

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION JUNE 6, 2017

FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on June 6, 2017.

TUESDAY, JUNE 6, 2017—9:30 A.M.

- (1) Briggs (Ron) v. Edmund G. Brown, Jr., as Governor, etc., et al. (Californians to Mend, Not to End the Death Penalty, etc., Intervener), S238309 (Hoch, J.P.T. and Ikola, J.P.T., assigned justices pro tempore)
- (2) American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California et al. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (City of Los Angeles et al., Real Parties in Interest), \$227106

2:30 P.M.

- (3) Parrish (William) et al. v. Latham & Watkins, LLP, et al., S228277
- (4) People v. Page (Timothy Wayne), S230793 (To be called and continued to the September 2017 calendar.)
- (5) People v. Daniels (David Scott), [Automatic Appeal], S095868

CANTIL-SAKAUYE	
Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION JUNE 6, 2017

The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. In most instances, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.

TUESDAY, JUNE 6, 2017—9:30 A.M.

- (1) Briggs (Ron) v. Edmund G. Brown, Jr., as Governor, etc., et al. (Californians to Mend, Not to End the Death Penalty, etc., Intervener), S238309 (Hoch, J.P.T. and Ikola, J.P.T., assigned justices pro tempore)
- #17-44 Briggs (Ron) v. Edmund G. Brown, Jr., as Governor, etc., et al. (Californians to Mend, Not to End the Death Penalty, etc., Intervener), S238309. Original proceeding. The court ordered respondents Jerry Brown, Governor of the State of California, Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of California, and the Judicial Council of California to show cause why the relief sought by petitioners should not be granted. This case presents issues regarding the validity of the Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016 (Prop. 66, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 8, 2016)).
- (2) American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California et al. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (City of Los Angeles et al., Real Parties in Interest), S227106
- #15-133 American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California et al. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (City of Los Angeles et al., Real Parties in Interest), S227106. (B259392; 236 Cal.App.4th 673; Superior Court of Los Angeles County; BS143004.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal denied a petition for writ of mandate. This case presents the following issue: Does information collected by police using "automated license plate readers" high-speed cameras that automatically scan and record the license plate numbers and time, date and location of every passing

vehicle without suspicion of criminal activity — constitute law enforcement "records of . . . investigations" that are permanently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act in accordance with Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f)?

2:30 P.M.

- (3) Parrish (William) et al. v. Latham & Watkins, LLP, et al., S228277
- #15-177 Parrish (William) et al. v. Latham & Watkins, LLP, et al., S228277. (B244841; 238 Cal.App.4th 81; Superior Court of Los Angeles County; BC482394.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order granting a special motion to strike in a civil action. This case presents the following issues: (1) Does the denial of former employees' motion for summary judgment in an action for misappropriation of trade secrets conclusively establish that their former employer had probable cause to bring the action and thus preclude the employees' subsequent action for malicious prosecution, even if the trial court in the prior action later found that it had been brought in bad faith? (2) Is the former employees' malicious prosecution action against the employer's former attorneys barred by the one-year statute of limitations in Code of Civil Procedure section 304.6?
- (4) People v. Page (Timothy Wayne), S230793 (To be called and continued to the September 2017 calendar.)
- #16-28 People v. Page (Timothy Wayne), S230793. (E062760; 241 Cal.App.4th 714; Superior Court of San Bernardino County; FVI1201369.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a petition to recall sentence. This case presents the following issue: Does Proposition 47 ("the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act") apply to the offense of unlawful taking or driving a vehicle (Veh. Code, § 10851)?
- (5) *People v. Daniels (David Scott)*, *S095868* [Automatic Appeal] This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.