com-	
9	penensation Fund to pay workers' compensation	
10	claims for judicial branch employees and judges,	
11	and administrative costs pursuant to Section	
12	68114.10 of the Government Code.	
13	7. Of the funds appropriated in Schedule (1), which	
14	will be transferred to the Trial Court Improve-	
15	ment Fund in accordance with subdivision (b)	
16	of Section 77209 of the Government Code, up	
17	to \$5,000,000 shall be available for support of	
18	services for self-represented litigants.	
19	8. Upon approval by the Director of the Adminis-	
20	trative Office <i>Director</i> of the Courts, the Con-	
21	troller shall transfer up to \$10,900,000 to Item	
22	0250-001-0932 for recovery of costs for admin-	
23	istrative services provided to the trial courts by	
24	the Administrative Office of the Courts.	
25	9. Upon approval by the Director of the Adminis-	
26	trative Office <i>Director</i> of the Courts, and notifi-	
27	cation to the Department of Finance, the chair-	
28	persons of the committees in each house of the	
29	Legislature that consider appropriations and the	
30	State Budget, and the Chairperson of the Joint	
31	Legislative Budget Committee, the Controller	
32	shall additionally increase the amount of the	
33	transfer by an amount or amounts no more than	
34	\$1,090,000. Any augmentations shall be autho-	
35	rized no sooner than 30 days after notification	
36	in writing to the chairpersons of the committees	
37	in each house of the Legislature that consider	
38	appropriations, the chairpersons of the commit-	
39	tees and appropriate subcommittees that consider	
40	the State Budget, and the Chairperson of the	
41	Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not	
42	sooner than whatever lesser time the Chairperson	
43	of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee or	
44	his or her designee may determine.	
45	10. In order to improve equal access and the fair	
46	administration of justice, the funds appropriated	
47	in Schedule (8) are available for distribution by	

Item	Amount
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	the Judicial Council through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code, to be used for legal services in civil matters for indigent persons. The Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. Upon approval by the Director of the Administrative Office <i>Director</i> of the Courts, the Controller shall transfer up to 5 percent of the funding appropriated in Schedule (8) to Item 0250-001-0932 for administrative expenses. Ten percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be for joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	11. Of the funds appropriated in this item, \$27,767,000 is provided for the costs of new judgeships and accompanying staff. Any funds not used for this purpose shall revert to the General Fund. The Judicial Council shall report to the Legislature on January 1, 2008, and each January 1 thereafter, until all judgeships are appointed and new staff hired, on the amount of funds allocated to each trial court to fund the new portions.
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	12. Funds available for expenditure in Schedule (8) may be augmentations <i>augmented</i> by order of the Director of Finance by the amount of any additional resources deposited for distribution to the Equal Access Fund Program in accordance with Section <i>Sections</i> 68085.3 and 68085.4 of the Government Code. Any augmentation under this provision shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the

Item	Amount
1 chairpersons of the committees and appropriate	
2 subcommittees that consider the State Budget,	
3 and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative	
4 Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever	
5 lesser time the Chairperson of the Joint Legisla-	
6 tive Budget Committee, or his or her designee,	
7 may determine.	
8 <i>13. From funds previously provided by the Legisla-</i>	
9 <i>ture in the 2004-05 budget to address court</i>	
10 <i>employee pay parity due to unification of the</i>	
11 <i>municipal and superior courts, the Judicial</i>	
12 <i>Council shall allocate funds to the Los Angeles</i>	
13 <i>Superior Court and the court shall pay to each</i>	
14 <i>employee the amount the employee would have</i>	
15 <i>received if the reclassification raise the employ-</i>	
16 <i>ee received on October 1, 2005, had been</i>	
17 <i>retroactive to August 1, 2005. The Administra-</i>	
18 <i>tive Office of the Courts shall confirm with the</i>	
19 <i>Los Angeles Superior Court the names of the</i>	
20 <i>affected employees and the amount of funding</i>	
21 <i>necessary to implement this provision.</i>	
22 <i>14. Of the amount appropriated in Schedule (1),</i>	
23 <i>\$2,500,000 shall be available for the expansion</i>	
24 <i>of self-help programs to court locations without</i>	
25 <i>an existing self-help program or to additional</i>	
26 <i>case types in court locations with self-help pro-</i>	
27 <i>grams.</i>	
28 0250-102-0556—For local assistance, Judicial Branch,	
29 payable from the Judicial Administration Efficiency	
30 and Modernization Fund.....	37,692,000
31 Provisions:	
32 1. Upon approval of the Director of Finance, the	
33 amount available for expenditure in this item	
34 may be augmented by the amount of any addi-	
35 tional resources available in the Judicial Admin-	
36 istration Efficiency and Modernization Fund,	
37 which is in addition to the amount appropriated	
38 in this item. Any augmentation shall be autho-	
39 rized no sooner than 30 days after notification	
40 in writing to the chairpersons of the committees	
41 in each house of the Legislature that consider	
42 appropriations, the chairpersons of the commit-	
43 tees and appropriate subcommittees that consider	
44 the State Budget, and the Chairperson of the	
45 Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not	
46 sooner than whatever lesser time the Chairperson	

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND COMMISSION 2006-2007

OFFICERS

<p>Ellen Pirie, Chair County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street, Room 500 Santa Cruz, CA 95060 ph: (831) 454-2200 fx: (831) 454-3262 e-mail: ellen.pirie@co.santa-cruz.ca.us</p>	<p>Diane Bras, Vice Chair Family Law Facilitator Superior Court of CA, County of Placer 11546 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603 ph: (530) 889-7406 fx: (530) 889-7464 e-mail: dbras@placerco.org</p>
<p>Anyta Archer 804 Alpine Avenue Burlingame, CA 94010 Ph: (650) 738-4207 e-mail: archer@smccd.edu</p>	<p>Kathleen Dixon Self-Help Collaboration Project Superior Court of CA, County of Los Angeles 111 North Hill Street, Room 422L Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 893-0528 fx: (213) 633-5057 e-mail: kdixon@lasuperiorcourt.org</p>
<p>Mia Baker Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office 201 North Figueroa Street, #1300 Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 202-7652 fx: (213) 202-6086 e-mail: mbaker@lacountyda.org</p>	<p>Catherine "Kathi" M. Frazier 6825 Alabama Avenue #214 Canoga Park, CA 91303 ph: (818) 779-6222 e-mail: KFraz38841@aol.com</p>
<p>William Bentley 41 Mirabel Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941 ph: (415) 388-3782 e-mail: whbbab@sbcglobal.net</p>	<p>Barbara Heller San Rafael City Council 24 Sienna Way San Rafael, CA 94901 ph: (415) 457-9810 fx: (415) 457-9820 e-mail: barbaraheller2000@yahoo.com</p>
<p>Peter Carson Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 ph: (415) 393-2830 fx: (415) 393-2286 e-mail: peter.carson@bingham.com</p>	<p>Donna Hershkowitz Assistant Director, Office of Governmental Affairs Judicial Council–Administrative Office of the Courts 770 L Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 323-3121 fx: (916) 323-4347 e-mail: donna.hershkowitz@jud.ca.gov</p>
<p>Knox Cologne III 1930 Lorain Road San Marino, CA 91108 ph: (626) 570-0776 fx: (626) 570-0786 e-mail: kmcologne@mac.com</p>	<p>Barbara Yanow Johnson 224 - 25th Street Santa Monica, CA 90402 ph: (310) 393-4220 fx: (310) 496-0142 e-mail: byjohnson@aol.com</p>

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND COMMISSION 2006-2007

<p>Inga McElyea Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of CA County of Riverside 4075 Main Street, Suite 310 Riverside, CA 92501 ph: (951) 955-5531 fx: (951) 955-5537 e-mail: imcelyea@co.riverside.ca.us</p>	<p>Robin S. Toma Los Angeles County Commission on Human Relations 320 West Temple Street, Suite 1184 Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 974-7601 fx: (213) 687-4251 e-mail: rtoma@hrc.co.la.ca.us</p>
<p>Kirk McInnis California Attorney General's Office Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-1499 ph: (510) 622-2191 fx: (510) 622.2121 e-mail: kirk.mcinnis@doj.ca.gov</p>	<p>Julie Weng-Gutierrez Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 445-8223 fx: (916) 324-5567 e-mail: Julie.wenggutierrez@doj.ca.gov</p>
<p>Steven A. Nissen Manatt Phelps & Phillips 11355 W. Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90064 Ph: (310) 312-4000 fx: (310) 312-4224 e-mail: snissen@manatt.com</p>	
<p>Richard Odgers Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105 ph: (415) 983-1000 fx: (415) 983-1200 e-mail: richard.odgers@pillsburylaw.com</p>	<p><u>ADVISORS</u> Hon. Keith D. Davis Judge of the Superior Court, County of San Bernardino 17780 Arrow Highway, Room F-2 Fontana, CA 92335 ph: (909) 356-6486 e-mail: kddavis@courts.sbcounty.gov</p>
<p>Robin Pearson Gillis Valla and Dalsin Corporate Terrace 3470 Mt. Diablo Blvd. Suite A-215 Lafayette, CA 94549 ph: (925) 962-9009 fx: (925) 962-9011 e-mail: robin.pearson@gvd-law.com</p>	<p>Hon. Charles F. Palmer Judge of the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 974-8759 fx: (213) 680-0390 e-mail: cfpalmer@LASuperiorCourt.org</p>
<p>Marion Standish The California Endowment 101 - 2nd Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 ph: (415) 343-0222 fx: (415) 343-0220 e-mail: mstandish@calendow.org</p>	<p>Hon. Maria P. Rivera Judge of the First District Court of Appeal 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4712 ph: (415) 865-7240 fx: (415) 865-7309 e-mail: rivera@jud.ca.gov</p>

GRANT AGREEMENT

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM - EQUAL ACCESS FUND

This Grant Agreement is made as of October 1, 2006, (the "Effective Date") between The State Bar of California ("State Bar"), a California public corporation, and «PGNM27», a California nonprofit corporation ("Recipient").

RECITALS

Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 6210-6228 (the "Act") and the Budget Act of 2006 (Stats. 2006, ch 47, §2, item No. 0250-101-0001, sched. (9), prov. 2, and item No. 0250-101-0932, sched. (7), prov.9 (AB 1801, the "Budget Act")) and the Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons (the "Rules") and The State Bar of California Legal Services Trust Fund Program General Grant Provisions (the "Grant Provisions"), a Legal Services Trust Fund Program ("Program") has been established in the State of California and will administer an Equal Access Fund ("Fund").

Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar an Application for Funding under the Program and Fund. As part of the Application for Funding, Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar, Certifications, Assurances, Attachments, and a Proposed Budget (collectively, including the Application for Funding, the "Application Materials").

In reliance upon the representations and agreements made in the Application Materials, State Bar has determined that Recipient is eligible for a grant under the Program and the Fund for the period commencing on October 1, 2006 and ending on September 30, 2007 ("Grant Period").

The Board of Directors, the officers and similarly empowered staff of Recipient have read and understand the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials. Recipient has familiarized its staff with the requirements of the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials.

AGREEMENTS

1. Pursuant to the Program and Fund and in reliance upon the promises and representations made by Recipient, State Bar grants to Recipient «SMGRT» ("Grant Amount").

2. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Application Materials are incorporated into this Agreement as if set forth in their entirety in this Agreement. Recipient agrees to comply with the Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Assurances and other agreements made in the Application Materials. Recipient agrees to comply with all lawful statutes, rules, regulations, guidelines, policies, instructions and similar directives pertaining to the Program and the Fund (collectively "Directives") issued by the State of California, the Supreme Court of the State of California or State Bar, including without limitation, any Directive adopted after the Effective Date.

3. State Bar will use its best efforts to pay the Grant Amount in accordance with the Grant Provisions. State Bar, however, will in no circumstances bear any liability to Recipient or to other persons or entities for delays in payments.

4. Notwithstanding the Grant Provisions or any other provision of the entire agreement regarding the payment of grants, Recipient acknowledges that the Grant Amount and all payments thereof shall be made from funds received by the State Bar pursuant to the Budget Act; and are contingent upon the availability and sufficiency of such funds to the State Bar, as determined by the State Bar. Consequently, Recipient shall not be guaranteed any specific dollar amount in grant funds or any grant funds at all, if funds received pursuant to the Budget Act ("State Funding") are insufficient or unavailable to the State Bar. This Agreement shall terminate automatically if State Funding becomes unavailable. The State Bar shall not assume any liability whatsoever to Recipient for any failure to pay the Grant Amount or any part thereof that results because funds are insufficient or unavailable.

5. Recipient must spend funds received in connection with the Program and Fund in each county served in the amounts set forth in Schedule of Grant Allocations, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

6. Recipient warrants that Recipient's Application Materials for a grant under the Program and Fund do not contain any misstatement of a material fact or omit any material fact necessary to make the statements contained in the Application Materials not misleading. Recipient will notify State Bar promptly of any change in any material fact affecting Recipient's eligibility to receive funds under the Program and Fund, including without limitation, any change that affects the accuracy of any statement made in conjunction with Recipient's application for a grant under the Program and Fund.

7. In support of the State Bar's obligation to the Judicial Council to ensure full participation by Trust Fund grant recipients in maintaining and utilization of statewide on-line resources for legal advocates and consumers of legal services, Recipient will:

a) Ensure that, during the grant year, Recipient is accurately identified on the statewide legal services websites, including, as appropriate, in the:

- i. Client referral directory on LawHelpCalifornia.org;
- ii. Legal Services Directories (support center and field program directories);
- iii. Pro Bono Opportunities Guide on CALegalAdvocates.org.

b) Include information about LawHelpCalifornia.org and CALegalAdvocates.org in trainings for new advocates; circulate information about the sites when received from state coordinators; encourage advocates to join the CALegalAdvocate.org site; and encourage participation in available brief trainings about the sites.

8. Recipient will permit State Bar's agents to inspect at any time any office or other premises maintained by Recipient or used by Recipient in connection with the expenditure of funds received under the Program. Recipient will cooperate with State Bar's agents during such inspections and will furnish the agents with any information that the agents reasonably request as relevant to determining Recipient's compliance with this Agreement. State Bar's right of access to Recipient's records for purposes of compliance will survive the expiration of the Grant Period. In complying with disclosure requirements of this Agreement and of the Program and Fund, Recipient may withhold any client-identifying information when Recipient reasonably determines that disclosure would violate the Act, the Rules or a rule or canon of professional responsibility.

9. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives set forth requirements concerning use of Program funds and payment for subcontracts to provide legal services ("Subcontracted Services"). Recipient acknowledges its obligation to inform all providers of Subcontracted Services with the requirements of the Program and to obtain from all Subcontracted Services providers a written agreement to comply with all requirements of this Agreement as if that provider is the Recipient. Recipient assigns to State Bar all rights

that Recipient has or will acquire to inspect the premises and records of providers of Subcontracted Services to ensure compliance with Program, provided that disclosure of client-identifying information by a provider of Subcontracted Services shall be governed by the provisions of paragraph 8 hereof.

10. (a) Recipient shall not represent or in any way suggest that it may obligate or pledge the credit of the State of California or of State Bar.

(b) Recipient agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless State Bar (including its Board of Governors, officers, agents, and employees, as the same may be constituted now and from time to time hereafter) from and against any and all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), which may arise against or be incurred by State Bar as a result of or in connection with (i) claims by any and all contractors, subcontractors, providers of consulting services, materialmen, laborers, or any other person, firm, or corporation retained by Recipient to furnish or supply work, service, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement; and (ii) claims by any person, firm, or corporation for injury or damage by Recipient or Recipient's agents in connection with the provision of legal services pursuant to this Agreement. Recipient shall further protect, indemnify and hold harmless the State Bar from and against all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses, or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), arising from or in connection with the State Bar's enforcement of its rights under this paragraph. This indemnity provision shall survive the termination or expiration of this Grant Agreement.

(c) Recipient will use reasonable efforts to have State Bar named as an insured party to any liability insurance policies purchased by or for Recipient, and shall provide the State Bar with these certificates of insurance.

11. This Agreement does not impose on State Bar any obligation to provide Recipient funds in excess of the Grant Amount or beyond the end of the Grant Period.

12. (a) All notices given in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and be made personally or by first-class, certified, registered or express mail addressed to the parties at the addresses stated below:

State Bar:	The State Bar of California 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105
Attention:	Lorna Choy, Senior Grants Administrator Legal Services Trust Fund Program
Recipient:	«PGNM26» «PGA126» «PGA226» «PGCY26», «PGST26» «PGZP26»
Attention:	«PGNM28» «PGTL28»

Notices given by mail will be deemed to have been given five (5) business days after being deposited in a United States Postal Services mailbox or with an express courier mail service. Changes in address for purposes of giving notice will be effective two weeks after giving notice of the change in address.

(b) This Agreement, together with the Application Materials, Rules, Grant Provisions and

Directives, contains and constitutes the entire agreement between State Bar and Recipient regarding the State Bar's grant of Equal Access Fund monies to Recipient and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral. This Agreement shall be binding upon agents and successors of both parties. No alteration of the terms of this Agreement will be valid or effective unless in writing and executed by each party.

(c) This Agreement was made and entered into by the parties in the State of California and shall be construed according to the laws of that state. Any action or suit brought to interpret, construe or enforce the provisions of this Agreement shall be commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California.

(d) Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Agreement and the person signing this Agreement on behalf of each party has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement. Each party further acknowledges that its Directors, Governors or similarly empowered persons have read this Agreement, understand it and agree to be bound by it.

(e) No term or provision herein shall be deemed waived and no breach excused unless such waiver or consent is in writing and signed by the party claimed to have waived or consented. No consent or waiver by one party to a breach of this Agreement by the other party, whether expressed or implied, shall constitute a consent to, waiver of, or excuse for any other, different or subsequent breach. No amendment, consent, or waiver on behalf of State Bar shall be binding upon State Bar unless it is executed by the Executive Director of The State Bar of California or his/her designee.

By executing this Agreement below, the parties agree to its terms.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

RECIPIENT

By _____
Lorna Choy, Senior Grants Administrator
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

By _____
Program Director

DATE: _____, 2006

DATE: _____, 2006

By _____
Peggy Van Horn
Chief Financial Officer

By _____
Chairperson

DATE: _____, 2006

DATE: _____, 2006

**PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION OF IOLTA-FORMULA EQUAL
ACCESS GRANT AMOUNTS: 2007-08**

PROGRAM NAME	IOLTA-FORMULA EQUAL ACCESS FUND GRANT
Affordable Housing Advocates	\$10,858
AIDS Legal Referral Panel	\$11,031
Alameda County Bar Association Volunteer Legal Services Corporation	\$8,877
Alameda County Homeless Action Center	\$12,541
Alliance for Children's Rights	\$288,415
Asian Law Caucus, Inc.	\$21,575
Asian Pacific American Legal Center of Southern California	\$254,311
Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach	\$36,138
Bay Area Center for Law and the Deaf	\$20,493
Bay Area Legal Aid	\$257,859
Benchmark Institute	\$98,181
Bet Tzedek	\$450,403
California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform	\$98,181
California Indian Legal Services, Inc.	\$116,767
California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation	\$98,181
California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.	\$1,203,688
California Women's Law Center	\$98,181
Casa Cornelia Legal Services	\$46,776
Center for Health Care Rights	\$90,407
Center for Human Rights and Constitutional Law Foundation	\$98,181
Central California Legal Services, Inc.	\$561,959
Centro Legal de la Raza, Inc.	\$28,286
Chapman University School of Law Clinics	\$24,257
Child Care Law Center	\$98,181
Children's Rights Clinic	\$22,374
Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations	\$98,181
Community Legal Services In East Palo Alto	\$17,344
Contra Costa Senior Legal Services	\$16,374
Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund	\$98,181
Disability Rights Legal Center	\$134,192
East Bay Community Law Center	\$72,699
Elder Law & Advocacy	\$77,863
Family Violence Law Center	\$44,183
Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance	\$239,682
Harriett Buhai Center for Family Law	\$136,397
HIV & AIDS Legal Services Alliance	\$60,110
Immigrant Legal Resource Center	\$98,181
Inland Counties Legal Services	\$650,821
Inland Empire Latino Lawyers Association	\$69,343
Inner City Law Center	\$81,360
La Raza Centro Legal	\$25,627

PROGRAM NAME	IOLTA-FORMULA EQUAL ACCESS FUND GRANT
Law Center for Families	\$15,873
Law Foundation of Silicon Valley	\$97,983
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights	\$82,660
Learning Rights Law Center	\$16,427
Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles	\$850,724
Legal Aid Foundation of Santa Barbara County	\$51,713
Legal Aid of Marin	\$24,439
Legal Aid of Sonoma County	\$33,579
Legal Aid Society of Orange County	\$552,012
Legal Aid Society of San Bernardino	\$130,451
Legal Aid Society of San Diego	\$390,053
Legal Aid Society of San Mateo County	\$51,238
Legal Aid Society-Employment Law Center	\$162,727
Legal Assistance for Seniors	\$45,822
Legal Assistance to the Elderly	\$9,694
Legal Services for Children	\$37,450
Legal Services for Prisoners with Children	\$98,181
Legal Services for Seniors	\$43,417
Legal Services of Northern California	\$595,718
Los Angeles Center for Law and Justice	\$64,119
Los Angeles County Bar Association Projects	\$51,087
McGeorge School of Law Community Legal Services	\$40,010
Mental Health Advocacy Services	\$70,231
National Center for Youth Law	\$98,181
National Economic Development and Law Project	\$98,181
National Health Law Program	\$98,181
National Housing and Community Development Law Project	\$98,181
National Immigration Law Center	\$98,181
National Senior Citizens Law Center	\$98,181
Neighborhood Legal Services	\$600,844
Prison Law Office	\$213,343
Pro Bono Project Silicon Valley	\$48,109
Protection & Advocacy	\$1,365,287
Public Advocates	\$100,157
Public Counsel	\$521,536
Public Interest Clearinghouse	\$98,181
Public Interest Law Project	\$98,181
Public Law Center	\$215,250
Public Service Law Corporation	\$52,823
San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program	\$171,410
San Francisco Bar Association Volunteer Legal Services Program	\$75,090
San Pedro Community Legal Services	\$28,104
Santa Clara County Asian Law Alliance	\$18,721
Santa Clara University - Alexander Law Center	\$34,509

PROGRAM NAME	IOLTA-FORMULA EQUAL ACCESS FUND GRANT
Senior Adults Legal Assistance	\$22,026
Senior Citizens' Legal Services	\$20,070
Senior Law Project	\$10,291
The Impact Fund	\$98,181
U. C. Davis School of Law Legal Clinics	\$50,233
USC Law School Legal Clinics	\$47,817
USD School of Law Legal Clinics	\$51,328
Voluntary Legal Services Program of Northern California	\$68,894
Western Center on Law and Poverty	\$98,181
Worksafe	\$98,181
Youth Law Center	\$98,181
Yuba-Sutter Legal Center	\$13,719
TOTALS	\$14,399,980