



Judicial Council of California . Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: August 27, 2010

Title	Agenda Item Type
Court Facilities: Five-Year Plan Update for FY 2011–2012 and Authorization of Execution of Bond Documents (With Related Delegation and Reporting)	Action Required
	Effective Date
	August 27, 2010
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Date of Report
None	August 10, 2010
Recommended by	Contact
Administrative Office of the Courts	Lee Willoughby, Director
Lee Willoughby, Director	916-263-1493, lee.willoughby@jud.ca.gov
Office of Court Construction and Management	Robert Emerson, Assistant Director
	415-865-4061, robert.emerson@jud.ca.gov
	Kelly Quinn, Senior Manager of Planning,
	818-558-3078, kelly.quinn@jud.ca.gov
	Gisele Corrie, Financial Manger,
	916-263-1687, gisele.corrie@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) recommends that the council adopt the updated Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan (the plan) and direct the AOC to present to the Department of Finance this plan as part of the *Judicial Branch AB 1473 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan* (the five-year plan) for FY 2011–2012, in addition to trial court capital project continuation-funding requests. The AOC also recommends the council authorize the execution of bond documents in connection with issuances of bonds by the State Public Works Board for the financing of court facilities projects. The council is the responsible authority for adopting updates to the plan and to the five-year plan and for directing the AOC to submit them to the Department of Finance. Meeting the submission deadlines avoids delays to the implementation of the state's trial court capital-outlay program.

Recommendation

The Administrative Office of the Courts recommends that the Judicial Council, effective August 27, 2010:

1. Adopt the updated Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan, based on the achievement of initial funding authorization for all 41 Senate Bill 1407¹ projects and other technical updates;
2. Direct the AOC to present the updated Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan, as part of the *Judicial Branch AB 1473 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan* for FY 2011–2012, and the trial court capital project continuation-funding requests for FY 2011–2012 to the Department of Finance in September 2010;
3. Authorize the execution of documents in connection with issuances of bonds by the State Public Works Board for the financing of court facilities projects;
4. Delegate to the Administrative Director of the Courts or his designee the authority to execute bond documents on behalf of the Judicial Council; and
5. Require the Administrative Director of the Courts to report to the Judicial Council, at least annually, on actions taken pursuant to the above delegation.

The updated Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan, sorted by both total score and court, follows this report at Attachment 2.

Previous Council Action

On October 24, 2008, the council adopted the last updated Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan and directed the AOC to present that plan to the Department of Finance as part of the *Judicial Branch AB 1473 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan* for FY 2010–2011, in addition to selected funding requests for trial court capital projects. The council also delegated to the Administrative Director of the Courts the approval authority of when to submit funding requests for SB 1407-funded projects to the executive and legislative branches. The council requested that the Administrative Director regularly report to the council on the status of all SB 1407 projects.

Rationale for Recommendation

The council is the responsible authority for adopting updates to the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan and to the *Judicial Branch AB 1473 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan* and for directing AOC staff to submit them to the Department of Finance. Meeting the submission deadlines avoids delays to the implementation of the state’s trial court capital-outlay program. The council is also the authority for directing the Administrative Director to make ongoing submissions of all bonds documentation pertaining to court capital projects.

¹ Sen. Bill 1407 [Perata]; Stats. 2008, ch. 311.

The following sections pertain to Recommendation 1–5. Background information relating to Recommendation 1 is provided in Attachment 1.

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan

The plan has been updated based on the achievement of initial funding authorization for all 41 SB 1407 projects and other technical updates. Each update of the plan presents only those projects for which funding has yet to be secured and is still required. Attachment 1 lists all projects that have been removed, combined, or added since the plan was last adopted by the council on October 24, 2008. Because a major trial court capital-outlay plan milestone was achieved—all 41 SB 1407 projects were authorized for initial funding between July 2009 and July 2010—each of those projects can now be removed from the plan. In addition, eight projects were removed from the plan for various reasons, a total of five projects were combined into two projects, and one project was added to the plan. The rationale for each such change is provided in Attachment 1. The updated plan is provided at Attachment 2, which is dated August 27, 2010, and is sorted by both total score and court. The plan now includes a total of 102 trial court capital-outlay projects and updated project budgets in January 2010 dollars. Of these projects, 8 remain in the Immediate Need Priority Group, and 16 remain in the Critical Need Priority Group.

Judicial Branch AB 1473 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Gov. Code, §§ 70301–70404) specifies the Judicial Council’s authority and responsibility to exercise policymaking authority over appellate and trial court facilities including, but not limited to, planning, construction, and acquisition, and to “[r]ecommend to the Governor and the Legislature the projects to be funded by the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.” (Gov. Code, § 70391(1)(3).) The AOC assists the council in meeting its responsibilities by, among other things, submitting an updated five-year plan to the Department of Finance on an annual basis, which includes capital plans for the superior courts, the Courts of Appeal, including the Supreme Court of California, and the AOC.

Assembly Bill 1473,² codified at Government Code sections 13100-13104, requires the Governor to submit annually to the Legislature (1) a proposed five-year infrastructure plan concerning infrastructure needed by state executive branch agencies, schools, and postsecondary institutions, and (2) a proposal for funding the needed infrastructure. Because the AOC is not an executive branch agency, its projects are not technically required to be included in the Governor’s five-year infrastructure plans under AB 1473. However, because Government Code section 13103 empowers the Governor to order *any entity* of state government to assist in preparation of the infrastructure plan, the AOC has historically submitted an infrastructure plan to the Department of Finance annually, on a voluntary basis, to facilitate executive branch approval of judicial branch capital project funding requests.

² Assem. Bill 1473 [Hertzberg]; Stats. 1999, ch. 606.

FY 2011–2012 continuation-funding requests for trial court capital projects

For funding to be made available through the Governor’s Budget for the upcoming fiscal year (FY 2011–2012), the Department of Finance establishes an approximate 12-month lead time to request funding for the continuing phases of a trial court capital project. These continuation-funding requests for FY 2011–2012 are due at the Department of Finance in September 2010. The five-year plan will identify each of the projects, relevant phases, and phase amounts associated with the continuation-funding requests for FY 2011–2012. Continuation phase funding requests for trial court capital projects will be submitted to the Department of Finance in September 2010.

Status of all funded judicial branch capital projects

Attachment 3 describes the status of all judicial branch capital projects that have been funded to date—completed projects and currently active projects that have been funded through the state’s General Fund, SB 1732,³ or SB 1407. This list includes both appellate and trial court capital projects. The trial court capital projects listed in this attachment complement those listed in the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan, which presents only the projects for which funding has yet to be secured.

Authority to execute documents in connection with issuance of bonds for construction of court facilities

Whether court capital projects are funded from SB 1732 or SB 1407 revenues or from the General Fund, the State Public Works Board issues bonds on the Judicial Council’s behalf to finance all or a portion of the project costs. For most projects the AOC has paid cash for the site acquisition and design phases. Each project’s construction phase is then financed by the sale of bonds. Recommendations 3 and 4 provide the Administrative Director of the Courts the authority to ensure that the capital projects are built on time and on budget by (1) authorizing the execution of documents in connection with issuances of bonds by the State Public Works Board for the financing of court facilities projects, and (2) delegating to the Administrative Director or his designee the authority to execute such documents. It is anticipated that the State Public Works Board will periodically issue bonds for the financing of court facilities projects throughout the lifespan of the state’s courthouse construction program. Recommendation 5 requires the Administrative Director to report to the Judicial Council on actions taken pursuant to the above delegation at least annually.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

The AOC did not solicit comments on the recommended council actions. The AOC did consult with the superior courts listed in Attachment 1 on the removal of eight projects from the plan, the five projects combined into two projects, and the one added project. Each superior court was comfortable with the AOC’s approach. No alternatives to the recommended actions were considered.

³ Sen. Bill 1732 [Escutia]; Stats. 2002, ch. 1082.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

No costs are involved in implementing the recommended council actions. Each recommended action is performed by the AOC.

Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives

The recommended judicial council actions support Goal III, Modernization of Management and Administration, and Goal VI, Branchwide Infrastructure for Service Excellence.

Attachments

1. Background Information for Recommendation 1: Changes to the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
2. Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan, August 27, 2010: Sorted by Total Score and by Court (January 2010 dollars)
3. Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects

Background Information for Recommendation 1: Changes to the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan

Each update of the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan (the plan) lists only the projects for which funding has yet to be secured. Because a major trial court capital-outlay plan milestone was achieved since the plan was last updated on October 24, 2008—all 41 SB 1407 projects were authorized for initial funding between July 2009 and July 2010—those projects can now be removed from the plan. As shown in the plan, staff recommends the removal of eight projects, the consolidation of five projects into two, and the addition of one project.

The following actions are taken as part of the August 27, 2010, update to the plan, which is attached to the Judicial Council report. With the completion of these actions, the plan presents a total of 102 trial court capital projects, with eight of those projects within the Immediate Need Priority Group and 16 in the Critical Need Priority Group.

Projects Removed

Staff recommends that a total of 49 projects be removed from the plan. The rationale for their removal is described below.

Part One

The following 41 projects received initial funding in FY 2009–2010 and no longer need to be presented in the plan, which is intended to present only those projects for which funding has yet to be secured:

1. *Alameda—New East County Courthouse*
2. *Alpine—New Markleeville Courthouse*
3. *Butte—New North Butte County Courthouse*
4. *El Dorado—New Placerville Courthouse*
5. *Fresno—Renovate Fresno County Courthouse*
6. *Glenn—Renovation and Addition to Willows Historic Courthouse*
7. *Imperial—New El Centro Family Courthouse*
8. *Inyo—New Inyo County Courthouse*
9. *Kern—New Delano Courthouse*
10. *Kern—New Mojave Courthouse*
11. *Kings—New Hanford Courthouse*
12. *Lake—New Lakeport Courthouse*
13. *Los Angeles—New Eastlake Juvenile Courthouse (Jdel)*
14. *Los Angeles—New Glendale Courthouse (NC)*

15. *Los Angeles—New Los Angeles Mental Health Courthouse (MH)*
16. *Los Angeles—New Santa Clarita Courthouse (NV)*
17. *Los Angeles—New Southeast Los Angeles Courthouse (SE)*
18. *Los Angeles—Renovate Lancaster Courthouse (N)¹*
19. *Mendocino—New Ukiah Courthouse*
20. *Merced—New Los Banos Courthouse*
21. *Monterey—New South Monterey County Courthouse*
22. *Nevada—New Nevada City Courthouse*
23. *Placer—New Tahoe Area Courthouse*
24. *Plumas—New Quincy Courthouse*
25. *Riverside—New Hemet Courthouse²*
26. *Riverside—New Indio Juvenile and Family Courthouse (Desert Reg)*
27. *Sacramento—New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse*
28. *San Diego—New Central San Diego Courthouse*
29. *San Joaquin—Renovation and Addition to Juvenile Justice Center³*
30. *Santa Barbara—New Santa Barbara Criminal Courthouse⁴*
31. *Santa Clara—New San Jose Family Resources Courthouse*
32. *Shasta—New Redding Courthouse*
33. *Sierra—New Downieville Courthouse*
34. *Siskiyou—New Yreka Courthouse*
35. *Solano—Renovation to Fairfield Old Solano Courthouse*
36. *Sonoma—New Santa Rosa Criminal Courthouse*
37. *Stanislaus—New Modesto Courthouse*
38. *Sutter—New Yuba City Courthouse*
39. *Tehama—New Red Bluff Courthouse*
40. *Tuolumne—New Sonora Courthouse*
41. *Yolo—New Woodland Courthouse*

¹ This project is moving forward and will be funded by SB 1407 funds. An appropriate delivery method for this project will be confirmed by the state Department of Finance, which has determined that the capital-outlay funding method is not the appropriate avenue for moving forward with this project.

² Formerly named Addition to Hemet Courthouse (Mid-Cnty Reg).

³ Formerly named Renovate Juvenile Justice Center.

⁴ Formerly named Renovation and Addition to Santa Barbara Figueroa Courthouse.

Part Two

The following eight projects have been removed from the plan for the reasons indicated below:

1. *Napa—Renovate Napa Juvenile Courthouse.* This project has been funded through the state's Trial Court Facility Modifications Program. The remodel of the juvenile courthouse was completed in early August 2010.
2. *Riverside—Renovate Palm Springs Courthouse (Desert Reg).* This project was funded directly by the court and was completed in December 2008.
3. *San Bernardino—Addition to Joshua Tree Courthouse.* This project was funded directly by the court and was completed in September 2009.
4. *San Bernardino—Renovation and Addition to Needles Courthouse.* This project is removed because the court's need for additional facility space has shifted to the northern area of the county, in the high desert area. Needed renovations may be funded as a facility modification rather than as a capital-outlay project.
5. *Santa Barbara—Renovate Santa Barbara Jury Assembly.* This project has been consolidated with the New Santa Barbara Criminal Courthouse project that is funded and currently under way.
6. *Santa Barbara—Renovate Santa Barbara Historic Anacapa Courthouse.* The Anacapa Courthouse is listed on the National Register of Historic Places, and the county has retained all rights to this historic building. The county provides the building for court use, and the state is not responsible for its renovation.
7. *Stanislaus—New Turlock Courthouse.* This project has been consolidated with the New Modesto Courthouse project that is funded and currently under way.
8. *Stanislaus—Addition to Modesto Courthouse.* This project has been consolidated with the New Modesto Courthouse project that is funded and currently under way.

Projects Combined

Staff recommends that the plan include two projects that have been combined from a total of five projects in two superior courts.

As described below, two expansion projects are combined into one for the Superior Court of Kern County because these expansion projects should be performed as one project rather than as two separate projects. This is the same scenario for the three renovation projects that are combined into one for the Superior Court of Solano County.

The combined projects are as follows:

1. *Kern—Renovation and Addition to Bakersfield Courthouse (Critical Need Priority Group)*. This project combines the following two projects into one, single project to benefit the existing Bakersfield Courthouse (aka Metropolitan Division Courthouse):
 - a. Addition to Bakersfield Courthouse (Critical Need Priority Group)
 - b. Addition to Bakersfield Courthouse – Phase 2 (High Need Priority Group)

2. *Solano—Renovation and Addition to Solano Court Complex (Critical Need Priority Group)*. This project combines the following three projects into one, single project to benefit the existing Fairfield Hall of Justice and Law & Justice Center buildings:
 - a. Renovate Fairfield Hall of Justice/Law & Justice Center (Critical Need Priority Group)
 - b. New South Wing and Renovation of Fairfield Old School – Phase One (Critical Need Priority Group)
 - c. Renovate Fairfield Old School – Phase Two (High Need Priority Group)

Projects Added

Staff recommends adding the following project to the plan to benefit the south county area of Orange County: *Orange—New South County Courthouse*.

In April 2007, the council adopted an update to the plan that included removing the *Orange—Addition to Laguna Niguel Courthouse (Immediate Need Priority Group)* project, because the county had been planning to fund it. Since that time, the county has decided to withdraw funding for this project, and based on discussions with the superior court, staff recommends returning the project to the plan. In doing so and to accurately reflect the current project scope, the AOC has changed the project's name because it will now replace a leased facility instead of expanding the Laguna Niguel Courthouse (which is no longer occupied by the court). Moreover, this project now ranks in the High Need Priority Group, after application of the council-adopted *Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects*.

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Score

County	Project Name¹	Project Priority Group²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs⁴
San Joaquin	New South San Joaquin County Courthouse	Immediate	17	4	3	5	5	\$75,000,000	
Fresno	New Selma Regional Justice Center	Immediate	16	5	3	3	5	\$78,000,000	
Tulare	Renovation and Addition to Visalia Courthouse	Immediate	16	5	3	5	3	\$86,914,601	\$4,560,000
Contra Costa	New North Concord Courthouse	Immediate	16	4	3	5	4	\$78,000,000	
Riverside	New Corona Courthouse (W Reg)	Immediate	16	4	2	5	5	\$45,000,000	
Fresno	New Clovis Courthouse	Immediate	15	5	3	2	5	\$23,000,000	
Ventura	New Ventura East County Courthouse	Immediate	15	4	1	5	5	\$117,000,000	
Sonoma	New Santa Rosa Family and Civil Courthouse	Immediate	14.5	5	3	5	1.5	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Riverside	New Temecula Courthouse (Mid-Cnty Reg)	Critical	14	5	3	1	5	\$23,000,000	
San Bernardino	New High Desert Courthouse	Critical	14	1	3	5	5	\$143,000,000	
Solano	Renovation and Addition to Solano Court Complex	Critical	13.5	3	3	5	2.5	\$64,665,409	
Lake	New Clearlake Courthouse	Critical	13.5	2	4	5	2.5	\$23,000,000	
Imperial	Renovation and Addition to El Centro Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$26,312,522	
Kern	New Ridgecrest Courthouse	Critical	13	5	4	1	3	\$30,000,000	
Sacramento	New Sacramento Civil Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$132,000,000	\$13,680,000
Santa Clara	New Mountain View Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
Sonoma	Renovate Santa Rosa Hall of Justice	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$0	\$0
San Diego	Addition to Vista (North County) Courthouse	Critical	13	4	3	5	1	\$91,000,000	\$7,980,000
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Juvenile Courthouse (W Reg)	Critical	13	3	4	1	5	\$0	
Riverside	New Western Regional Traffic and Small Claims Courthouse (W Reg)	Critical	13	3	3	2	5	\$30,000,000	
San Diego	Renovate San Diego South County Regional Center	Critical	13	3	3	5	2	\$10,000,000	
Santa Barbara	Addition to Santa Maria Lewellen Justice Center	Critical	12.5	5	2	5	0.5	\$30,000,000	\$2,280,000
San Luis Obispo	New San Luis Obispo Courthouse	Critical	12.5	4	3	5	0.5	\$154,000,000	\$15,960,000
Kern	Renovation and Addition to Bakersfield Courthouse	Critical	12.5	3	3	5	1.5	\$308,000,000	\$31,920,000

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Score

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
Mono	Renovate Bridgeport Courthouse	High	12	5	4	3	0	\$978,171	
San Diego	Renovation and Addition to San Diego Meadowlark Juvenile Courthouse	High	12	5	4	3	0	\$6,661,237	
Los Angeles	New Downtown Los Angeles Civil and Family Courthouse (C)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$909,000,000	\$115,140,000
Los Angeles	New Los Angeles Central Juvenile Courthouse (JDeI)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$75,000,000	\$5,700,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Burbank Courthouse (NC)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$9,631,931	
Mariposa	New Mariposa Courthouse	High	12	4	5	3	0	\$30,000,000	
Orange	New South County Courthouse	High	12	4	1	2	5	\$60,000,000	
Santa Cruz	Addition to Santa Cruz Courthouse	High	12	3	3	5	1	\$30,000,000	
Kern	New Taft Courthouse	High	11.5	2	4	2	3.5	\$30,000,000	
Riverside	New Indio Courthouse (Desert Reg)	High	11.5	1	2	5	3.5	\$91,000,000	
San Bernardino	Renovate Joshua Tree Courthouse	High	11	4	2	5	0	\$4,138,006	
Los Angeles	Addition to New East Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (E)	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$143,000,000	\$14,820,000
Modoc	Addition to Alturas Barclay Justice Center	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$23,000,000	
San Diego	New San Diego Traffic/Small Claims Courthouse	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$78,000,000	
San Francisco	New San Francisco Criminal Courthouse	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$264,000,000	
Monterey	Addition to Salinas Courthouse	High	10.5	3	2	3	2.5	\$0	
Santa Clara	Renovation and Addition to San Jose Criminal and Juvenile Courthouse	High	10.5	2	3	5	0.5	\$225,963,709	\$20,520,000
Stanislaus	Addition to Modesto Juvenile Courthouse	High	10.5	2	4	2	2.5	\$0	
Yuba	New Marysville Courthouse	High	10.5	2	2	5	1.5	\$78,000,000	
Nevada	New Truckee Courthouse	High	10	5	3	2	0	\$30,000,000	
Alameda	Addition to Wiley W. Manuel Courthouse	High	10	4	1	5	0	\$154,000,000	\$15,960,000
Del Norte	Addition to Crescent City Courthouse	High	10	4	3	2	1	\$0	
Inyo	New Bishop Courthouse	High	10	4	5	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Humboldt	New Eureka Courthouse	High	10	3	3	3	1	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
San Luis Obispo	New Grover Courthouse	High	10	3	5	2	0	\$23,000,000	\$1,140,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Metropolitan Courthouse (C)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$53,617,795	
Los Angeles	Renovate Santa Monica Courthouse (W)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$34,624,321	
Los Angeles	Renovate Torrance Courthouse (SW)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$33,717,724	

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Score

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
Orange	Renovate Newport Beach Courthouse	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$15,198,755	Included in budget
San Mateo	Renovation and Addition to Central San Mateo Courthouse	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$2,150,517	
Siskiyou	New Siskiyou Service Centers	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$45,000,000	
Los Angeles	New Downtown Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (C)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Los Angeles	New East District Criminal Courthouse (E)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$121,000,000	\$12,540,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center (C)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$114,491,017	
Los Angeles	Renovate Pomona Courthouse South (E)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$36,197,611	
Los Angeles	Renovation and Addition to Alhambra Courthouse (NE)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$47,474,526	\$2,280,000
San Diego	Renovation and Addition to El Cajon Courthouse	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$64,153,144	\$2,280,000
Santa Clara	New San Jose Traffic and Small Claims Courthouse	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$60,000,000	\$4,560,000
Merced	Addition to New Merced Courthouse	Medium	9	1	2	1	5	\$23,000,000	
San Bernardino	Addition to Rancho Cucamonga Courthouse	Medium	9	1	1	2	5	\$23,000,000	\$1,140,000
Fresno	New Fresno Criminal Courthouse	Medium	8.5	2	2	1	3.5	\$225,000,000	\$28,500,000
San Mateo	Renovation and Addition to South San Francisco Courthouse	Medium	8	4	2	2	0	\$35,914,599	
Trinity	New Weaverville Courthouse	Medium	8	4	3	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Fresno	New Fresno Juvenile Dependency Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$60,000,000	
Humboldt	New Eureka Juvenile Delinquency Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Humboldt	New Garberville Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Marin	New Marin Civic Center Courthouse - North	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$176,000,000	
Santa Barbara	New Santa Barbara Juvenile Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Humboldt	New Hoopa Courthouse	Medium	8	1	4	3	0	\$23,000,000	
Los Angeles	Addition to Pasadena Main Courthouse (NE)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$75,000,000	
Los Angeles	New Compton Courthouse (SC)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Los Angeles	New West Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (W)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$75,000,000	\$5,700,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Compton Courthouse (SC)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$37,190,362	
Los Angeles	Renovate El Monte Courthouse (E)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$39,432,996	
Los Angeles	Renovate Los Angeles Airport Courthouse (SW)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$12,770,560	
Los Angeles	Renovate Whittier Courthouse (SE)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$15,683,864	
Placer	Addition to New Roseville Courthouse	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Family Law Courthouse (W Reg)	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	\$0
Riverside	Addition to Southwest Justice Center (Mid-Cnty Reg)	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	
Ventura	Renovate Ventura Hall of Justice	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$66,645,488	Included in budget

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Score

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
San Francisco	New San Francisco Family Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
San Francisco	Renovate San Francisco Civic Center Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$2,035,867	
San Mateo	Renovate Redwood City Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$59,067,980	
Los Angeles	Renovation and Addition to Van Nuys Courthouse East (NW)	Low	7	2	2	3	0	\$82,155,710	
Riverside	New Blythe Courthouse (Desert Reg)	Low	7	2	4	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Sacramento	Complete Sacramento Carol Miller Justice Center	Low	7	2	3	1	1	\$0	\$0
Orange	Addition to Santa Ana Courthouse	Low	6.5	2	2	2	0.5	\$187,000,000	\$19,380,000
Monterey	New Monterey Bay Civil and Family Courthouse	Low	6	2	2	2	0	\$91,000,000	
Alameda	Renovate Hayward Hall of Justice	Low	6	1	2	3	0	\$15,964,856	
Tulare	Renovate Visalia Juvenile Courthouse	Low	6	1	2	1	2	\$2,980,902	
Sacramento	Complete Sacramento William Ridgeway Family Courthouse	Low	5.5	1	1	1	2.5	\$0	
Colusa	New Colusa Courthouse - North	Low	5	1	3	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Placer	New Auburn Courthouse	Low	5	1	3	1	0	\$0	
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Hall of Justice (W Reg)	Low	5	1	2	1	1	\$0	
Los Angeles	Renovate Bellflower Courthouse (SE)	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$7,452,917	
Los Angeles	Renovate San Fernando Courthouse (NV)	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$13,678,482	
San Diego	Renovate San Diego Hall of Justice	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$2,542,183	
Los Angeles	New Los Angeles Juvenile Dependency Courthouse (JD)	Low	3	1	1	1	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000

Total Project Budget for Current Needs⁵ \$6,747,407,762 \$396,720,000

Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs⁶ \$396,720,000

Total Budget for Current Needs⁷ **\$7,144,127,762**

Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships⁸ \$572,000,000

Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships⁹ \$50,160,000

Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships¹⁰ **\$622,160,000**

Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget¹¹ **\$7,766,287,762**

PLEASE NOTE: The Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget is presented in January 2010 dollars and does not include escalation to construction midpoint. At the time a specific capital project funding request is prepared, its cost estimate will include escalation to the construction midpoint.

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Notes to Sorted by Score

(Notes 2– 11 are identical to Notes to Sorted by Court)

1. **Projects** are sorted by total score, then by security score, and then in alphabetical order by county.
2. **Project Priority Group** based on application of the *Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects*.
3. **Project Budget for Current Needs** calculated based on current need courtrooms (defined as current courtrooms or Judicial Position Equivalents (JPEs), including SB 56 judgeships allocated to project). Projects with a current need budget of \$0 are for future growth only at this time; the budgets for these projects will be augmented, as appropriate, to accommodate new judgeships at the time funding requests are prepared.

Each project's Budget for Current Needs is calculated as follows:

(1) For all *New Construction* projects, the project budget is calculated by multiplying current need courtrooms by the average unescalated project cost per courtroom in January 2010 dollars from the corresponding range in the table shown below. The cost per courtroom ranges in January 2010 dollars in the table below are derived from calculating the unescalated total project costs of 33 SB 1407 new construction projects, whose cost estimates were submitted to the State Public Works Board during the period of November 2009 to July 2010. These project estimates were then grouped according to number of courtrooms and their total group costs averaged to provide the costs per courtroom shown in the table below.

New Construction and Additions Project Budgets for Current Needs: Average Unescalated Project Cost Per Courtroom Ranges	
1 Courtroom (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$23,000,000
2–5 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$15,000,000
6–9 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$13,000,000
10–20 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$11,000,000
More than 20 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$9,000,000

- (2) For all *Renovation* projects and for all projects that *Complete* construction of unfinished space, the project budget is the master plan cost estimate escalated to January 2010 dollars. Renovation estimates may change substantially, depending on specific conditions in each building and on further study at the time a project feasibility study is completed, which is prior to the submission of the capital project's funding request.
- (3) For all *Renovation and Addition* projects, a blended budget is determined using a combination of the methods described under nos. 1 and 2 above.
4. **Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs** was calculated only for projects in which 2002/2003 facility master plans identified a need for structured parking. The budget is calculated by multiplying the number of current need courtrooms by 30 parking spaces per courtroom and then by \$38,000 total project budget per parking space (January 2010 dollars). (Note: The January 2010 cost of \$38,000 per parking space was based on the average cost per parking space of three funded trial court capital project parking structures.) A budget of \$0 indicates there was a parking structure identified in the master plan but that it serves only future growth and not current needs.
5. **Total Project Budget for Current Needs** is the sum total of each individual project budget for current needs.
6. **Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs** is the sum total of each individual parking structure budget for current needs.
7. **Total Budget for Current Needs** is the sum of the Total Project Budget for Current Needs and the Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs.
8. **Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships** is for increments of facility space to accommodate 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships. It is calculated by multiplying \$13.0 million per courtroom—based on the cost per courtroom in January 2010 dollars for *New Construction* and *Addition* projects ranging from 6–9 courtrooms (shown in the table above)—by 44 unfunded new judgeships. To date, facility space for 56 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships has been budgeted in funded trial court capital projects: 21 new judgeships to 7 funded SB 1732 projects and 35 new judgeships to 15 funded SB 1407 projects.
9. **Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships** is for facility increments of space within a parking structure to accommodate a total of 30 parking spaces for each of the 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships. This budget is calculated by multiplying 44 new judgeships (at one judgeship per courtroom) by a total of 30 parking spaces and then by \$38,000 total budget per parking space (January 2010 dollars). (Note: See footnote No. 4 for explanation of the total budget per parking space.)
10. **Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships** is the sum of the Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships and the Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships. Funds in this budget will be allocated to a specific project as needed to accommodate facility and parking capital-outlay costs for 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships, as appropriate at the time a funding request is prepared for that project.
11. **Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget** is the sum of the Total Budget for Current Needs and the Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships in January 2010 dollars.

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Court

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
Alameda	Addition to Wiley W. Manuel Courthouse	High	10	4	1	5	0	\$154,000,000	\$15,960,000
Alameda	Renovate Hayward Hall of Justice	Low	6	1	2	3	0	\$15,964,856	
Colusa	New Colusa Courthouse - North	Low	5	1	3	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Contra Costa	New North Concord Courthouse	Immediate	16	4	3	5	4	\$78,000,000	
Del Norte	Addition to Crescent City Courthouse	High	10	4	3	2	1	\$0	
Fresno	New Selma Regional Justice Center	Immediate	16	5	3	3	5	\$78,000,000	
Fresno	New Clovis Courthouse	Immediate	15	5	3	2	5	\$23,000,000	
Fresno	New Fresno Criminal Courthouse	Medium	8.5	2	2	1	3.5	\$225,000,000	\$28,500,000
Fresno	New Fresno Juvenile Dependency Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$60,000,000	
Humboldt	New Eureka Courthouse	High	10	3	3	3	1	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
Humboldt	New Eureka Juvenile Delinquency Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Humboldt	New Garberville Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Humboldt	New Hoopa Courthouse	Medium	8	1	4	3	0	\$23,000,000	
Imperial	Renovation and Addition to El Centro Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$26,312,522	
Inyo	New Bishop Courthouse	High	10	4	5	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Kern	New Ridgecrest Courthouse	Critical	13	5	4	1	3	\$30,000,000	
Kern	Renovation and Addition to Bakersfield Courthouse	Critical	12.5	3	3	5	1.5	\$308,000,000	\$31,920,000
Kern	New Taft Courthouse	High	11.5	2	4	2	3.5	\$30,000,000	
Lake	New Clearlake Courthouse	Critical	13.5	2	4	5	2.5	\$23,000,000	
Los Angeles	New Downtown Los Angeles Civil and Family Courthouse (C)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$909,000,000	\$115,140,000
Los Angeles	New Los Angeles Central Juvenile Courthouse (JDel)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$75,000,000	\$5,700,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Burbank Courthouse (NC)	High	12	4	3	5	0	\$9,631,931	
Los Angeles	Addition to New East Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (E)	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$143,000,000	\$14,820,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Metropolitan Courthouse (C)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$53,617,795	
Los Angeles	Renovate Santa Monica Courthouse (W)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$34,624,321	
Los Angeles	Renovate Torrance Courthouse (SW)	High	10	2	3	5	0	\$33,717,724	
Los Angeles	New Downtown Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (C)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Los Angeles	New East District Criminal Courthouse (E)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$121,000,000	\$12,540,000

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Court

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
Los Angeles	Renovate Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center (C)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$114,491,017	
Los Angeles	Renovate Pomona Courthouse South (E)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$36,197,611	
Los Angeles	Renovation and Addition to Alhambra Courthouse (NE)	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$47,474,526	\$2,280,000
Los Angeles	Addition to Pasadena Main Courthouse (NE)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$75,000,000	
Los Angeles	New Compton Courthouse (SC)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Los Angeles	New West Los Angeles Criminal Courthouse (W)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$75,000,000	\$5,700,000
Los Angeles	Renovate Compton Courthouse (SC)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$37,190,362	
Los Angeles	Renovate El Monte Courthouse (E)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$39,432,996	
Los Angeles	Renovate Los Angeles Airport Courthouse (SW)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$12,770,560	
Los Angeles	Renovate Whittier Courthouse (SE)	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$15,683,864	
Los Angeles	Renovation and Addition to Van Nuys Courthouse East (NW)	Low	7	2	2	3	0	\$82,155,710	
Los Angeles	Renovate Bellflower Courthouse (SE)	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$7,452,917	
Los Angeles	Renovate San Fernando Courthouse (NV)	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$13,678,482	
Los Angeles	New Los Angeles Juvenile Dependency Courthouse (JD)	Low	3	1	1	1	0	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Marin	New Marin Civic Center Courthouse - North	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$176,000,000	
Mariposa	New Mariposa Courthouse	High	12	4	5	3	0	\$30,000,000	
Merced	Addition to New Merced Courthouse	Medium	9	1	2	1	5	\$23,000,000	
Modoc	Addition to Alturas Barclay Justice Center	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$23,000,000	
Mono	Renovate Bridgeport Courthouse	High	12	5	4	3	0	\$978,171	
Monterey	Addition to Salinas Courthouse	High	10.5	3	2	3	2.5	\$0	
Monterey	New Monterey Bay Civil and Family Courthouse	Low	6	2	2	2	0	\$91,000,000	
Nevada	New Truckee Courthouse	High	10	5	3	2	0	\$30,000,000	
Orange	New South County Courthouse	High	12	4	1	2	5	\$60,000,000	
Orange	Renovate Newport Beach Courthouse	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$15,198,755	Included in budget
Orange	Addition to Santa Ana Courthouse	Low	6.5	2	2	2	0.5	\$187,000,000	\$19,380,000
Placer	Addition to New Roseville Courthouse	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	
Placer	New Auburn Courthouse	Low	5	1	3	1	0	\$0	

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Court

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
Riverside	New Corona Courthouse (W Reg)	Immediate	16	4	2	5	5	\$45,000,000	
Riverside	New Temecula Courthouse (Mid-Cnty Reg)	Critical	14	5	3	1	5	\$23,000,000	
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Juvenile Courthouse (W Reg)	Critical	13	3	4	1	5	\$0	
Riverside	New Western Regional Traffic and Small Claims Courthouse (W Reg)	Critical	13	3	3	2	5	\$30,000,000	
Riverside	New Indio Courthouse (Desert Reg)	High	11.5	1	2	5	3.5	\$91,000,000	
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Family Law Courthouse (W Reg)	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	\$0
Riverside	Addition to Southwest Justice Center (Mid-Cnty Reg)	Medium	8	1	1	1	5	\$0	
Riverside	New Blythe Courthouse (Desert Reg)	Low	7	2	4	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Riverside	Addition to Riverside Hall of Justice (W Reg)	Low	5	1	2	1	1	\$0	
Sacramento	New Sacramento Civil Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$132,000,000	\$13,680,000
Sacramento	Complete Sacramento Carol Miller Justice Center	Low	7	2	3	1	1	\$0	\$0
Sacramento	Complete Sacramento William Ridgeway Family Courthouse	Low	5.5	1	1	1	2.5	\$0	
San Bernardino	New High Desert Courthouse	Critical	14	1	3	5	5	\$143,000,000	
San Bernardino	Renovate Joshua Tree Courthouse	High	11	4	2	5	0	\$4,138,006	
San Bernardino	Addition to Rancho Cucamonga Courthouse	Medium	9	1	1	2	5	\$23,000,000	\$1,140,000
San Diego	Addition to Vista (North County) Courthouse	Critical	13	4	3	5	1	\$91,000,000	\$7,980,000
San Diego	Renovate San Diego South County Regional Center	Critical	13	3	3	5	2	\$10,000,000	
San Diego	Renovation and Addition to San Diego Meadowlark Juvenile Courthouse	High	12	5	4	3	0	\$6,661,237	
San Diego	New San Diego Traffic/Small Claims Courthouse	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$78,000,000	
San Diego	Renovation and Addition to El Cajon Courthouse	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$64,153,144	\$2,280,000
San Diego	Renovate San Diego Hall of Justice	Low	4	1	2	1	0	\$2,542,183	
San Francisco	New San Francisco Criminal Courthouse	High	11	3	3	5	0	\$264,000,000	
San Francisco	New San Francisco Family Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
San Francisco	Renovate San Francisco Civic Center Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$2,035,867	
San Joaquin	New South San Joaquin County Courthouse	Immediate	17	4	3	5	5	\$75,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	New San Luis Obispo Courthouse	Critical	12.5	4	3	5	0.5	\$154,000,000	\$15,960,000
San Luis Obispo	New Grover Courthouse	High	10	3	5	2	0	\$23,000,000	\$1,140,000

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Sorted by Court

County	Project Name ¹	Project Priority Group ²	Total Score	Security	Over-crowding	Physical Condition	Access to Court Services	Project Budget for Current Needs ³	Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs ⁴
San Mateo	Renovation and Addition to Central San Mateo Courthouse	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$2,150,517	
San Mateo	Renovation and Addition to South San Francisco Courthouse	Medium	8	4	2	2	0	\$35,914,599	
San Mateo	Renovate Redwood City Courthouse	Low	7	3	3	1	0	\$59,067,980	
Santa Barbara	Addition to Santa Maria Lewellen Justice Center	Critical	12.5	5	2	5	0.5	\$30,000,000	\$2,280,000
Santa Barbara	New Santa Barbara Juvenile Courthouse	Medium	8	3	3	2	0	\$23,000,000	
Santa Clara	New Mountain View Courthouse	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$110,000,000	\$11,400,000
Santa Clara	Renovation and Addition to San Jose Criminal and Juvenile Courthouse	High	10.5	2	3	5	0.5	\$225,963,709	\$20,520,000
Santa Clara	New San Jose Traffic and Small Claims Courthouse	Medium	9	2	2	5	0	\$60,000,000	\$4,560,000
Santa Cruz	Addition to Santa Cruz Courthouse	High	12	3	3	5	1	\$30,000,000	
Siskiyou	New Siskiyou Service Centers	Medium	9	4	3	2	0	\$45,000,000	
Solano	Renovation and Addition to Solano Court Complex	Critical	13.5	3	3	5	2.5	\$64,665,409	
Sonoma	New Santa Rosa Family and Civil Courthouse	Immediate	14.5	5	3	5	1.5	\$104,000,000	\$9,120,000
Sonoma	Renovate Santa Rosa Hall of Justice	Critical	13	5	3	5	0	\$0	\$0
Stanislaus	Addition to Modesto Juvenile Courthouse	High	10.5	2	4	2	2.5	\$0	
Trinity	New Weaverville Courthouse	Medium	8	4	3	1	0	\$30,000,000	
Tulare	Renovation and Addition to Visalia Courthouse	Immediate	16	5	3	5	3	\$86,914,601	\$4,560,000
Tulare	Renovate Visalia Juvenile Courthouse	Low	6	1	2	1	2	\$2,980,902	
Ventura	New Ventura East County Courthouse	Immediate	15	4	1	5	5	\$117,000,000	
Ventura	Renovate Ventura Hall of Justice	Medium	8	1	2	5	0	\$66,645,488	Included in budget
Yuba	New Marysville Courthouse	High	10.5	2	2	5	1.5	\$78,000,000	

Total Project Budget for Current Needs⁵

\$6,747,407,762 **\$396,720,000**

Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs⁶

\$396,720,000

Total Budget for Current Needs⁷

\$7,144,127,762

Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships⁸

\$572,000,000

Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships⁹

\$50,160,000

Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships¹⁰

\$622,160,000

Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget¹¹

\$7,766,287,762

PLEASE NOTE: The Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget is presented in January 2010 dollars and does not include escalation to construction midpoint. At the time a specific capital project funding request is prepared, its cost estimate will include escalation to the construction midpoint.

Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan
August 27, 2010
Notes to Sorted by Court

(Notes 2–11 are identical to Notes to Sorted by Score)

1. **Projects** are sorted by alphabetical order of county names, then by total score, and then by security score.
2. **Project Priority Group** based on application of the *Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects*.
3. **Project Budget for Current Needs** calculated based on current need courtrooms (defined as current courtrooms or Judicial Position Equivalents (JPEs), including SB 56 judgeships allocated to project). Projects with a current need budget of \$0 are for future growth only at this time; the budgets for these projects will be augmented, as appropriate, to accommodate new judgeships at the time funding requests are prepared.

Each project's Budget for Current Needs is calculated as follows:

(1) For all *New Construction* projects, the project budget is calculated by multiplying current need courtrooms by the average unescalated project cost per courtroom in January 2010 dollars from the corresponding range in the table shown below. The cost per courtroom ranges in January 2010 dollars in the table below are derived from calculating the unescalated total project costs of 33 SB 1407 new construction projects, whose cost estimates were submitted to the State Public Works Board during the period of November 2009 to July 2010. These project estimates were then grouped according to number of courtrooms and their total group costs averaged to provide the costs per courtroom shown in the table below.

New Construction and Additions Project Budgets for Current Needs: Average Unescalated Project Cost Per Courtroom Ranges	
1 Courtroom (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$23,000,000
2–5 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$15,000,000
6–9 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$13,000,000
10–20 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$11,000,000
More than 20 Courtrooms (Jan. 2010 dollars)	\$9,000,000

- (2) For all *Renovation* projects and for all projects that *Complete* construction of unfinished space, the project budget is the master plan cost estimate escalated to January 2010 dollars. Renovation estimates may change substantially, depending on specific conditions in each building and on further study at the time a project feasibility study is completed, which is prior to the submission of the capital project's funding request.
- (3) For all *Renovation and Addition* projects, a blended budget is determined using a combination of the methods described under nos. 1 and 2 above.
4. **Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs** was calculated only for projects in which 2002/2003 facility master plans identified a need for structured parking. The budget is calculated by multiplying the number of current need courtrooms by 30 parking spaces per courtroom and then by \$38,000 total project budget per parking space (January 2010 dollars). (Note: The January 2010 cost of \$38,000 per parking space was based on the average cost per parking space of three funded trial court capital project parking structures.) A budget of \$0 indicates there was a parking structure identified in the master plan but that it serves only future growth and not current needs.
5. **Total Project Budget for Current Needs** is the sum total of each individual project budget for current needs.
6. **Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs** is the sum total of each individual parking structure budget for current needs.
7. **Total Budget for Current Needs** is the sum of the Total Project Budget for Current Needs and the Total Parking Structure Budget for Current Needs.
8. **Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships** is for increments of facility space to accommodate 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships. It is calculated by multiplying \$13.0 million per courtroom—based on the cost per courtroom in January 2010 dollars for *New Construction* and *Addition* projects ranging from 6–9 courtrooms (shown in the table above)—by 44 unfunded new judgeships. To date, facility space for 56 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships has been budgeted in funded trial court capital projects: 21 new judgeships to 7 funded SB 1732 projects and 35 new judgeships to 15 funded SB 1407 projects.
9. **Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships** is for facility increments of space within a parking structure to accommodate a total of 30 parking spaces for each of the 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships. This budget is calculated by multiplying 44 new judgeships (at one judgeship per courtroom) by a total of 30 parking spaces and then by \$38,000 total budget per parking space (January 2010 dollars). (Note: See footnote No. 4 for explanation of the total budget per parking space.)
10. **Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships** is the sum of the Statewide Budget for Court Facility Space for New Judgeships and the Statewide Budget for Parking Structures for New Judgeships. Funds in this budget will be allocated to a specific project as needed to accommodate facility and parking capital-outlay costs for 44 of the unfunded 100 new judgeships, as appropriate at the time a funding request is prepared for that project.
11. **Total Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan Budget** is the sum of the Total Budget for Current Needs and the Total Statewide Budget for New Judgeships in January 2010 dollars.



Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects
August 27, 2010

Summary of Courthouse Capital Projects

a	b	c	d
#	Courthouse Capital Projects Category	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Project Cost ¹
1	Completed Capital Projects	5	\$ 132,115,000
2	Active Capital Projects - Funded by State General Fund	2	\$ 66,234,000
	<i>In Design</i>	2	\$ 66,234,000
3	Active Capital Projects - Funded by SB 1732	11	\$ 1,148,422,000
	<i>In Design</i>	7	\$ 952,336,000
	<i>In Construction</i>	4	\$ 196,086,000
4	Active Capital Projects - Funded by SB 1407	41	\$ 4,544,417,000
	<i>In Acquisition</i>	37	\$ 4,283,474,000
	<i>In Design</i>	3	\$ 251,913,000
	<i>Confirm Delivery Method</i>	1	\$ 9,030,000
TOTAL:		59	\$ 5,891,188,000

Footnotes for *Summary of Courthouse Capital Projects*:

1. Total Estimated Project Costs will fluctuate based on California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) escalation adjustments as directed by the annual Department of Finance Budget Letter.



Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects
August 27, 2010

1. Completed Capital Projects

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	f, g, h, i Estimated Schedule ^{3, 4}				j Total Estimated Project Costs ⁵
					f Acquisition Finish Date	g Design Finish Date	h Construction Start date	i Construction Finish Date	
1	00-01	Fresno	Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District ¹	Completed and Occupied	Completed	Completed	Completed	3rd qtr 2007	\$ 20,300,000
2	06-07	Fresno	New Fresno Area Juvenile Delinquency Courthouse ²	Completed and Occupied	Completed	Completed	Completed	3rd qtr 2009	\$ 57,900,000
3	05-06	Merced	New Merced Downtown Courthouse ²	Completed and Occupied	Completed	Completed	Completed	2nd qtr 2007	\$ 19,700,000
4	00-01	Orange	Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division 3, New Courthouse	Completed and Occupied	Completed	Completed	Completed	3rd qtr 2009	\$ 27,719,000
5	06-07	Plumas/Sierra	New Portola/Loyalton Courthouse	Completed and Occupied	Completed	Completed	Completed	4th qtr 2009	\$ 6,496,000

Total (1): \$ 132,115,000

2. Active Capital Projects - Funded by State General Fund

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	f, g, h, i Estimated Schedule ^{3, 4}				j Total Estimated Project Costs ⁵
					f Acquisition Finish Date	g Design Finish Date	h Construction Start date	i Construction Finish Date	
1	07-08	Los Angeles	New Long Beach Courthouse	Project Agreement in Negotiation	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
2	05-06	Sacramento	Court of Appeal, Third Appellate, Library and Courts ¹	In Design	N/A	4th qtr 2010	4th qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2012	\$ 66,234,000

Total (2): \$ 66,234,000



3. Active Capital Projects - Funded by SB 1732

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	Estimated Schedule ^{3, 4}				j Total Estimated Project Costs ⁵
					f Acquisition Finish Date	g Design Finish Date	h Construction Start date	i Construction Finish Date	
1	07-08	Calaveras	New San Andreas Courthouse	In Design	Completed	2nd qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2011	4th qtr 2012	\$ 45,364,000
2	05-06	Contra Costa	New Antioch Area Courthouse	In Construction	Completed	Completed	2nd qtr 2009	3rd qtr 2010	\$ 64,729,000
3	06-07	Fresno	BF Sisk Conversion	In Construction	Completed	Completed	3rd qtr 2008	4th qtr 2010	\$ 70,898,000
4	07-08	Lassen	New Susanville Courthouse	In Construction	Completed	Completed	3rd qtr 2010	1st qtr 2012	\$ 38,937,000
5	07-08	Madera	New Madera Courthouse	In Acquisition/Schematic Design Authorized	4th qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2013	\$ 100,208,000
6	06-07	Mono	New Mammoth Lakes Courthouse	In Construction	Completed	Completed	2nd qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2011	\$ 21,522,000
7	07-08	Riverside	New Mid-County Courthouse	In Design	Completed	3rd qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	\$ 63,261,000
8	07-08	San Benito	New Hollister Courthouse	In Design	Completed	1st qtr 2011	1st qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2012	\$ 37,378,000
9	07-08	San Bernardino	New San Bernardino Courthouse	In Design	Completed	1st qtr 2011	1st qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	\$ 339,822,000
10	07-08	San Joaquin	New Stockton Courthouse	In Design	Completed	4th qtr 2011	4th qtr 2011	4th qtr 2013	\$ 272,939,000
11	07-08	Tulare	New Porterville Courthouse	In Design	Completed	3rd qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	\$ 93,364,000.00

Total (3): \$ 1,148,422,000

Footnotes for Completed and Active Capital Projects Funded by State General Fund and SB 1732:

1. Department of General Services (DGS) managed project; funded by State General Fund.
2. County managed project, state contribution using SB 1732 funds.
3. Current estimated project schedules may change.
4. Schedules defined by quarters within the calendar year: 1st qtr - Jan, Feb, March; 2nd qtr - April, May, June; 3rd qtr - July, Aug, Sept; 4th qtr - Oct, Nov, Dec.
5. Total estimated project costs will fluctuate based on California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) escalation adjustments, as directed by the annual Department of Finance Budget Letter.



Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects
August 27, 2010

4. Active Capital Projects - Funded by SB 1407

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	Estimated Schedule ^{1,2}				j Total Estimated Project Cost ³
					f Acquisition Finish Date	g Design Finish Date	h Construction Start date	i Construction Finish Date	
1	09-10	Alameda	New East County Courthouse (Criminal) ⁴	In Design	N/A ⁵	2nd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2012	4th qtr 2013	\$ 137,415,000
2	09-10	Alpine	New Markleeville Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 26,372,000
3	09-10	Butte	New North Butte County Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	\$ 76,065,000
4	09-10	El Dorado	New Placerville Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 89,426,000
5	09-10	Fresno	Renovate Fresno County Courthouse	In Design	N/A ⁵	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2015	\$ 111,361,000
6	09-10	Glenn	Renovation and Addition to Willows Historic Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	3rd qtr 2015	\$ 46,229,000
7	09-10	Imperial	New El Centro Family Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2014	\$ 58,746,000
8	09-10	Inyo	New Inyo County Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2014	\$ 32,286,000
9	09-10	Kern	New Delano Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 41,924,000
10	09-10	Kern	New Mojave Courthouse	In Acquisition	2nd qtr 2012	4th qtr 2013	4th qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2015	\$ 42,132,000
11	09-10	Kings	New Hanford Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2010	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2014	\$ 142,449,000
12	09-10	Lake	New Lakeport Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2011	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2014	\$ 53,235,000
13	09-10	Los Angeles	New Eastlake Juvenile Courthouse	In Acquisition	2nd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	3rd qtr 2015	\$ 99,836,000



Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects
August 27, 2010

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	f Estimated Schedule ^{1,2}				j Total Estimated Project Cost ³
					Acquisition Finish Date	Design Finish Date	Construction Start date	Construction Finish Date	
14	09-10	Los Angeles	New Glendale Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2015	\$ 123,948,000
15	09-10	Los Angeles	New Los Angeles Mental Health Courthouse	In Acquisition	2nd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	3rd qtr 2015	\$ 82,549,000
16	09-10	Los Angeles	New Santa Clarita Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 58,131,000
17	09-10	Los Angeles	New Southeast Los Angeles Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	1st qtr 2015	\$ 120,741,000
18	09-10	Los Angeles	Renovate Lancaster Juvenile Courthouse ⁶	Confirm Delivery Method	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	\$ 9,030,000
19	09-10	Mendocino	New Ukiah Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2015	\$ 119,914,000
20	09-10	Merced	New Los Banos Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2014	\$ 32,597,000
21	09-10	Monterey	New South County Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2011	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2014	\$ 48,448,000
22	09-10	Nevada	New Nevada City Courthouse	In Acquisition	2nd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	3rd qtr 2015	\$ 107,933,000
23	10-11	Placer	New Tahoe Area Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	2nd qtr 2015	\$ 27,489,000
24	09-10	Plumas	New Quincy Courthouse	In Acquisition	2nd qtr 2012	4th qtr 2013	4th qtr 2013	1st qtr 2015	\$ 51,767,000
25	09-10	Riverside	New Hemet Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2014	2nd qtr 2014	1st qtr 2016	\$ 118,413,000
26	09-10	Riverside	New Indio Juvenile and Family Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 65,791,000
27	09-10	Sacramento	New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2016	\$ 439,118,000



Status of All Funded Judicial Branch Capital Projects
August 27, 2010

a #	b Initial Year Authorized	c County	d Project Name	e Project Status	f Estimated Schedule ^{1,2}				j Total Estimated Project Cost ³
					Acquisition Finish Date	Design Finish Date	Construction Start date	Construction Finish Date	
28	09-10	San Diego	New Central San Diego Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2010	4th qtr 2013	4th qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2016	\$ 633,934,000
29	10-11	San Joaquin	Renovation and Addition to Juvenile Justice Center	In Design	N/A ⁵	2nd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2013	\$ 3,137,000
30	09-10	Santa Barbara	New Santa Barbara Criminal Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	1st qtr 2014	4th qtr 2015	\$ 151,988,000
31	09-10	Santa Clara	New Family Justice Center	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	2nd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	\$ 240,727,000
32	09-10	Shasta	New Redding Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2012	4th qtr 2014	\$ 168,417,000
33	09-10	Sierra	New Downieville Courthouse	In Acquisition	1st qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 23,145,000
34	09-10	Siskiyou	New Yreka Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 96,501,000
35	09-10	Solano	Renovation to Fairfield Old Solano Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	4th qtr 2011	4th qtr 2011	4th qtr 2012	\$ 25,418,000
36	09-10	Sonoma	New Santa Rosa Criminal Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2013	1st qtr 2015	\$ 178,689,000
37	10-11	Stanislaus	New Modesto Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2014	2nd qtr 2014	2nd qtr 2016	\$ 278,276,000
38	09-10	Sutter	New Yuba City Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2012	1st qtr 2014	\$ 73,948,000
39	09-10	Tehama	New Red Bluff Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2011	3rd qtr 2013	3rd qtr 2013	1st qtr 2015	\$ 71,479,000
40	09-10	Tuolumne	New Sonora Courthouse	In Acquisition	4th qtr 2011	2nd qtr 2013	2nd qtr 2013	4th qtr 2014	\$ 70,076,000
41	09-10	Yolo	New Woodland Courthouse	In Acquisition	3rd qtr 2010	3rd qtr 2012	3rd qtr 2012	2nd qtr 2014	\$ 165,337,000

Total (4): \$ 4,544,417,000

Footnotes for Active Capital Projects Funded by SB 1407:

1. Current estimated project schedules may change.
2. Schedules defined by quarters within the calendar year: 1st qtr - Jan, Feb, March; 2nd qtr - April, May, June; 3rd qtr - July, Aug, Sept; 4th qtr - Oct, Nov, Dec.
3. Total estimated project costs will fluctuate based on California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) escalation adjustments, as directed by the annual Department of Finance Budget Letter.
4. The SB 1407 contribution is \$50 million.
5. Acquisition not required on this project.
6. This project is moving forward and will be funded by SB 1407 funds. An appropriate delivery method for this project will be confirmed by the state Department of Finance, which has determined that the capital-outlay funding method is not the appropriate avenue for moving forward with this project.