Judicial Workload Assessment: Updated Workload Data and Allocation of New Judgeships

Hon. Lorna Alksne, Chair SB 56 Working Group

Leah Rose-Goodwin
Office of Court Research











County	Total judgeships received if AB 159 authorized judgeships allocated
Butte	1
Contra Costa	1
Del Norte	1
Fresno	4
Humboldt	0
Imperial	0
Kern	3
Kings	1
Los Angeles	1
Madera	1
Merced	2
Monterey	1
Orange	1
Placer	2
Riverside	7
Sacramento	6
San Bernardino	7
San Joaquin	3
Shasta	1
Solano	1
Sonoma	1
Stanislaus	2
Sutter	0
Tulare	2
Ventura	0
Yolo	1
Total	50





County	Total judgeships received if AB 159 authorized judgeships allocated	Total judgeships received if allocation schedule updated with 2012 Needs Assessment	Difference
Butte	1	0	-1
Contra Costa	1	0	-1
Del Norte	1	0	-1
Fresno	4	2	-2
Humboldt	0	1	+1
Imperial	0	1	+1
Kern	3	3	0
Kings	1	1	0
Los Angeles	1	2	+1
Madera	1	0	-1
Merced	2	2	0
Monterey	1	0	-1
Orange	1	2	+1
Placer	2	2	0
Riverside	7	9	+2
Sacramento	6	3	-3
San Bernardino	7	9	+2
San Joaquin	3	3	0
Shasta	1	1	0
Solano	1	1	0
Sonoma	1	1	0
Stanislaus	2	3	+1
Sutter	0	1	+1
Tulare	2	1	-1
Ventura	0	2	+2
Yolo	1	0	-1
Total	50	50	SCIL OF

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS



	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
County Butte	Use 2012 Needs Assessment	Grandfather in one judgeship in Contra Costa County, but use 2012 Needs Assessment for remainder	Use 2007 Allocation List
Contra Costa		1	1
Del Norte		·	1
Fresno	2	2	4
Humboldt	1	1	
Imperial	1	1	
Kern	3	3	3
Kings	1	1	1
Los Angeles	2	2	1
Madera			1
Merced	2	2	2
Monterey			1
Orange	2	2	1
Placer	2	2	2
Riverside	9	8	7
Sacramento	3	3	6
San Bernardino	9	9	7
San Joaquin	3	3	3
Shasta	1	1	1
Solano	1	1	1
Sonoma	1	1	1
Stanislaus	3	3	2
Sutter	1	1	
Tulare	1	1	2
Ventura	2	2	
Yolo			ADMINISTRATIVE

OF THE COURTS



	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
County Butte	Use 2012 Needs Assessment	Grandfather in one judgeship in Contra Costa County, but use 2012 Needs Assessment for remainder	Use 2007 Allocation List
Contra Costa		1	
Del Norte		•	1
Fresno	2	2	4
Humboldt	1	1	7
Imperial	1	1	
Kern	3	3	3
Kings	1	1	1
Los Angeles	2	2	1
Madera			1
Merced	2	2	2
Monterey			1
Orange	2	2	1
Placer	2	2	2
Riverside	9	8	7
Sacramento	3	3	6
San Bernardino	9	9	7
San Joaquin	3	3	3
Shasta	1	1	1
Solano	1	1	1
Sonoma	1	1	11
Stanislaus	3	3	2
Sutter	1	1	
Tulare	1	1	2
Ventura	2	2	
Yolo			ADMINISTRATIVE

OF THE COURTS



	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
County Butte	Use 2012 Needs Assessment	Grandfather in one judgeship in Contra Costa County, but use 2012 Needs Assessment for remainder	Use 2007 Allocation List
Contra Costa		1	1
Del Norte			1
Fresno	2	2	4
Humboldt	1	1	
Imperial	1	1	
Kern	3	3	3
Kings	1	1	1
Los Angeles	2	2	1
Madera			1
Merced	2	2	2
Monterey			1
Orange	2	2	1
Placer	2	2	2
Riverside	9	8	7
Sacramento	3	3	6
San Bernardino	9	9	7
San Joaquin	3	3	3
Shasta	1	1	1
Solano	1	1	1
Sonoma	1	1	1
Stanislaus	3	3	2
Sutter	1	1	
Tulare	1	1	2
Ventura	2	2	
Yolo			ADMINISTRATIVE

OF THE COURTS



Reviewing the alternatives:

- Workload needs of courts have changed since 2007
- Capital programs office uses allocation lists for long-range capital planning





Recommendation:

The SB 56 Working Group recommends that the Judicial Council review the information provided and consider adopting one of the three alternatives presented below for allocating the "second 50" judgeships:

Should Alternative 1 or 2 be adopted, the Judicial Council should request the Court Facilities Advisory Committee to make recommendations to the Judicial Council addressing how adoption of the new allocation affects the scope of capital outlay projects.

