

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY <i>(Name, State Bar number, and address):</i>  TELEPHONE NO.: _____ FAX NO. <i>(Optional):</i> _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____ ATTORNEY FOR <i>(Name):</i> _____		<b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF</b> STREET ADDRESS: _____ MAILING ADDRESS: _____ CITY AND ZIP CODE: _____ BRANCH NAME: _____		
CHILD'S NAME: _____		
<b>FINDINGS AND ORDERS FOR CHILD APPROACHING MAJORITY—DELINQUENCY</b>		CASE NUMBER: _____
Judicial Officer: _____	Court Clerk: _____	Court Reporter: _____
Bailiff: _____	Other Court Personnel: _____	Interpreter: Language: _____

Use this form to document the juvenile court's findings and orders regarding the possible modification of jurisdiction over the child, from delinquency jurisdiction to transition jurisdiction or dependency jurisdiction, the child's plans for independent living, and his or her status as a nonminor dependent as stated in rule 5.812 of the California Rules of Court at the following hearings:

1. A review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2, held on behalf of a child approaching majority;
2. A review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2, during which a recommendation to terminate juvenile court jurisdiction is considered, held on behalf of a child more than 17 years, 5 months and less than 18 years of age; or
3. Any other hearing during which a recommendation to terminate juvenile court jurisdiction is considered, held on behalf of a child more than 17 years, 5 months and less than 18 years of age who is in a foster care placement or who was subject to an order for a foster care placement as a dependent when he or she was adjudged to be a ward.

If this hearing is also a review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3, the findings and orders required in that section and in rule 5.810 of the California Rules of Court must be made in addition to the findings and orders on this form.

**BASED ON THE REPORTS READ, CONSIDERED, AND ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AND ALL OTHER EVIDENCE RECEIVED, THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS**

**Findings**

1. a.  The child's rehabilitative goals have been met. Juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a ward is no longer required. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
- b.  The child's rehabilitative goals have not been met. Continued juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a ward is required. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
2.  For a dual-status child for whom dependency jurisdiction was suspended under Welfare and Institutions Code section 241.1(e)(5)(A),
  - a.  a return to the child's home would be detrimental to the child, and juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dependent should be resumed. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
  - b.  a return to the child's home would not be detrimental to the child, and juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dependent does not need to be resumed. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

3.  For a dual-status child for whom the probation department was designated the lead agency under Welfare and Institutions Code section 241.1(e)(5)(B),
  - a.  a return to the child's home would be detrimental to the child, and juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dual-status child is no longer required. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
  - b.  a return to the child's home would not be detrimental to the child, and juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dependent is not required. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
  
4.  For other than a dual status child,
  - a.  the child was not a court dependent at the time he or she was declared a ward.  
The child  does  does not appear to come within the description of Welfare and Institutions Code section 300, and  can  cannot be returned home safely. The facts supporting this finding were stated on the record.
  - b.  the child was subject to an order for a foster care placement as a dependent of the court at the time he or she was adjudged a ward and  does  does not remain within the description of a dependent child under Welfare and Institutions Code section 300, and a return to the home of his or her parents or legal guardian  would  would not create a substantial risk of detriment to the child's safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being. The facts supporting the findings were stated on the record.
  - c.  reunification services  have  have not been terminated.
  - d.  the child's case  has  has not been set for a hearing to terminate parental rights or establish a guardianship.
  - e.  The child  does  does not intend to sign a mutual agreement for a placement in a supervised setting as a nonminor dependent.
  
5.  The child's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan includes a plan for the child to satisfy at least one of the following conditions of eligibility to remain under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent:
  - a.  The child plans to continue attending high school or a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program.
  - b.  The child plans to attend a college, community college, or vocational education program.
  - c.  The child plans to take part in a program or activities to promote employment or overcome barriers to employment.
  - d.  The child plans to be employed at least 80 hours a month.
  - e.  The child may not be able to attend school, college, a vocational program, or a program or activities to promote employment or overcome barriers to employment or to work 80 hours per month due to a medical condition.
  
6.  The child's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan includes an alternative plan for the child's transition to independence, including housing, education, employment, and a support system in the event the child does not remain under juvenile court jurisdiction after attaining 18 years of age.
  
7. For an Indian child, he or she  does  does not intend to continue to be considered an Indian child for the purposes of the ongoing application of the Indian Child Welfare Act to him or her as a nonminor dependent.
  
8.  The child has an in-progress application pending for title XVI Supplemental Security Income benefits, and the continuation of juvenile court jurisdiction until a final decision has been issued to ensure continued assistance with the application process
  - a.  is in the child's best interest.
  - b.  is not in the child's best interest because it is not necessary.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

9.  The child has an in-progress application pending for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status or other application for legal residency for which an active juvenile court case is required.
10.  The potential benefits of remaining under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent were explained to the child, and the child has stated that he or she understands those benefits.
11.  The child was informed that he or she may decline to become a nonminor dependent.
12.  The child was informed that on reaching 18 years of age, he or she may have the right to have juvenile court jurisdiction terminated following a hearing under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court.
13.  The child was informed that if juvenile court jurisdiction is terminated, he or she has the right to file a request to return to foster care and have the court assume or resume jurisdiction over him or her as a nonminor dependent.
14. a.  All the information, documents, and services required by Welfare and Institutions Code section 391(e) were provided to the child.
- b.  Not all the information, documents, and services required by Welfare and Institutions Code section 391(e) were provided to the child.
- (1)  The barriers to providing any missing information, documents, or services can be overcome by the date the child attains 18 years of age.
- (2)  The barriers to providing any missing information, documents, or services may not be overcome by the date the child attains 18 years of age.
15.  The child  was  was not provided with the notices and information required under Welfare and Institutions Code section 607.5.

### Orders

16.  The court, having previously determined that the child is a dual-status child under Welfare and Institutions Code section 241.1(e)(5)(A), and that juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dependent should be resumed, orders that
- a. dependency jurisdiction over the child previously suspended is resumed and delinquency jurisdiction is dismissed.
- b. the matter is continued for a status review hearing set under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.21 or section 366.31, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the date of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.
17.  The court having previously determined that the child is a dual status child under Welfare and Institutions Code section 241.1(e)(5)(B), that the child's rehabilitative goals were achieved, that a return to the child's home would be detrimental, and that juvenile court jurisdiction over the child as a dual-status child is no longer required, orders that
- a. the child's dual status is terminated, delinquency jurisdiction over the child is dismissed, and dependency jurisdiction is continued with the child welfare services department responsible for the child's placement and care.
- b. the matter is continued for a status review hearing set under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.21 or section 366.31, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the date of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

18.  The child comes within the juvenile court's transition jurisdiction as described in Welfare and Institutions Code section 450.
- a. The child was originally removed from the physical custody of his or her parents or legal guardians on *(specify date)*: \_\_\_\_\_ and continues to be removed from their custody.
  - b. The removal findings made at that hearing—"continuation in the home is contrary to the child's welfare" and "reasonable efforts were made to prevent removal"—remain in effect.
  - c. The  child welfare services department  probation department is responsible for the child's placement and care.

The child is adjudged a transition dependent pending his or her attaining the age of 18 years and assuming the status of a nonminor dependent under the transition jurisdiction of this court. The matter is continued for a status review hearing set under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31 and rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.

19.  The child (1) was not a court dependent at the time he or she was declared a ward; (2) is currently subject to an order for a foster care placement; (3) does not come within the juvenile court's transition jurisdiction; (4) has achieved his or her rehabilitative goals; (5) no longer requires delinquency jurisdiction; and (6) appears to come within the description of Welfare and Institutions Code section 300 and cannot be returned home safely.
- a. The  probation officer  child's attorney must submit an application under Welfare and Institutions Code section 329 to the child welfare services department to commence a proceeding to declare the child a dependent of the court.
  - b. The matter is set for a hearing to review the child welfare services department's decision on the date stated on the record, which is within 20 court days of the date of this order.

20.  The child (1) was a court dependent at the time he or she was declared a ward; (2) does not come within the juvenile court's transition jurisdiction; (3) has achieved his or her rehabilitative goals; (4) no longer requires delinquency jurisdiction; and (5) remains within the description of a dependent child under Welfare and Institutions Code section 300 and a return to the home of a parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to his or her safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being.
- a. The child was originally removed from the physical custody of his or her parents or legal guardians on *(specify date)*: \_\_\_\_\_ and continues to be removed from their custody.
  - b. The removal findings made at that hearing—"continuation in the home is contrary to the child's welfare" and "reasonable efforts were made to prevent removal"—remain in effect.
  - c. The  child welfare services department  probation department is responsible for the child's placement and care.

The order terminating jurisdiction over the child as a dependent of the juvenile court is vacated and dependency jurisdiction over the child is resumed. Delinquency jurisdiction is terminated. The matter is continued for a status review hearing set under rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

21.  Jurisdiction over the child is not modified from delinquency jurisdiction to dependency jurisdiction or transition jurisdiction.
- a.  The child is returned to the home of the parent or legal guardian. A progress report hearing is set on the date stated on the record.
  - b.  The child is returned to the home of the parent or legal guardian and juvenile court jurisdiction of the child is terminated as stated in *Petition to Terminate Wardship and Order* (form JV-794).
  - c.  Delinquency jurisdiction is continued and the order for an out-of-home placement in a non-foster care placement remains in full force and effect. A progress report hearing is set on the date stated on the record.
  - d.  Delinquency jurisdiction is continued and the order for a foster care placement remains in full force and effect.
    - (1)  The child intends to meet the eligibility requirements for status as a nonminor dependent after attaining 18 years of age, and a status review hearing is set under rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.
    - (2)  The child does not intend to meet the eligibility requirements for status as a nonminor dependent after attaining 18 years of age.
      - (a)  A hearing to terminate delinquency jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code sections 607.2(b)(4) and 607.3 is set for the date stated on the record, which is within one month of the child's 18th birthday.
      - (b)  A status review hearing is set under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2, on the date stated on the record, which is within six months of the child's most recent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 727.2 or section 727.3.

**22. The next hearings are scheduled as follows:**

- a.  Nonminor dependent status review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31 and rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- b.  Hearing to consider termination of jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code section 391 and rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- c.  Other (*specify*):

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDICIAL OFFICER

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear  
This Form button after you have printed the form.