



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
LOS ANGELES SESSION  
APRIL 3 and 4, 2018  
FIRST AMENDED**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California, on April 3 and 4, 2018.

**TUESDAY, APRIL 3, 2018 — 10:00 A.M.**

- (1) County of Los Angeles v. Financial Casualty & Surety Inc., S230213  
(*Edmon, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (2) Hassell (Dawn) et al. v. Bird (Ava); Yelp, Inc., S235968  
(*Stewart, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

**1:30 P.M.**

- (3) In re Cowan (Robert Wesley) on Habeas Corpus, [related to an underlying Automatic Appeal], S158073  
(*Elia, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (4) People v. Anderson (Eric Steve), [Automatic Appeal], S138474  
(*Gilbert, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (5) People v. Ghobrial (John Samuel), [Automatic Appeal], S105908  
(*Butz, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

**WEDNESDAY, APRIL 4, 2018 — 9:00 A.M.**

- (6) National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc., et al. v. State of California, S239397  
(*Epstein, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (7) People v. Farwell (Randolph D.), S231009  
(*Collins, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (8) People v. Lopez (Michael Augustine), [Automatic Appeal], S099549  
(*Fybel, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

**1:30 P.M.**

- (9) People v. Mora (Joseph Adam) and Rangel (Ruben), [Automatic Appeal], S079925  
(*Detjen, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

*Chief Justice*

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
LOS ANGELES SESSION  
APRIL 3 and 4, 2018**

*The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. In most instances, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.*

**TUESDAY, APRIL 3, 2018 — 10:00 A.M.**

***(1) County of Los Angeles v. Financial Casualty & Surety Inc., (Edmon, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#16-01 County of Los Angeles v. Financial Casualty & Surety Inc., S230213.

(B257660; 240 Cal.App.4th 535; Los Angeles County Superior Court; SJ3898.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order granting a motion to vacate the forfeiture of a bail bond in a criminal case. The court limited review to the following issue: Does the authority granted a jailer under Penal Code section 1269b “to set the time and place for the appearance of the arrested person before the appropriate court and give notice thereof” make the appearance in that court on that date “lawfully required” for purposes of forfeiting bail under Penal Code section 1305, subdivision (a)(4)?

***(2) Hassell (Dawn) et al. v. Bird (Ava); Yelp, Inc., S235968 (Stewart, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#16-334 Hassell v. Bird, S235968. (A143233; 247 Cal.App.4th 1336; San Francisco County Superior Court; CGC13530525.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. This case presents the following issues: (1) Does an on-line publisher have a right to notice and an opportunity to be heard before a trial court orders removal of on-line content? (2) Does the statutory immunity provided by 47

U.S.C. 230(c)(1) and (e)(3) bar a trial court from enjoining a website publisher's actions and potentially enforcing the court's order by way of contempt or other sanctions?

**1:30 P.M.**

***(3) In re Cowan (Robert Wesley) on Habeas Corpus, [related to an underlying Automatic Appeal], S158073 (Elia, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#11-75 In re Cowan, S158073. Original proceeding. In this case, which is related to the automatic appeal in *People v. Cowan* (2010) 50 Cal.4th 401, the court issued an order to show cause why petitioner is not entitled to relief on the ground of juror misconduct.

***(4) People v. Anderson (Eric Steve), [Automatic Appeal], S138474 (Gilbert, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

***(5) People v. Ghobrial (John Samuel), [Automatic Appeal], S105908 (Butz, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

**WEDNESDAY, APRIL 4, 2018 — 9:00 A.M.**

***(6) National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc., et al. v. State of California, S239397 (Epstein, P. J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#17-97 National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc. v. State of California, S239397. (F072310; 6 Cal.App.5th 298; Fresno County Superior Court; 14CECG00068.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed the judgment in a civil action. This case presents the following issues: (1) Can a statute be challenged on the ground that compliance with it is allegedly impossible? (2) If so, how is the trial court to make that determination?

***(7) People v. Farwell (Randolph D.), S231009 (Collins, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#19-35 People v. Farwell, S231009. (B257775; 241 Cal.App.4th 1313; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA130219.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. This case presents the following issues: (1) Does the “totality of the circumstances” test apply in determining whether a defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived his constitutional rights before stipulating to an offense, if the record indicates that the trial court did not advise the defendant or obtain his waiver of rights at the time of the stipulation? (2) Under this test, are references to a defendant’s constitutional rights during earlier stages of the proceedings and the defendant’s criminal history sufficient to support the conclusion that the defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived those rights when entering into to the stipulation?

***(8) People v. Lopez (Michael Augustine), [Automatic Appeal], S099549 (Fybel, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

**1:30 P.M.**

***(9) People v. Mora (Joseph Adam) and Rangel (Ruben), [Automatic Appeal], S079925 (Detjen, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.