ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	1/29/2013	
PREPARED BY	Mary M. Roberts	
OFFICE NAME	Legal Services Office	
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	108	
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7 -72(b) and direct the Administrative Director of the Courts to direct implementation of fundamental management practices to address underperformance of staff members and provide better supervision and allocation of work.	
SEC RECOMMENDATION	The Legal Services Office's current level of approximately 75 positions, including more than 50 attorney positions, should be reduced. To achieve the reduction, the following areas should be reviewed and considered, and appropriate actions taken:	
	(b) Despite the large number of management positions, management systems and processes are particularly lacking in the Legal Services Office. Implementing fundamental management practices to address the underperformance of staff members and provide better supervision and allocation of work should produce efficiencies that can result in reductions.	
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)		
\square This directive has been completed and implemented:		
File Attachment		
☐ This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:		
File Attachment		
Other:		
The Legal Services Office is improving upon past management practices and implementing new management practices for supervising staff and allocating work in light of this directive and the fact that the Legal Services Office staffing levels have been reduced over the past year from a total of 69 employees (including 50 attorneys) to a total of 50 employees (including 38 attorneys) through		

transfers, retirements (including a recent retirement of the Transactions and Business Operations Managing Attorney), resignations, and the Voluntary Separation Initiative Program. The number of

employees referenced here excludes the 5 employees in the Secretariat Unit, who were part of the LSO in February 2012, but who have since formed a new office, Judicial Council Support Services.

To address resource constraints office-wide and ensure appropriate supervision and allocation of work, the LSO has developed a matter tracking system (see attached Matter Log), which was implemented on February 1, for a 90-day trial and evaluation period. The system is intended to replace unit-based tracking forms so that the LSO will have a single method to track legal services office-wide. The matter tracking system will track matters from assignment to completion date, assign a level of complexity for each matter, and provide a uniform tickler system for review of open matters. LSO will track the results of the 90-day trial and evaluation period and will provide further information about the use of the matter tracking system in an update to this directive at the June 2013 council meeting.

In addition, the LSO has collaborated with the Center for Judiciary Education and Research and the Human Resources Service Office to design a six-part management training program for AOC management and supervisors that was launched in January 2013. All LSO managers and supervisors will be attending the six courses, which provide a framework for all AOC management teams to ensure consistent management practices across the organization on topics such as dealing with conflict and performance issues, providing tools to support staff, and performance management and evaluation.



TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION **IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION** DATE RESOURCES **REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION** ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections) ☐ PROCEDURES/ **POLICIES UPDATED** OR DEVELOPED File Attachment ☐ TRAINING **UPDATED OR DEVELOPED** File Attachment ☐ SAVINGS File Attachment ☐ COST

	File Attachment		
☐ EFFICIENCIES	File Attachment		
SERVICE LEVEL	File Attachment		
□ OTHER	File Attachment		
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL			
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 2/7/2013		
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW			
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 2/14/2013		

MATTER LOG FOR:	#: Assigned by database Open date:		
Requestor:	End date:		
Supreme Court 1 DCA (SF) 2 DCA (LA) 3 DCA (Sac) 4 DCA (SD) 5 E	OCA (Fresno) 🥚 6 DCA (SJ)		
Alpine Humboldt Mariposa Plumas Santa Barbara Amador Imperial Mendocino Riverside Santa Clara Butte Inyo Merced Sacramento Santa Cruz Calaveras Kern Modoc San Benito Shasta Colusa Kings Mono San Bernardino Sierra Contra Costa Lake Monterey San Diego Siskiyou Del Norte Lassen Napa San Francisco Solano Sierra Diego Siskiyou San Fresno Madera Orange San Luis Obispo Stanislaus Judicial Council AOC: CFCC CJCS COSS EDUC FSO HR OAS OC OGA OJBCP OREFM OS OC Other Public Entity:	Sutter Tehama Trinity Tulare Tuolumne Ventura Yolo Yuba ITSO JCSS LSO SPO TCAS TCLO		
APJ ACA Attorney PJ/Asst. PJ CEO Public			
Judicial Officer Other court staff Other: Request (brief description):			
Response and/or product (brief description): Type of advice:	▼ Reference #(LOU, LEU etc.)		
Level of effort: CLASS II: Minimum effort; quickly addressed; initial response sufficient; no follow-up expected; up to 1 hr CLASS III: CLASS III: Substantial effort; major research/follow-up; 1-8 hrs expected; up to 1 hr	CLASS IV: Time intensive or longterm project; 40+ hrs		
Any other AOC, including LSO, staff involved:			
Any other notes:			

Close matter

Open matter