

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF NAPA

NAME: [REDACTED]) PID Number: [REDACTED]
AKA: [REDACTED])
Address: [REDACTED]) Report Prepared: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED])
DOB: [REDACTED] Age: [REDACTED])
[REDACTED])
[REDACTED]) PRETRIAL SERVICES COURT REPORT

-000oo-

Summary of Charges:

Agency/Report#:	[REDACTED]	Booking Date/Time:	[REDACTED]
Charges: 23152(a) CVC, 482(a) PC, 4140 B&P, 11550(a) H&S, 4573(a) PC			

Please refer to the attached Arrest, Detention, Citation Form for specific information regarding the alleged offense.

Prior Record:

01-12-2003	Napa County	23152(a) CVC	01-11-2011: Misd Conv; fine
02-08-2003	Solano County	11377(a) H&S	08-07-2003: Misd Conv; Prob, 120 days JL 06-04-2004: Prob expires 09-14-06 08-13-2004: Prob expires 09-21-06 06-30-2006: Prob expires 10-30-06
04-26-2003	Vallejo PD	10851(a) CVC	08-07-2003: Misd Conv; 36 mos prob, 120 days JL 10-25-2006: Prob expires 10-25-06 11-01-2006: Prob expires 11-01-06 12-10-2006: Prob Rev/Rein, 90 days JL
01-25-2004	Vallejo PD	459 PC	06-04-2004: Fel Conv; 36 mos Prob, 365 days JL, 185 days SS Cond, Fine 08-13-2004: 180 days JL, Prob to expire 07-31-2007

Probation Officer's Pre-Trial Report

Re:

PID:

			06-30-2006: Prob to expire 09-08-2007
02-08-2004	Napa SO	23103(a) CVC	04-22-2004: Misd Conv; Fine
02-26-2004	Napa SO	666 PC	04-22-2004: Fel Conv; 36 mos prob, Fine
04-09-2004	Napa SO	148(a)(1) PC	06-10-2004: Misd Conv; 180 days JL, Fine
05-18-2006	Solano SO	23152(b) CVC	06-30-2006: Misd Conv; 36 mos prob, 2 days JL, Fine
07-04-2007	Vallejo PD	594(b)(2)(a) PC	12-11-2007: Misd Conv; 24 mos Prob, 2 days JL, Fine, Restn
01-20-2011	Rohnert Park PD	4140 B&P	07-08-2011: Misd Conv; Jail, Fine, SS
02-08-2011	Santa Rosa PD	11377(a) H&S 4140 B&P	07-08-2011: Misd Conv; 6 mos jail

Prior Failures to Appear:

None

Victim:

Victim contacted regarding possible release of defendant.

Yes No Attempted Not applicable

OHIO PRETRIAL RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL:

Based upon the Ohio Pretrial Risk Assessment Tool, the defendant scored High risk for failing to appear to Court, violating the terms of the release, or committing a new criminal offense. Factors considered in the assessment include prior failures to appear, prior criminal history, age, employment, residential stability and recent substance abuse issues.

Evaluation:

The defendant is [REDACTED] old and a resident of Solano County. The defendant self reported a serious history with substance abuse addiction, using alcohol, cocaine, marijuana and methamphetamine. The defendant reported the past few years, methamphetamine and alcohol

Probation Officer's Pre-Trial Report

Re:

PID:

have been his primary drugs of choice. While talking about his recent drug use, the defendant stated he had been on a nine day "run" leading up to yesterday, using methamphetamine on a daily basis. The defendant stated he would inject approximately 1 ½ grams of methamphetamine a day or more. The defendant estimated he has only slept for a total of 16 hours in the past 10 days. While meeting with the defendant he appeared to still be feeling the effects of his methamphetamine use. The defendant stated if he were released from custody on a Supervised OR agreement, he would be willing to go to a detox facility so he could detox in a safe environment. The defendant stated he currently works for [REDACTED] as an electrician in training. The defendant said his boss sent him home earlier in the week for being under the influence at work and told him to call him on Friday to see about returning to work. At this time, the defendant believes he will be able to keep his job if he can go back to work sometime next week. The defendant lives with his mother and father in [REDACTED]. On September 20, 2012 the undersigned officer contacted the defendant's father [REDACTED] who confirmed the defendant still resides at his residence. The defendant's father said he is not surprised the defendant was arrested and stated the defendant has a serious substance abuse problem, primarily with methamphetamine. Mr. [REDACTED] stated he has gone through these issues before with the defendant for a number of years.

According to the defendant, in May [REDACTED] he enrolled into the Lytton Springs six month residential treatment program; however, left the facility after approximately 30 days. The defendant did not report being involved in any other substance abuse programs.

On [REDACTED] the undersigned officer contacted the director of the Napa County Detox facility and was informed the defendant will not be allowed to utilize the services in Napa County because he is a Solano County resident. The undersigned officer contacted the Solano County detox facility and was informed that the facility has one bed available; but they cannot guarantee the bed will still be available by the time the defendant is released from custody. The staff member agreed to hold the bed available until 1430 hours of today's date.

The defendant has a serious criminal history that appears to be closely tied to his substance abuse problem. It is believed, if the defendant is going to be successful on Pretrial release, his addiction issues will need to be closely monitored.

Recommendation:

No Release O.R. S.O.R I.S.O.R

Standard terms of release will be recommended.

In addition to the standard terms of release, the following terms will be recommended:

Attend NA and/or AA meetings as directed. Provide Proof.

Do not consume or possess alcoholic beverages.

Be placed on a SCRAM alcohol monitor device during term of ISOR, at the discretion of Pretrial Services.

Probation Officer's Pre-Trial Report

Re:

PID:

- Be placed on a GPS monitor device during term of ISOR, at the discretion of Pretrial Services.
- Other terms: Be screened for placement at a detox facility and enroll into the program if accepted. You must remain at the facility until released by the program. You must follow all rules of the program.

Dated: [REDACTED]

MARY BUTLER
Chief Probation Officer

By: _____

[REDACTED]
Deputy Probation Officer

I have read and considered the
foregoing report.

Judge of the Superior Court

