ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	6/4/2013
PREPARED BY	Mark Dusman
OFFICE NAME	Information & Technology Services Office
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	133
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	As of April 2013, JC Directive 133 will now state: "E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC recommendations 7-46 and 7-50 and direct the Administrative Director of the Courts, as part of AOC long term planning, to review the information technology systems currently implemented Branch wide to support enterprise resource planning: finance, human resources, and education functional areas; to identify costs, benefits, and potential long-term savings, and the challenges of migrating support to a single IT platform; and to return to the council with a progress report on the findings."
SEC RECOMMENDATION	As with the Information Services Division, the AOC should determine whether to continue use of multiple or overlapping technologies for similar functions, as using a single technology could result in efficiencies and savings, both operationally and in personnel cost.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
☐ This directive has been completed and implemented:	
File Attachment	
\square This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:	
File Attachment	
✓ Other:	
The request for modification of directive #133 wording was accepted at the April 26, 2013 Judicial Council Meeting. The following language will appear on all future reports:	
"E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC recommendations 7-46 and 7-50 and direct the Administrative Director of the Courts, as part of AOC long-term planning, to review the information technology systems currently implemented Branch wide to support enterprise resource planning: finance, human resources, and education functional areas; to identify costs, benefits, and	

potential long-term savings, and the challenges of migrating support to a single IT platform; and to return to the council with a progress report on the findings."

To address the branchwide scope of the directive, the project team began updating the inventory of functions and processes that are implemented in the administrative technology systems at the AOC with information that is available about the systems in place at the superior courts. As this information is limited, the project team requested and received approval by the Judicial Council Technology Committee to survey the superior courts. Preparation of the survey and cover letter for distribution is in process.

The project team also submitted a request to the AOC Legal Services Office to review the administrative functionality in existing AOC administrative systems currently supported by the State Controller's Office, State Treasury and State Treasurer's Office. This information is necessary to determine which potential consolidation options are feasible.

File Attachment

TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION **IMPLEMENTATION** DATE OR **PROJECTED** ADOC interim report to the council by the December 2013 council meeting. **IMPLEMENTATION** DATE **RESOURCES** The team for directives #105 and #133 will continue to work on gathering **REQUIRED FOR** the background information required for the review. **IMPLEMENTATION** ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections) ☐ PROCEDURES/ **POLICIES UPDATED** OR DEVELOPED File Attachment TRAINING **UPDATED OR** File Attachment **DEVELOPED** ☐ SAVINGS File Attachment □ COST File Attachment ☐ EFFICIENCIES File Attachment ☐ SERVICE LEVEL **IMPACT**

	File Attachment
□ OTHER	File Attachment
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL	
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 6/13/2013
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW	
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 6/17/2013