

CHILD'S NAME: _____	CASE NUMBER: _____
----------------------------	---------------------------

TWELVE-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT: REUNIFICATION SERVICES CONTINUED
(Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(f))

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to his or her parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.

Placement

2. **The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.**
3. **The child's current placement is appropriate.**
4. **The child's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.
- a. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-435, item 25 for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.
- b. Other (*specify*):
5. **The child is placed outside the state of California and that out-of-state placement**
- a. continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the best interest of the child.
- b. does not continue to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the best interest of the child. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-435, item 25 for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made toward
- (1) returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement within California.
- (2) locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placement for the child and in the best interest of the child.
- (3) Other (*specify*):

Reunification services

6. a. **There is a substantial probability that the child may be returned to the**
- mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian other (*specify*):
- by the date set for the 18-month permanency hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.22 because the person has
- (1) made significant progress in resolving the problems that led to the removal;
- (2) demonstrated the capacity and ability to complete the objectives of the treatment plan and to provide for the safety, protection, physical and emotional health, and special needs of the child; and
- (3) consistently and regularly contacted and visited the child.
- b. Reasonable services have not been provided to the
- mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian other (*specify*):
7. Reunification services are continued for the
- mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian other (*specify*):
- a. as previously ordered.
- b. as modified
- (1) on the record.
- (2) in the case plan.

CHILD'S NAME: _____	CASE NUMBER: _____
----------------------------	---------------------------

8. **The likely date** by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption, tribal customary adoption, legal guardianship, or in an identified placement with a specific goal is (*specify date*):

Important individuals

9. **Child 10 years of age or older, placed in a group home for six months or longer from the date the child entered foster care**
- a. The county agency has made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
 - b. The county agency has not made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
 - c. To identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, the county agency must provide the services
 - (1) as stated on the record.
 - (2) as follows:

Health

10. The mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian other (*specify*):
 is unable unwilling unavailable to make decisions regarding the child's needs for medical, surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is suspended under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 369 and vested with the county agency.

Advisement

11. The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that if the child is not returned to the home at the 18-month permanency hearing set on a date within 18 months from the date the child was initially removed from his or her home, the case may be referred to a selection and implementation hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 **that may result in the termination of parental rights and adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group or, in the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption under section 366.24 is selected as the permanent plan goal, modification of parental rights and the adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group.**

Eighteen-month permanency hearing date:
--