## **ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM**

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	6/11/2013	
PREPARED BY	Zlatko Theodorovic	
OFFICE NAME	Fiscal Services Office	
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	39	
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director of the Courts to require that the AOC schedule its budget development and budget administration around the time frames used by all state entities.	
SEC RECOMMENDATION	The AOC should schedule its budget development and budget administration around the time frames used by all state entities. Assuming the budget for any fiscal year is enacted by July 1, the AOC should immediately allocate its budgeted resources by fund among programs, divisions, units.	
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)		
This directive has been completed and implemented:		
The AOC has been, and will continue to be, in compliance with timelines associated with the state budget development process, budget administration, and fiscal reporting. Despite the conformance to the state budget development and reporting processes, the judicial branch budget is far more complex than most state-funded entities and has additional timelines that differ from how many Executive Branch department/agency/unit budgets are managed. As one example, the trial court funding isn't allocated immediately following enactment of the state budget. Instead, the council receives funding allocation and policy recommendations from a budget advisory groupsince trial court funding was enacted, this has included the Trial Court Budget Commission, Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee, and the Trial Court Budget Working Groupfor consideration. In one particularly late budget year, the council wasn't able to act on funding allocations until Octobermonths after the state of the fiscal year. In a typical year given requirements for the legislature to submit an approved, on-time budget to the Governor, the council isn't able to generally act on funding recommendations until late July, pushing initial current fiscal year allocations to mid-August (for July, courts still receive allocations, but are based on estimates).		
As part of the AOC's response to this directive, staff will confer with other state-funded entities regarding their respective internal budget development and administration processes, schedules and procedures including interaction with the State Controller's Office. While many state funded entities utilize the DOF CalSTARS system for accounting and therefore rely on that system to manage data from the SCO, others have their own systems to manage data received from the SCO and accounting functions. These entities include the following:		
Department Of Justice State Controllers' Office Board of Equalization		

Department of General Services Public Employees' Retirement System Caltrans

Department of Motor Vehicles
California State University
Employment Development Department

It should be recognized that the administration and maintenance of policies and procedures is an ongoing process of continuous improvement, and although milestones can be achieved, this is an ongoing process.

File Attachment		
This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:		
File Attachment		
Other:		
Image: File Attachment		
TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION		
IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE		
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION		
ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)		
PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	File Attachment	
TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	File Attachment	
SAVINGS	File Attachment	
COST	File Attachment	

	File Attachment	
SERVICE LEVEL	File Attachment	
	File Attachment	
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL		
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 6/13/2013	
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW		
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 6/17/2013	