



Judicial Council of California . Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: August 27, 2010

Title	Agenda Item Type
Equal Access Fund: Distribution of Funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants	Action Required
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Effective Date
None	August 27, 2010
Recommended by	Date of Report
Administrative Office of the Courts Bonnie Rose Hough, Managing Attorney Center for Families, Children & the Courts	August 2, 2010
	Contact
	Bonnie Rose Hough, 415-865-7668 bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Peter Carson, Cochair Steve A. Nissen, Cochair	

Executive Summary

The Administrative Office of the Courts recommends that the Judicial Council approve the distribution of Equal Access Fund grants for 2010–2011 according to the statutory formula set out in the currently proposed State Budget and in the amount requested in the attached annual report of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. For the last 11 years, the Budget Act authorizing the Equal Access Fund has provided that the Judicial Council must approve the commission’s recommendations if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines.

Recommendation

The Administrative Office of the Courts recommends that the Judicial Council, effective August 27, 2010, follow the recommendation of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission and approve the distribution of \$18 million in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2010–2011 according

to the terms of the State Budget, once that budget is enacted, and approve the commission's determination that the proposed budget of each individual grant complies with statutory and other guidelines. The commission's report on distribution of the grant funds is attached at pages 4-25.

Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council has approved the proposed distribution for each of the past 11 years based upon the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission.

Rationale for Recommendation

For the last 11 years, the state Budget Act has contained a provision for the allotment of \$10 million to an Equal Access Fund "to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice." (Assembly Bill 4X 1, Stats. 2009, ch. 1, pp. 18-22; Stats. 2008, ch. 268, pp. 32-36; Stats. 2007, ch. 171, pp. 40-42; Stats. 2006, ch. 47, pp. 26-30; Stats. 2005, ch. 38, pp. 9-11; Stats. 2004, ch. 208, pp. 16-17; Stats. 2003, ch. 157, pp. 11-12; Stats. 2002, ch. 379, pp. 30-31; Stats. 2001, ch. 106, pp. 73-74; Stats. 2000, ch. 52, pp. 78-79; Stats. 1999, ch. 50, pp. 55-56.)

In 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That act established a new distribution of \$4.80 per filing fee to the Equal Access Fund. The estimated revenue from filing fees for the fund is \$5.7 million per year. Those revenues have been collected by the trial courts since January 2007. Filing fee revenue has been greater than anticipated. Anticipated filing fee revenue has been estimated conservatively to maximize grantee ability to rely on budgeted grant amounts. In the last three years, an additional \$3.75 million in filing fee revenue that has been collected but not distributed. At this time, it is appropriate to distribute those fees that have been previously collected but not distributed. This will be of great assistance to legal services agencies that have been severely impacted by the economic situation.

The proposed budget control language (attached at pages 12-14) requires the Judicial Council to distribute the Equal Access Fund monies to legal services providers through the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The State Bar created the commission to administer the law regulating attorneys' interest-bearing (IOLTA) trust accounts. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6210 et seq.; State Bar Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons, rule 4.)

The proposed Budget Act further requires that "[t]he Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. . . . The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements. . . ." (The relevant sections of the proposed act are attached at pages 12-14.)

Under the Budget Act, the Chief Justice as chair of the Judicial Council, appoints one-third of the voting members to the commission: five attorney members and two public members, one of

whom is a court administrator. The Chief Justice also appoints three nonvoting judges to the commission: two trial court judges and one appellate justice. (The membership roster is attached at pages 15–17.)

The Legal Services Trust Fund Commission met on April 16, 2010, and approved a schedule for allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grants to legal services providers according to the formula established under the Business and Professions Code. Since the funds are allocated based on a formula set out in that statute, the commission determined that eligible programs would be mailed application packets indicating the grant amount according to that formula. As in previous years, the commission approved a grant year of October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011.

The commission’s report on the allocation of the Equal Access Fund shows that the commission has followed the statutory requirements and the additional criteria proposed in a report to the Judicial Council at its August 1999 meeting.

It is appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve the distribution of \$18 million in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission once the Budget Act of 2010 has been signed by the Governor to allow distribution to the eligible organizations in October. The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of Partnership Grants after completing that selection process later this year.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

The recommendations have been approved by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission as required by law. The statutory scheme does not contemplate public comment.

There are no viable alternatives to distributing the funds according to the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The Budget Act requires the council to approve the distribution if it finds that the statutory and other relevant guidelines are met.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The IOLTA-Formula Grants require no court implementation. AOC staff will continue to work with trust fund commission staff to oversee administration of the Equal Access Fund. AOC staff support is covered by the provision for administrative costs in the Budget Act appropriation. Although the recommended allocations will have no direct fiscal effect on the courts, the courts will benefit indirectly from the assistance provided to self-represented litigants.

Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives

This recommendation helps to implement the Judicial Council’s strategic goal of increasing access, fairness, and diversity by increasing representation for low-income persons.

Attachments

1. Report of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission



THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM

180 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-1617

TELEPHONE: (415) 538-2252; FAX: (415) 538-2529

Stephanie L. Choy
Managing Director
(415) 538-2249

Lorna Choy
Sr. Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2535

Denise Teraoka
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2545

Daniel Passamaneck
Grants Administrator
(415) 538-2403

Robert G. Lee
Sr. Accountant
(415) 538-2009

DATE: July 16, 2010

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Peter Carson, Co-Chair
Steven A. Nissen, Co-Chair
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission

Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

SUBJECT: Distribution of Equal Access Fund Grants – 2010-11 Grant Year

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 1999, the Judicial Council (the "Council") budget has included the Equal Access Fund for grants to provide free legal assistance to indigent Californians. These grants are made through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar (the "Commission").

In 1999, you took action to implement this Fund, adopting procedures for the Chief Justice to appoint a third of the members of the Commission and approving the award of grants. You have approved the award of grants each subsequent year since 1999.

Each year the Equal Access Fund is distributed in two parts: 90% of the funds are distributed according to the statutory Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts ("IOLTA") formula, 10% of the funds are distributed as discretionary grants to partnership projects between legal aid and court programs.

Our eleventh grant year, 2009-10, will end September 30, 2010, and we now request the Council approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2010-2011.

IOLTA-Formula Grants. The \$14,399,970 in "IOLTA-Formula Grants" allocated for the 2009-10 grant year has already funded a wide range of legal services for low-income Californians. These funds were allocated according to a formula set forth in the IOLTA statute (Business & Professions Code sections 6210 et seq.) and pursuant to procedures already in place for determining eligibility and administering grants. Two categories of legal services providers are eligible for grants: "Qualified Legal Services Projects" and "Qualified Support Centers." A system of grant application, budget review, reporting and on-site visits, is used to monitor compliance with grant requirements.

It is now timely and appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2010-11 in the total amount of \$18,000,000, which amount includes the basic budget act allocation and projected filing fees pursuant to the Budget Act of 2010, and filing fee revenue received but not distributed in previous years.

The Commission has already identified eligible or provisionally eligible legal services providers and the appropriate allocation of 2010-2011 Equal Access Fund grants in accordance with the IOLTA statute. Assuming the Council provides its requested approval of the of 2010-2011 Equal Access Fund grants at its August 2010 meeting, then, subject only to the Commission's final approval of specific project budgets from grantees, staff will begin to distribute the grant funds as soon as practicable after the commencement of the grant year on October 1.

As discussed further below, the Commission will then present the proposed Partnership Grants separately later this fall and at that time request that the Council approve the distribution of the Partnership Grants at its December 2010 meeting.

INTRODUCTION – THE BUDGET ACT

The Equal Access Fund, initially created by the Budget Act of 1999, has been continued in each subsequent Budget Act, including the 2010 Budget Act. The basic Equal Access Fund allocation under each Budget Act is allocated to the Council, to be distributed in grants to legal services providers through the Commission. The budget control language establishes two kinds of grants: IOLTA-Formula Grants and Partnership Grants. The budget also provides for funds for the cost of administration.

In 2005, the basic Equal Access Fund budget allocation was supplemented by the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act, approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That Act established a new distribution to the Equal Access Fund of \$4.80 per filing fee. Filing fee revenue approved by the Council pursuant to this Act was \$4 million in 2006-07, \$5.7 million in 2007-08, and \$5.7 million in 2008-09. For the 2009-10 grant year, the Council authorized a distribution of approximately \$5.7 million based on projected filing fee revenue. Actual receipts, as reflected by amended contract, provides for distribution of filing fee revenue of approximately \$6.4 million.

The Council's practice has been to estimate anticipated filing fee revenue conservatively to maximize grantee ability to rely on budgeted grant amounts. At this time, the Commission requests the Council approve distribution of \$3,750,000 in filing fee revenue that has been collected but not distributed. This additional distribution is critically important to the legal aid organizations, many of which have felt significant drops in funding because of the current economy, even as they experience increased clients seeking their services. In particular, even with the distribution of prudent reserves, IOLTA programs saw a 10% drop in IOLTA funding in 2009-2010, and an additional 15% drop in IOLTA funding in 2010-2011. There is a serious likelihood that due to continuing low interest rates, IOLTA funding in 2011-2012 will drop an additional 50% or more.

The Budget Act also applied the State Appropriation Limit (“SAL”) to the Judiciary Budget for the first time in 2006, and then again in 2007 and 2008. No SAL was available in 2009, and we do not anticipate a SAL in the 2010 Budget Act given State Budget constraints.

Based on the foregoing, total projected grant year income available for distribution in 2010-2011, is as follows:

The sum of (a) the basic budgetary allocation of \$10,776,000 pursuant to the Budget Act of 2010, (b) projected 2009-2010 filing fee revenue of \$5,500,000 and (c) undistributed prior-year filing fee revenue of \$3,750,000, results in an aggregate estimated 2010-2011 Equal Access Fund total of \$20,026,000. This amount is only an estimate, and at this time we recommend a distribution of \$20,000,000 in 2010-2011. Any amount collected in excess of this amount will be distributed in the 2011-2012 grant year. Distribution will be pursuant to the language of the Budget Act:

- Ninety percent of the grant funds are to be distributed to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers according to a formula set forth in California’s Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts (“IOLTA”) statute. Funds available for this category of grants, called “IOLTA-Formula Grants,” equal \$18,000,000.
- Ten percent of the grant funds are set aside for Partnership Grants to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers for “joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants.” Funds available for Partnership Grants equal \$2,000,000.
- Administrative costs, in a total amount up to \$812,500, are shared between the Council and the Commission.

(The 2010-2011 budget control language is attached as Attachment A.)

The Chief Justice continues to appoint one-third of the members of the Commission, plus three advisors. All of them participate actively in the Commission’s work, with each serving or having served on one of its three standing committees. (Attachment B is a roster of Commission members as of the date of the Council’s August meeting.)

THE FIRST ELEVEN GRANT CYCLES

For each year of the Equal Access Fund, the budget control language has provided for the funds to be distributed “to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in sections 6213 through 6215 of the Business and Professions Code.” Those provisions of the IOLTA statute establish the basic eligibility requirements for these two categories of organizations that are entitled to receive funding:

- “Legal Services Projects,” which have as their primary purpose the provision of legal services in civil matters directly to indigent clients without charge. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(a)]
- “Support Centers,” which provide statewide back-up assistance – training, technical assistance and advocacy support – to the legal services projects. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(b)]

The fund helps the most vulnerable Californians when they face critical, life-changing legal issues affecting their basic needs, their safety, and their security – issues such as elder abuse, domestic violence, family support, housing or access to needed health care. Among those served are indigent people, the working poor, children, people who live in isolated rural areas, veterans, those with limited English proficiency, abused women, people with disabilities and the frail elderly.

In March 2005, the Council submitted an extensive report to the Legislature evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of the first five years of use of these funds. The report concluded that “nonprofit legal aid providers have efficiently and effectively used their grants to provide legal assistance to some of the most vulnerable Californians, but that there remains a tremendous unmet need.” The report included the following key findings:

- The Equal Access fund improves the lives of vulnerable Californians.
- Thoughtful and innovative delivery systems have been implemented to stretch Equal Access Fund dollars and maximize services to clients.
- The Equal Access Fund strengthens, expands, and is efficiently incorporated into the legal aid delivery system.
- The Equal Access Fund creates strong partnerships between the courts and nonprofit legal aid providers that benefit low-income litigants, the judicial system, and the public at large.
- Despite the gains, significantly more funding is necessary to serve California’s unrepresented litigants.

In this current economy, legal service organizations are reporting increased need, and more dire need, among their service populations. At the same time, the legal aid organizations are faced with decreases in revenue – from IOLTA, local government, foundations, law firms and individual giving. Thus, the recommendations from the Council’s 2005 report resonate now more than ever: The Equal Access Fund should be increased to build on the statewide legal aid network serving low-income people; additional funding is needed to expand court-based self-help centers; and ongoing evaluation is needed to continue to improve the delivery of legal assistance to low-income and marginalized Californians.

ELIGIBILITY AND DISTRIBUTION

All grantees must be nonprofit corporations, must maintain quality control procedures approved by the commission, and must meet minimum levels of funding and services that are set out in the statute. [Business and Professions Code, §§6214-6215]

The requirements regarding eligibility and use of funds are reflected in regulating rules and grant conditions approved by the State Bar Board of Governors and incorporated into a written agreement with each grant recipient. To monitor compliance with these requirements, the commission administers a system of grant reporting and oversight that includes written reports, regular telephone contact and on-site visits.

Oversight begins with the annual application for funding. The application includes extensive information about the legal services provider's activities and services, accompanied by an annual financial statement that must be audited (or reviewed if gross expenditures are less than \$500,000) by an independent certified public accountant. Following the commission's determination of eligibility and allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grant amounts, each applicant submits a proposed budget for use of the funds, with a narrative description of the services to be provided and how the efficacy and impact of those services will be measured and maximized. The commission reviews this budget to ensure that it complies with the requirements described above before any funds are actually distributed. Subsequently grant recipients provide written reports of their expenditure of grant funds, services provided, and clients or customers served.

On-site visits are used in tandem with review of the application documentation and budgets to monitor compliance with the statutory requirements and grant conditions as well as to evaluate provider effectiveness and monitor the provider's fiscal practices for the handling of grant funds. Teams of staff, sometimes joined by commission members, conduct these visits on a three-year cycle.

IOLTA Formula Grants. Legal services providers have used the IOLTA-Formula Grants for a wide range of services and activities that reflect both the legal needs of poor people and the special strengths of the participating programs. A substantial share of the efforts funded by these grants has been aimed at legal needs of children (adoptions, guardianships and children's access to health care, for example) or the elderly (abuse cases, nursing home evictions, home equity fraud). IOLTA-Formula Grants have also supported efforts to address the needs of families, including a range of services to help overcome barriers to self-sufficiency and make welfare-to-work a reality. Others focus on populations that are particularly at risk, such as people with disabilities, the homeless, or victims of human trafficking.

The Budget Act requires 90 percent of the Equal Access Fund to be distributed to qualified organizations in the same way as the IOLTA funds, consistent with sections 6216 through 6223 of the Business and Professions Code, for IOLTA-Formula Grants.

Business and Professions Code section 6216 establishes the formula by which funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants are allocated:

- Fifteen percent of the grant money is reserved for Support Centers and is divided among those centers equally.
- Eighty-five percent of the funds is allocated by county based on poverty population, and then divided up within each county among Legal Services Projects based on the amount each such project spent in the prior calendar year providing free legal services to the indigent in that county. Programs that utilize volunteers as their principal means of delivering legal services share an additional allocation in each county where they so qualify.

The IOLTA statute also addresses the use of funds by recipient organizations. Qualified Legal Services Projects must use grants to provide free civil legal services to indigent persons in the counties for which the funds are allocated. In addition, Legal Services Projects shall make extra efforts to increase services to especially disadvantaged and underserved client groups within their service areas. Qualified Support Centers must publicize the availability of their services and demonstrate that they actually provide legal support to qualified Legal Services Projects on a statewide basis. [Business and Professions Code, §§6218, 6220, 6221, 6223]

Partnership Grants. Since 1999, 10% of the Equal Access Fund has been set aside annually in the Budget Act for a competitive grants program for projects that work with local courts to help provide legal services for self-represented litigants. In 2010, thirty projects throughout California are receiving \$1.625 million in total “Partnership Grants,” in grant sums from \$11,600 to \$101,000. Only recipients of IOLTA and IOLTA-Formula Grants are eligible to apply for these grants, which are awarded to maximize the impact of this funding across areas of legal need, population types, and geographical regions. In the 2010-11 grant cycle, \$2 million will be available for Partnership Grants.

The Partnership Grants process begins with evaluation of proposals by Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff and a committee of the Commission. This evaluation encompasses several criteria, including but not limited to assuring the impartiality of the services, providing meaningful referrals, assurances of program effectiveness and fiscal stability. Partnership Grants are considered “seed money” to encourage new projects; consequently, grants for ongoing projects have in the past been reduced significantly after three years of Partnership funding, and have generally been terminated after five years, unless extraordinary conditions dictate to the contrary, as defined by written commission protocols. Funding is provided on a calendar year basis.

The Request for Proposals for Partnership Grants projects for calendar year 2011 will be issued in August 2010. The commission, with the advice and participation of Administrative Office of the Courts (“AOC”) staff, will then select successful proposals and determine tentative allocations in November 2010. The Council will have final

responsibility for approving the Commission's recommendations and grant awards at its December 2010 meeting.

NEXT STEPS: TRUST FUND COMMISSION AND JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. At its meeting on April 16, 2010, the Commission reviewed grant applications and determined which legal services providers are eligible or provisionally eligible for IOLTA grants for the 2010-11 grant year.

Based on amounts distributed last year and projected filing fee revenue, the commission will provide grantees with tentative Equal Access Fund grant allocation amounts. Based on these tentative amounts, each grant recipient will be asked to prepare a detailed line item budget. Budgets will be reviewed by Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff and amendments will be proposed as needed between August 23 and September 30th. The Commission will approve budgets on or about October 1st. Thereafter, the State Bar will sign a grant agreement with each recipient program. (Attachment C is a form version of the grant agreement used last year. No substantive changes have been proposed for this year's agreement.)

The grant period for these distributions will be October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011. Assuming timely passage of a State budget, we will issue the first of four quarterly grant checks for distribution to recipients in October. [A list of the 2010-2011 grant recipients, including their IOLTA Fund allocations (grant year from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011) and the proposed EAF IOLTA-formula grant amounts (grant year from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011), appears at Attachment D.]

The Commission and the Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff will be responsible for administration of these Equal Access funds in tandem with IOLTA revenues and voluntary contributions through the Justice Gap Fund. Along with the regular reporting we already require for IOLTA Fund Grants, grant recipients account separately for the Equal Access Fund IOLTA-Formula Grants, submitting quarterly expenditure reports as well as year-end program assessments.

We will continue to work closely with the AOC staff, providing regular reports reflecting how the grants meet the statutory requirements and other guidelines, as well as information needed to assist the Council in budget preparation. In 2004, consultants hired by the AOC together with AOC research department staff finalized mandatory reporting instruments and program-owned evaluative toolkits that were used to collect data for the comprehensive report to the Legislature. In January 2009, Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff and the AOC jointly hosted a day-long meeting to provide training and an opportunity to share information and collaborate on ways to improve program operations and evaluation for Partnership Grant-funded projects. Legal aid organization staff and their court partners were invited to attend. The Legal Services Trust Fund staff, working together with the AOC, continues to encourage legal services providers to make critical assessments of their work and its impact on the communities they serve.

Judicial Council. The Budget Act provides that “the Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the Commission if the Council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines.” It is now timely and appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of \$18,000,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2010-11 to those legal services providers determined by the Commission to be in compliance with the statutory and other applicable guidelines. The funds will be released by the Council to the State Bar in four equal disbursements, and will be paid out to the eligible legal services programs quarterly (or as close to quarterly as possible depending on contract timing), over the course of the grant period.

SUMMARY OF COUNCIL ACTION REQUIRED

In conclusion, it is timely and appropriate for the Council to approve, at its August 2010 meeting, the distribution of \$18,000,000 in IOLTA-Formula Grants, including the basic budgetary allocation pursuant to the Budget Act of 2010, projected 2009-2010 filing fees revenue and previously undistributed filing fee revenue. Council approval is necessary to enable timely disbursement of the first quarter of funding to eligible organizations.

The Commission will return to request the Council’s approval of the distribution of \$2,000,000 in Partnership Grants after completing its selection process later this Fall, in advance of the Council’s December 2010 meeting.

Budget Control Language

AB 1609	— 10 —	Amount
Item		
1	2. This item may contain adjustments pursuant to	
2	Section 4.30 that are not currently reflected. Any	
3	adjustments to this item shall be reported to the	
4	Joint Legislative Budget Committee pursuant to	
5	Section 4.30.	
6	0250-011-0001—For transfer, upon order of the Director	
7	of Finance, to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensa-	
8	sation Fund.....	1,000
9	Provisions:	
10	1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law,	
11	upon approval and order of the Department of	
12	Finance, the Administrative Director of the	
13	Courts shall adjust the amount of this transfer	
14	to provide adequate resources to the Judicial	
15	Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay	
16	workers' compensation claims for judicial	
17	branch employees and justices, and administra-	
18	tive costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the	
19	Government Code.	
20	0250-012-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the	
21	Court Facilities Trust Fund.....	8,053,000
22	0250-101-0001—For local assistance, Judicial Branch....	18,409,000
23	Schedule:	
24	(1) 45.10-Support for Operation of <i>the</i>	
25	Trial Courts.....	6,430,000
26	(2) 45.55-Grants.....	73,919,000
27	(3) Reimbursements.....	-59,665,000
28	(4) Amount payable from <i>the</i> Federal	
29	Trust Fund (Item 0250-101-0890)....	-2,275,000
30	Provisions:	
31	1. In order to improve equal access and the fair	
32	administration of justice, of the funds appropri-	
33	ated in Schedule (2), \$10,776,000 is to be dis-	
34	tributed by the Judicial Council through the Le-	
35	gal Services Trust Fund Commission in support	
36	of the Equal Access Fund Program to qualified	
37	legal services projects and support centers as	
38	defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of	
39	the Business and Professions Code, to be used	
40	for legal services in civil matters for indigent	
41	persons. The Judicial Council shall approve	
42	awards made by the commission if the council	
43	determines that the awards comply with statutory	
44	and other relevant guidelines. Of the	
45	\$10,776,000, ten 10 percent shall be for joint	
46	projects of courts and legal services programs	
47	to make legal assistance available to pro per lit-	

Item	Amount
1	igants and 90 percent shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (1) is available for reimbursement of court costs related to the following activities: (a) payment of service of process fees billed to the trial courts pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 1009 of the Statutes of 2002; (b) payment of the court costs payable under Sections 4750 to 4755, inclusive, and Section 6005 of the Penal Code; and (c) payment of court costs of extraordinary homicide trials.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	0250-101-0890—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, for payment to Item 0250-101-0001, payable from the Federal Trust Fund.....
19	
20	2,275,000
21	0250-101-0932—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund.....
22	2,954,158,000
23	3,149,738,000
24	Schedule:
25	(1) 45.10-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts.....
26	2,525,129,000
27	2,720,709,000
28	(2) 45.25-Compensation of Superior Court Judges.....
29	300,016,000
30	(3) 45.35-Assigned Judges.....
31	26,047,000
32	(4) 45.45-Court Interpreters.....
33	92,794,000
34	(5) 45.55-Grants.....
35	10,173,000
36	(6) Reimbursements.....
37	-1,000
38	Provisions:
39	1. Notwithstanding Section 26.00, the funds appropriated or scheduled in this item may be allocated or reallocated among categories by the Judicial Council.
40	
41	2. The funds appropriated in Schedule (2) shall be made available for costs of the workers' compensation program for trial court judges.
42	
43	3. The amount appropriated in Schedule (3) shall be made available for all judicial assignments. Schedule (3) expenditures for necessary support staff may not exceed the staffing level that is necessary to support the equivalent of three judicial officers sitting on assignments.
44	
45	
46	
47	

Item	Amount
1	<i>be notification in writing for purposes of this</i>
2	<i>provision.</i>
3	6. Notwithstanding any other provision of law,
4	upon approval and order of the Director of Fi-
5	nance, the amount appropriated in this item shall
6	be reduced by the amount transferred in Item
7	0250-115-0932 to provide adequate resources
8	to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation
9	Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for
10	judicial branch employees and judges, and ad-
11	ministrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10
12	of the Government Code.
13	7. Of the funds appropriated in Schedule (1), which
14	will be transferred to the Trial Court Improve-
15	ment Fund in accordance with subdivision (b)
16	of Section 77209 of the Government Code, up
17	to \$5,000,000 shall be available for support of
18	services for self-represented litigants.
19	8. Upon approval by the Administrative Director
20	of the Courts, the Controller shall transfer up to
21	\$11,274,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for recovery
22	of costs for administrative services provided to
23	the trial courts by the Administrative Office of
24	the Courts.
25	9. In order to improve equal access and the fair
26	administration of justice, of the funds appropri-
27	ated in Schedule (5), \$5,685,000 is available for
28	distribution by the Judicial Council through the
29	Legal Services Trust Fund Commission in sup-
30	port of the Equal Access Fund Program to qual-
31	ified legal services projects and support centers
32	as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive,
33	of the Business and Professions Code, to be used
34	for legal services in civil matters for indigent
35	persons. The Judicial Council shall approve
36	awards made by the commission if the council
37	determines that the awards comply with statutory
38	and other relevant guidelines. Upon approval by
39	the Administrative Director of the Courts, the
40	Controller shall transfer up to 5 percent of
41	\$5,685,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for adminis-
42	trative expenses. Ten percent of the funds remain-
43	ing after administrative costs shall be for joint
44	projects of courts and legal services programs
45	to make legal assistance available to pro per lit-
46	igants and 90 percent of the funds remaining
47	after administrative costs shall be distributed

Attachment B

Roster of Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Members

<p>Peter Carson, Co-Chair Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 ph: (415) 393-2830 fx: (415) 393-2286 e-mail: peter.carson@bingham.com Attorney Member: 2006-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Deborah F. Ching Principal DF Ching Consulting 5416 Shenandoah Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90056 ph: 310 748-7940 fax: 310 568-8631 e-mail: dfching@earthlink.net Public Member: 2008-2011 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>Steven A. Nissen, Co-Chair Vice President, Legal & Government Affairs NBC Universal 100 Universal City Plaza, 1280/04 Universal City, CA 91608 ph: (818) 777-9632 fx: (818) 866-3363 e-mail: Steve.Nissen@nbcuni.com Attorney Member: 2006-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Adrian Dollard Qatalyst Partners Three Embarcadero Center, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 ph: (415) 844-7777 fx: (415) 391-3914 e-mail: aedollard@gmail.com Attorney Member: 2008-2012 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>
<p>Jeanne Finberg, Vice Chair Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2100 Oakland, CA 94612 ph: 510.622-2147 fx: 510.622.2121 e-mail: Jeanne.finberg@doj.ca.gov Attorney Member: 2008-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Donna Hershkowitz Assistant Director, Office of Governmental Affairs Judicial Council—Administrative Office of the Courts 770 L Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 323-3121 fx: (916) 323-4347 e-mail: donna.hershkowitz@jud.ca.gov Attorney Member: 2005-2011 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>Anyta Archer 804 Alpine Avenue Burlingame, CA 94010 ph: (650) 400-8688 e-mail: archer@smccd.edu Public Member Client: 2006-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Stephen Hicklin Wright Finlay & Zak LLP 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280 Newport Beach, CA 92660 ph: (949) 477-1023 fx: (949) 477-9200 e-mail: shicklin@wrightlegal.net Attorney Member: 2009-2012 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>

<p>Jeffrey Ball Friendly Hills Bank 16011 Whittier Boulevard Whittier, CA 90603 ph: (562) 947-1920 fx: (562) 947-3640 e-mail: jball@friendlyhillbank.com Public Member: 2009-2012 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>David E. Hopmann Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2228 ph: (415) 983-1977 fx: (415) 983-1200 e-mail: david.hopmann@pillsburylaw.com Attorney Member: 2007-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>
<p>Anthony Barash Harvard Advanced Leadership Initiative Cambridge, MA 02138 ph: (864) 915-2150 fx: e-mail: barashah@earthlink.net Attorney Member: 2008-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>David Lash O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 ph: (213) 430-8366 fx: (213) 430-6407 e-mail: dlash@omm.com Attorney Member: 2007-2010 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>Kathleen Meehan Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721 ph: (559) 477-1679 fx: (559) 445-5106 e-mail: Kathleen.Meehan@doj.ca.gov Attorney Member: 2008-2011 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Barry J. Tucker Foley & Lardner LLP 402 W. Broadway, 23rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101 ph: (619) 685-4653 fx: (619) 234-3510 e-mail: btucker@foley.com Attorney Member: 2009-2012 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>
<p>Monica Mitchell Supervising Attorney Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino 655 W. 2nd SL, 2nd Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415 ph: (909) 386-9161 e-mail: mmitchell@courts.sbcounty.gov Attorney Member: 2010-2011 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>	<p>Tania Ugrin-Capobianco Superior Court of California, County of El Dorado 2850 Fairlane Court, Building C Placerville, CA 95667 ph: (530) 621-5155 fx: (530) 295-2536 e-mail: tania@eldoradocourt.org Public Member: 2008-2009, 2009-2012 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>Richard Odgers Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105 ph: (415) 983-1000 fx: (415) 983-1200 e-mail: richard.odgers@pillsburylaw.com Attorney Member: 2002-2011 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>	

<p>Ellen Pirie County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street, Room 500 Santa Cruz, CA 95060 ph: (831) 454-2200 fx: (831) 454-3262 e-mail: ellen.pirie@co.santa-cruz.ca.us Attorney: 2002-2007, 2007-2010 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>	<p><u>ADVISORS</u> Hon. Keith D. Davis Judge of the Superior Court, County of San Bernardino 8303 Haven Avenue Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 ph: (909) 945-4177 e-mail: kddavis@courts.sbcounty.gov Judge: 2006-2009, 2009-2012 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>Bonnie Rubin 1st Century Bank 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 ph: (310) 270-9511 fx: (310) 270-9599 e-mail: brubin@1stcenturybank.com Public Member: 2009-2012 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Hon. Charles F. Palmer Judge of the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Courthouse 111 North Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 ph: (213) 974-5641 fx: (213) 625-8726 e-mail: cfpalmer@LASuperiorCourt.org Judge: 2005-2011 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>
<p>John W. Stump 4133 Poplar Avenue City Heights, CA 92105 ph: (619) 281-4688 fx: (619) 281-7394 e-mail: jwstump@cox.net Attorney Member: 2007-2010 <i>Board of Governors</i></p>	<p>Hon. Maria P. Rivera Justice, First District Court of Appeal 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4712 ph: (415) 865-7240 fx: (415) 865-7309 e-mail: maria.rivera@jud.ca.gov Judge: 1999-2001 Justice: 2002-2010 <i>Judicial Council</i></p>

Attachment C
EAF Grant Agreement

GRANT AGREEMENT

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM - EQUAL ACCESS FUND

This Grant Agreement is made as of October 1, 2009, (the “Effective Date”) between The State Bar of California (“State Bar”), a California public corporation, and «PGNM27», a California nonprofit corporation (“Recipient”).

RECITALS

Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 6210-6228 (the “Act”) and the Budget Act of 2009 (Statutes 2009-10 Third Extraordinary Session, chapter 1, §9, item No. 0250-101-0001, schedule (8), provision 1, and item No. 0250-101-0932, schedule (7), provisions 9, 10, (AB X4 1, the “Budget Act”)) and State Bar Rules, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 2 regarding the Legal Services Trust Fund Program (the “Rules”) and The State Bar of California Legal Services Trust Fund Program General Grant Provisions (the “Grant Provisions”), a Legal Services Trust Fund Program (“Program”) has been established in the State of California and will administer an Equal Access Fund (“Fund”).

Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar an Application for Funding under the Program and Fund. As part of the Application for Funding, Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar, Certifications, Assurances, Attachments, and a Proposed Budget (collectively, including the Application for Funding, the “Application Materials”).

In reliance upon the representations and agreements made in the Application Materials, State Bar has determined that Recipient is eligible for a grant under the Program and the Fund for the period commencing on October 1, 2009 and ending on September 30, 2010 (“Grant Period”).

The Board of Directors, the officers and similarly empowered staff of Recipient have read and understand the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials. Recipient has familiarized its staff with the requirements of the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials.

AGREEMENTS

1. Pursuant to the Program and Fund and in reliance upon the promises and representations made by Recipient, State Bar grants to Recipient \$«SMIGT» (“Grant Amount”).
2. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Application Materials are incorporated into this Agreement as if set forth in their entirety in this Agreement. Recipient agrees to comply with the Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Assurances and other

agreements made in the Application Materials. Recipient agrees to comply with all lawful statutes, rules, regulations, guidelines, policies, instructions and similar directives pertaining to the Program and the Fund (collectively “Directives”) issued by the State of California, the Supreme Court of the State of California or State Bar, including without limitation, any Directive adopted after the Effective Date.

3. State Bar will use its best efforts to pay the Grant Amount in accordance with the Grant Provisions. State Bar, however, will in no circumstances bear any liability to Recipient or to other persons or entities for delays in payments.

4. Notwithstanding the Grant Provisions or any other provision of the entire agreement regarding the payment of grants, Recipient acknowledges that the Grant Amount and all payments thereof shall be made from funds received by the State Bar pursuant to the Budget Act; and are contingent upon the availability and sufficiency of such funds to the State Bar, as determined by the State Bar. Consequently, Recipient shall not be guaranteed any specific dollar amount in grant funds or any grant funds at all, if funds received pursuant to the Budget Act (“State Funding”) are insufficient or unavailable to the State Bar. This Agreement shall terminate automatically if State Funding becomes unavailable. The State Bar shall not assume any liability whatsoever to Recipient for any failure to pay the Grant Amount or any part thereof that results because funds are insufficient or unavailable.

5. Recipient must spend funds received in connection with the Program and Fund in each county served in the amounts set forth in Schedule of Grant Allocations, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

6. Recipient warrants that Recipient’s Application Materials for a grant under the Program and Fund do not contain any misstatement of a material fact or omit any material fact necessary to make the statements contained in the Application Materials not misleading. Recipient will notify State Bar promptly of any change in any material fact affecting Recipient’s eligibility to receive funds under the Program and Fund, including without limitation, any change that affects the accuracy of any statement made in conjunction with Recipient’s application for a grant under the Program and Fund.

7. In support of the State Bar’s obligation to the Judicial Council to ensure full participation by Trust Fund grant recipients in maintaining and utilization of statewide on-line resources for legal advocates and consumers of legal services, Recipient will:

a) Ensure that, during the grant year, Recipient is accurately identified on the statewide legal services websites, including, as appropriate, in the:

- i. Client referral directory on LawHelpCalifornia.org;
- ii. Legal Services Directories (support center and field program directories); and
- iii. *Pro Bono* Opportunities Guide on CALegalAdvocates.org.

b) Include information about LawHelpCalifornia.org and CALegalAdvocates.org in trainings for new advocates; circulate information about the sites when received from state coordinators; encourage advocates to join the CALegalAdvocate.org Web site; and encourage participation in available brief trainings about the sites.

8. Recipient will permit State Bar's agents to inspect at any time any office or other premises maintained by Recipient or used by Recipient in connection with the expenditure of funds received under the Program. Recipient will cooperate with State Bar's agents during such inspections and will furnish the agents with any information that the agents reasonably request as relevant to determining Recipient's compliance with this Agreement. State Bar's right of access to Recipient's records for purposes of compliance will survive the expiration of the Grant Period. In complying with disclosure requirements of this Agreement and of the Program and Fund, Recipient may withhold any client-identifying information when Recipient reasonably determines that disclosure would violate the Act, the Rules or a rule or canon of professional responsibility.

9. The Act, Budget Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives set forth requirements concerning use of Program funds and payment for subcontracts to provide legal services ("Subcontracted Services"). Recipient acknowledges its obligation to inform all providers of Subcontracted Services with the requirements of the Program and to obtain from all Subcontracted Services providers a written agreement to comply with all requirements of this Agreement as if that provider is the Recipient. Recipient assigns to State Bar all rights that Recipient has or will acquire to inspect the premises and records of providers of Subcontracted Services to ensure compliance with Program, provided that disclosure of client-identifying information by a provider of Subcontracted Services shall be governed by the provisions of paragraph 8 hereof.

10. (a) Recipient shall not represent or in any way suggest that it may obligate or pledge the credit of the State of California or of State Bar.

(b) Recipient agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless State Bar (including its Board of Governors, officers, agents, and employees, as the same may be constituted now and from time to time hereafter) from and against any and all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), which may arise against or be incurred by State Bar as a result of or in connection with (i) claims by any and all contractors, subcontractors, providers of consulting services, materialmen, laborers, or any other person, firm, or corporation retained by Recipient to furnish or supply work, service, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement; and (ii) claims by any person, firm, or corporation for injury or damage by Recipient or Recipient's agents in connection with the provision of legal services pursuant to this Agreement. Recipient shall further protect, indemnify and hold harmless the State Bar from and against all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses, or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), arising from or in connection with the State Bar's enforcement of its rights under this paragraph. This indemnity provision shall survive the termination or expiration of this Grant Agreement.

(c) Recipient will use reasonable efforts to have State Bar named as an insured party to any liability insurance policies purchased by or for Recipient, and shall provide the State Bar with these certificates of insurance.

11. This Agreement does not impose on State Bar any obligation to provide Recipient funds in excess of the Grant Amount or beyond the end of the Grant Period.

12. (a) All notices given in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and be made personally or by first-class, certified, registered or express mail addressed to the parties at the addresses stated below:

State Bar: The State Bar of California
180 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94105
Attention: Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

Recipient: «PGNM26»
«PGA126»
«PGA226»
«PGCY26», «PGST26» «PGZP26»
Attention: «PGNM28»
«PGTL28»

Notices given by mail will be deemed to have been given five (5) business days after being deposited in a United States Postal Services mailbox or with an express courier mail service. Changes in address for purposes of giving notice will be effective two weeks after giving notice of the change in address.

(b) This Agreement, together with the Application Materials, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives, contains and constitutes the entire agreement between State Bar and Recipient regarding the State Bar's grant of Equal Access Fund monies to Recipient and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral. This Agreement shall be binding upon agents and successors of both parties. No alteration of the terms of this Agreement will be valid or effective unless in writing and executed by each party.

(c) This Agreement was made and entered into by the parties in the State of California and shall be construed according to the laws of that state. Any action or suit brought to interpret, construe or enforce the provisions of this Agreement shall be commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the county of San Francisco.

(d) Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Agreement and the person signing this Agreement on behalf of each party has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement. Each party further acknowledges that its Directors, Governors or similarly empowered persons have read this Agreement, understand it and agree to be bound by it.

(e) No term or provision herein shall be deemed waived and no breach excused unless such waiver or consent is in writing and signed by the party claimed to have waived or consented. No consent or waiver by one party to a breach of this Agreement by the other party, whether expressed or implied, shall constitute a consent to, waiver of, or excuse for any other, different or subsequent breach. No amendment, consent, or waiver on behalf of State Bar shall be binding upon State Bar unless it is executed by the Executive Director of The State Bar of California or his/her designee.

By executing this Agreement below, the parties agree to its terms.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

RECIPIENT

By _____
Starr Babcock
Senior Executive, Member Services

By _____
«PGNM28»
Program Director

DATE: _____, 2009

DATE: _____, 2009

By _____
Peggy Van Horn
Chief Financial Officer

By _____
Name _____
Chairperson

DATE: _____, 2009

DATE: _____, 2009

Attachment D
To Be Amended Upon Determination of Available Grant Funds

List of Grant Allocations 2010-2011

Program Name	2010-11 IOLTA Grant Amount	2010-11 IOL-Formula EAF Grant Amount
AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCATES	\$10,367	
AIDS LEGAL REFERRAL PANEL	\$10,398	
ALAMEDA CO BAR VOLUNTEER LEGAL SERVICES	\$19,966	
ALAMEDA COUNTY HOMELESS ACTION CENTER	\$28,963	
ALLIANCE FOR CHILDREN'S RIGHTS	\$216,636	
ASIAN LAW CAUCUS	\$33,164	
ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN LEGAL CENTER	\$238,023	
ASIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER LEGAL OUTREACH	\$25,580	
BAY AREA LEGAL AID	\$226,621	
BENCHMARK INSTITUTE	\$80,953	
BET TZEDEK LEGAL SERVICES	\$378,495	
CALIF. ADVOCATES FOR NURSING HOME REFORM	\$80,953	
CALIF. RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOUNDATION	\$80,953	
CALIFORNIA INDIAN LEGAL SERVICES	\$96,941	
CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.	\$1,010,155	
CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S LAW CENTER	\$80,953	
CASA CORNELIA LAW CENTER	\$65,841	
CENTER FOR HEALTH CARE RIGHTS	\$84,789	
CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CONST. LAW	\$80,953	
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA LEGAL SERVICES	\$428,763	
CENTRO LEGAL DE LA RAZA	\$16,454	
CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW CLINICS	\$35,872	
CHILD CARE LAW CENTER	\$80,953	
CHILDREN'S RIGHTS CLINIC	\$13,967	
COALITION OF CALIF. WELFARE RIGHTS ORGS.	\$80,953	
COMMUNITY LEGAL SVCS. IN EAST PALO ALTO	\$16,017	
CONTRA COSTA SENIOR LEGAL SERVICES	\$10,993	
DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA	\$1,130,835	
DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUC. AND DEFENSE FUND	\$80,953	
DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER	\$88,603	
EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER	\$63,608	
ELDER LAW & ADVOCACY	\$54,862	
FAMILY VIOLENCE LAW CENTER	\$18,827	
GREATER BAKERSFIELD LEGAL ASSISTANCE	\$184,522	
HALSA	\$37,372	
HARRIETT BUHAI CENTER FOR FAMILY LAW	\$114,761	

IELLA LEGAL AID PROJECT	\$30,978
IMMIGRANT LEGAL RESOURCE CENTER	\$80,953
INLAND COUNTIES LEGAL SERVICES	\$492,914
INNER CITY LAW CENTER	\$100,608
INSIGHT CENTER	\$80,953
LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL	\$22,811
LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY	\$111,634
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS	\$63,118
LEARNING RIGHTS LAW CENTER	\$33,892
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES	\$715,356
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF SANTA BARBARA	\$52,252
LEGAL AID OF MARIN	\$21,978
LEGAL AID OF NAPA VALLEY	\$11,138
LEGAL AID OF SONOMA COUNTY	\$26,054
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF ORANGE COUNTY	\$438,321
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN BERNARDINO	\$104,182
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO	\$291,029
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN MATEO COUNTY	\$34,707
LEGAL AID SOCIETY – EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER	\$111,194
LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR SENIORS	\$24,924
LEGAL ASSISTANCE TO THE ELDERLY	\$8,624
LEGAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN	\$37,572
LEGAL SERVICES FOR SENIORS	\$36,886
LEGAL SERVICES OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	\$520,969
LEGAL SVCS. FOR PRISONERS WITH CHILDREN	\$80,953
LOS ANGELES CENTER FOR LAW AND JUSTICE	\$51,281
LOS ANGELES CO. BAR ASSOCIATION PROJECTS	\$41,895
MCGEORGE COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES	\$29,489
MENTAL HEALTH ADVOCACY SERVICES	\$57,749
NAT'L HEALTH LAW PROGRAM	\$80,953
NAT'L HOUSING LAW PROJECT	\$80,953
NAT'L SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER	\$80,953
NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW	\$80,953
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER	\$80,953
NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES	\$450,993
PRISON LAW OFFICE	\$239,958
PRO BONO PROJECT SILICON VALLEY	\$36,932
PUBLIC ADVOCATES	\$70,696
PUBLIC COUNSEL	\$492,400
PUBLIC INTEREST CLEARINGHOUSE	\$80,953
PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT	\$80,953
PUBLIC LAW CENTER	\$174,330
PUBLIC SERVICE LAW CORP. OF RIVERSIDE	\$45,716
SAN DIEGO VOLUNTEER LAWYER PROGRAM	\$93,649

SAN FRANCISCO BAR VOLUNTEER LGL. SVCS.	\$58,758
SANTA CLARA COUNTY ASIAN LAW ALLIANCE	\$17,753
SANTA CLARA UNIV. ALEXANDER LAW CENTER	\$21,334
SENIOR ADULTS LEGAL ASSISTANCE	\$15,661
SENIOR CITIZENS LEGAL SERVICES	\$15,584
SENIOR LAW PROJECT	\$7,038
THE IMPACT FUND	\$80,953
UC DAVIS SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	\$36,680
USC LAW SCHOOL LITIGATION CLINICS	\$39,364
USD SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	\$56,862
VOLUNTARY LGL. SVCS. OF NORTHERN CALIF.	\$59,375
WATSONVILLE LAW CENTER	\$15,908
WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY	\$80,953
WORKSAFE INC.	\$80,953
YOUTH LAW CENTER	\$80,953
YUBA-SUTTER LEGAL CENTER FOR SENIORS	\$10,188
TOTAL	\$11,873,095