ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

OFFICE NAME Office JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER 48 JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE E&P i of the evalu analy SEC RECOMMENDATION As pa main This directive has been Image: Sec recommendation File Attachment	Hirschfeld a of Real Estate and Facilities Management recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director a Courts, as part of the council's long-term strategic planning, to uate the location of the AOC main offices based on a cost-benefit visis and other considerations. art of its long-term planning, the AOC should consider relocation of its offices, based on a cost-benefit analysis of doing so. RESPONSE (check applicable boxes) a completed and implemented:							
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER 48 JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE E&P i of the evalu analy SEC RECOMMENDATION As pa main This directive has been Image: Sec in the sec	recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director e Courts, as part of the council's long-term strategic planning, to uate the location of the AOC main offices based on a cost-benefit vsis and other considerations. art of its long-term planning, the AOC should consider relocation of its offices, based on a cost-benefit analysis of doing so. RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)							
DIRECTIVE NUMBER 40 JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE E&P i of the evalu analy SEC RECOMMENDATION As pa main This directive has been 40 Image: File Attachment 10 This directive is forward 10	e Courts, as part of the council's long-term strategic planning, to uate the location of the AOC main offices based on a cost-benefit vsis and other considerations. art of its long-term planning, the AOC should consider relocation of its offices, based on a cost-benefit analysis of doing so. RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)							
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE of the evalu analy SEC RECOMMENDATION As pa main This directive has been Image: File Attachment This directive is forward	e Courts, as part of the council's long-term strategic planning, to uate the location of the AOC main offices based on a cost-benefit vsis and other considerations. art of its long-term planning, the AOC should consider relocation of its offices, based on a cost-benefit analysis of doing so. RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)							
RECOMMENDATION main This directive has been File Attachment This directive is forward 	offices, based on a cost-benefit analysis of doing so. RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)							
File Attachment This directive is forward								
File Attachment This directive is forward	a completed and implemented:							
This directive is forward								
This directive is forward								
	I File Attachment							
	This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:							
✓ Other:								
This directive's implementation timeline is for AOC's long-term consideration. As indicated below, the AOC continues to evaluate costs for all AOC office locations and will ensure that cost-benefit of AOC's main office location is included as part of its long-term strategic planning efforts. In the interim, under business processes established by the Office of Real Estate and Facilities Management, due diligence and cost-benefit analyses were used to guide development and implementation of a strategic real estate plan for AOC office facilities. Implementing the initial 5-7 year segment of the plan has involved the negotiation and renegotiation of leases, subleases, MOUs; and exercising options to contract and terminate. Cost-benefit analyses will continue to be conducted on a recurring basis to confirm or reject the relevance of rationale used to support current decisions.								

TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION								
IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	All contractual commitments required to implement this directive have been executed.							
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION	The Office of Real Estate and Facilities Management (REFM), with support from the Judicial Branch Capital Program Office (JBCPO), has been the primary implementation resource to date. JBCPO is now managing the construction of tenant improvements in the Burbank Office, allowing for re- occupancy by June 30, 2013.							
ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)								
PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	N/A (previously established).							
TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	File Attachment							
SAVINGS	Between FY 2010-2011 and FY 2012-2013, reductions of over \$2 million in rent and 68,000 SF of space in the four primary AOC offices have been realized . By FY 2013-14, a total reduction of \$4.18 million and 82,811 SF will be realized from currently-existing and implemented commitments.							
I COST	Approximately \$175,000 in sublease brokerage commissions and over- allowance tenant improvement costs (subject to verification).							
	Total office space reduction from implementation = 25.3%. Total rent reduction = 30.3%. Image: File Attachment							
SERVICE LEVEL	N/A File Attachment							
	Note: This directive incorporates directly-related elements of Judicial Council Approved Recommendation No. 127. Image: Prile Attachment							
ADWINISTRATI	/E DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL							

ADOC REVIEW	REVIEW Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 4/10/2013						
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW							
E&P REVIEW Executive and Planning Review Date: 4/17/2013							

AOC SPACE AND RENT REDUCTIONS

AOC Office	FY 2011/12		FY 2012/13		FY 2013/14	
AUC Office	SF	Rent	SF	Rent	SF	Rent
Sacramento	64,631	\$ 1,376,627	57,775	\$ 1,526,990	57,775	\$ 1,505,413
Sacramento (OGA)	6,578	\$ 192,172	6,578	\$ 180,895	6,578	\$ 184,842
Burbank	37,347	\$ 1,422,274	25,355	\$ 1,146,579	10,666	\$ 460,771
San Francisco	218,500	\$ 10,822,626	179,924	\$ 9,190,717	179,924	\$ 7,712,124
FY Total	327,056	\$ 13,813,699	269,632	\$ 12,045,180	254,943	\$ 9,863,150
Change from Prior Yr	(10,698)	\$ (237,277)	(57,424)	\$ (1,768,519)	(14,689)	\$ (2,182,030)
Cummulative Change	(10,698)	\$ (237,277)	(68,122)	\$ (2,005,796)	(82,811)	\$ (4,187,826)

Notes:

1. FY 2010/11 total AOC rent was \$14,408,771 (SEC report uses \$14,049,738 without reference dates; difference may be attributable to use of calendar or lease year, versus fiscal year in this analysis).

2. Exercised option to terminate 2850 Gateway Oaks, 4th floor space effective 10/26/2012.

3. Sacramento rent increases in FY 2012/13 due to majority portion of negotiated rent reduction taken in one month of FY 2012/13 and smaller annual reductions taken over balance of lease term.

4. OGA office relocated to smaller premises at lower negotiated rate in FY 2011/12; no ability further reduce and sublease portion of premises.

5. Burbank 1st floor (11,992 SF) sublease and consent executed 6/15/2012; occupancy commenced 6/28/2012.

6. Agreement on business terms of assignment of San Francisco 7th floor to State Public Utilities Commission, effective 1/1/2013.

7. FY 2013/14 San Francisco rent estimated to increase 3% over FY 2012/13.

8. Tenant improvement expenses, if any, and brokerage commissions not included.

9. Previously-reported reduction of 19,000 SF in San Francisco no longer feasible due to increase in BCDC programmatic requirement.

10. Burbank lease negotiated outside of option terms, reducing rent by 60% and contracting office space by 58%.