



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688  
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
Chief Justice of California  
Chair of the Judicial Council

MARTIN HOSHINO  
Administrative Director

December 12, 2014

Hon. Ricardo Lara  
Chair, Senate Appropriations Committee  
California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 5050  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Shirley N. Weber  
Chair, Assembly Budget Committee  
California State Assembly  
State Capitol, Room 6026  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Mark Leno  
Chair, Senate Committee on Budget and  
Fiscal Review  
California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 5100  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Jimmy Gomez  
Chair, Assembly Appropriations Committee  
California State Assembly  
State Capitol, Room 2114  
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: 2014 Report to the Legislature: *Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse  
Construction Funds*, as required under Government Code section 70403(d)

Dear Senator Lara, Senator Leno, Assembly Member Weber, and Assembly Member Gomez:

Attached is the Judicial Council report required under Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county.

December 12, 2014

Page 2

If you have questions about this report, please contact Ms. Gisele Corrie, Senior Manager, Judicial Council Capital Program, at 916-263-1687 or gisele.corrie@jud.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Martin Hoshino  
Administrative Director  
Judicial Council of California

MH/GC

Enclosure

cc: Hon. Mimi Walters, Vice-Chair, Senate Appropriations Committee  
Hon. Jim Nielsen, Vice-Chair, Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review  
Hon. Melissa A. Melendez, Vice-Chair, Assembly Budget Committee California State  
Assembly  
Hon. Frank Bigelow, Vice-Chair, Assembly Appropriations Committee California State  
Assembly  
Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel  
Mr. Danny Alvarez, Secretary of the Senate  
Mr. E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly  
Ms. Margie Estrada, Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León  
Ms. Fredericka McGee, General Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Toni Atkins  
Ms. Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review  
Ms. Jolie Onodera, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee  
Mr. Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office  
Mr. Marvin Deon II, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee  
Mr. Chuck Nicol, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee  
Mr. Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Fiscal Office  
Ms. Madelynn McClain, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance  
Ms. Anita Lee, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office  
Mr. Cory Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council  
Mr. Curtis L. Child, Chief Operating Officer, Judicial Council  
Mr. William J. Guerin, Director, Capital Program Office, Judicial Council



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688  
Tel 415-865-4200  
TDD 415-865-4272  
Fax 415-865-4205  
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
*Chief Justice of California*  
*Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER  
*Chair, Executive and Planning Committee*

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN  
*Chair, Litigation Management Committee*

HON. KENNETH K. SO  
*Chair, Policy Coordination and  
Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.  
*Chair, Rules and Projects Committee*

HON. JAMES E. HERMAN  
*Chair, Technology Committee*

*Hon. Marla O. Anderson*  
*Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst*  
*Hon. Brian John Back*  
*Hon. Marvin R. Baxter*  
*Hon. Richard Bloom*  
*Mr. Mark G. Bonino*  
*Hon. James R. Brandlin*  
*Hon. David De Alba*  
*Hon. Emilie H. Elias*  
*Hon. Noreen Evans*  
*Mr. James P. Fox*  
*Ms. Donna D. Melby*  
*Hon. Gary Nadler*  
*Ms. Debra Elaine Pole*  
*Hon. David Rosenberg*  
*Hon. Dean T. Stout*  
*Hon. Martin J. Tangeman*

#### ADVISORY MEMBERS

*Hon. Daniel J. Buckley*  
*Mr. Richard D. Feldstein*  
*Hon. David E. Gunn*  
*Hon. Morris D. Jacobson*  
*Hon. Brian L. McCabe*  
*Mr. Frank A. McGuire*  
*Hon. Marsha G. Slough*  
*Ms. Mary Beth Todd*  
*Hon. Charles D. Wachob*  
*Hon. Joan P. Weber*

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO  
*Administrative Director,*  
*Judicial Council*

December 12, 2014

Title of Report: *Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse  
Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the  
Legislature*

Statutory Citation: Government Code section 70403(d)

Date of Report: December 12, 2014

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county. The following summary is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All such facility transfers were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of November 4, 2013, six counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Colusa, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Fourteen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these 14, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. One county reported a zero fund balance to transfer. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Two counties—Madera and Sierra—reported zero receipts and expenditures. Two counties—Butte and Yuba—retired debt in fiscal year (FY) 2013–2014 and will transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the

November 25, 2014

Page 2

State Court Facilities Construction Fund in FY 2014–2015. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

The full report is available at [www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm). For more information or to obtain a printed copy of the report, please contact Ms. Gisele Corrie, Senior Manager, at 916-263-1687.

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA**

**Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye**  
*Chief Justice of California and  
Chair of the Judicial Council*

**Martin Hoshino**  
*Administrative Director  
Judicial Council*


**Curtis L. Child**  
*Chief Operating Officer*

**OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS DIVISION**

**CAPITAL PROGRAM**  
**William J. Guerin**  
*Director*

**Gisele Corrie**  
*Senior Manager*  
Primary Author of Report





# Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse Construction Funds

---

Report to the Budget and Fiscal  
Committees of the Legislature

July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014  
January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005 (Update)  
January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 (Update)  
July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008 (Update)  
July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009 (Update)  
July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010 (Update)  
July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011 (Update)  
July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012 (Update)  
July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013 (Update)



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

---

OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS DIVISION  
CAPITAL PROGRAM

Judicial Council of California  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

## County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Sen. Bill 1732 [Escutia]; Stats. 2002, ch. 1082) requires counties to report receipts to and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds. Government Code section 70403 mandates that each county submit a report to the Administrative Director of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures for the period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005. Counties retaining funds for the purpose of paying bonded indebtedness must submit to the Judicial Council of California and the California Department of Finance annual updates of all receipts and expenditures within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year. The section further requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature on the information received from the counties regarding the status of local courthouse construction funds. This report covers the period from July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014, and includes updates to prior reports covering the periods of January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005; January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007; July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008; July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009; July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010; July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011; July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012; July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012; and July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's courthouse construction fund established under Government Code section 76100 be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from the county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All transfers of court facilities were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of November 4, 2013, six counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Colusa, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Fourteen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these 14, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. One county reported a zero fund balance to transfer. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Two counties—Madera and Sierra—reported zero receipts and expenditures. Two counties—Butte and Yuba—retired debt in fiscal year (FY) 2013–2014 and will transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund in FY 2014–2015. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

County Reporting Under Section 70403(b) for July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014

As of November 7, 2014, the Judicial Council received reports on the condition of local courthouse construction funds from all 32 of the counties that have outstanding bonded indebtedness as required by statute (see Attachment 1). All reports received are in compliance with section 70403(b), which requires an annual update of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures from counties with outstanding bonded indebtedness, in this case, for the period from July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014.

As reported by the 32 counties, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled \$44,182,494 during the statutory reporting period. The 32 counties reported a total of \$46,996,759 in local courthouse construction fund expenditures.

### **Status of Reviews**

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the Judicial Council staff reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that outstanding debt service still exists. If the Judicial Council concludes, based on the information provided, that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the California Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

### **Status of Determination of Repayment Amounts Required Under Section 70403(d)**

As reviews of the reports are completed for the counties with outstanding debt service, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. Reviews of the county reports have not yet been completed; therefore, at this time there are no repayments to report for this reporting period.

Update to Report for January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 58 counties had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 2). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect revenue and expenditure amounts previously reported by Alameda, Amador, El Dorado, Marin, Monterey, Placer, Sonoma, and Tulare Counties. For seven of the eight counties, the reports have been amended to reflect County reported corrections inadvertently excluded from prior legislative reports. For Placer County, the report has been corrected to exclude the beginning fund balance carried forward. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$535,548,274 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$528,997,401. Expenditures were reported as \$508,961,285, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$501,879,065.

#### Update to Report for January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 38 counties that had bonded indebtedness at the time submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 3). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect revenue and expenditure amounts previously reported by Marin, Monterey, Orange, and San Francisco Counties. The reports have been amended to reflect County reported corrections inadvertently excluded from prior legislative reports. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$104,014,855 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$103,767,997. Expenditures were reported as \$109,374,237, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$109,054,476.

#### Update to Report for July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 37 counties that had bonded indebtedness during the reporting period submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 4). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect revenue and expenditure amounts previously reported by San Francisco and Shasta Counties. The reports have been amended to reflect County reported corrections inadvertently excluded from prior legislative reports. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$66,881,239 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$66,872,822. Expenditures were reported as \$73,548,350, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$73,610,074.

Update to Report for July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 37 counties that had bonded indebtedness during the reporting period submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 5). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised revenue amount for Santa Cruz County, per an updated County report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$64,143,529 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$64,143,133. Expenditures were unchanged from the \$72,252,129 reported during that period.

Update to Report for July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 34 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 6). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised revenue amount for Humboldt County, per an updated County report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$62,891,096 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$62,891,070. Expenditures have also been corrected for Alameda and San Diego Counties due to an error in our previous reporting. For both counties, transfers—which are captured in the expenditure column—were reflected as “transfers out” when they should have been recorded as “transfers in.” With the revised report data, the total expenditures were reported as \$53,842,524, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$79,157,319.

Update to Report for July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 33 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 7). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised expenditure amount for Shasta County, per an updated County report. Expenditures have also been corrected for San Diego County due to an error in our previous reporting. A transfer amount was reflected as a “transfer out” when it should have been reflected as a transfer in.” With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported was

\$63,887,888 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$66,742,550. Receipts were unchanged from the \$56,936,310, reported during that period.

#### Update to Report for July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 8). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

El Dorado, Los Angeles, and Shasta Counties submitted revised reports for this period. Los Angeles and Shasta corrected reported revenues; and El Dorado and Los Angeles corrected reported expenditures. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts was \$46,792,978 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported \$31,918,295. With the revised report data, the total expenditures were reported as \$71,647,913, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$43,762,033.

#### Update to Report for July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 9). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Contra Costa, San Luis Obispo, and Tulare Counties submitted revised reports for this period. Contra Costa corrected reported revenues, and San Luis Obispo and Tulare corrected reported expenditures. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts was \$48,337,542 during the statutory reporting period, as compared to the previously reported \$48,111,977. With the revised report data, the total expenditures were reported as \$60,244,353, as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$59,887,704.

As reviews of the reports are completed for these counties, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. To date, no repayments have been identified for this reporting period.

#### Attachments

Attachment 1: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014

Attachment 2: County Reporting for Period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005

- Attachment 3: County Reporting for Period January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007
- Attachment 4: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008
- Attachment 5: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009
- Attachment 6: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010
- Attachment 7: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011
- Attachment 8: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012
- Attachment 9: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013

## July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 1

County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1 Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,369,762	1,019,287		X
2 Amador	In Progress	31,178	31,055		X
3 Butte <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	49,854	92,977		X
4 Contra Costa	In Progress	1,151,351	1,376,810		X
5 El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	20,391	83,104		X
6 Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	130,092	0		X
7 Humboldt	In Progress	201,410	309,720		X
8 Los Angeles	In Progress	15,456,000	20,228,000		X
9 Marin	In Progress	429,291	450,000		X
10 Merced	In Progress	389,021	391,577		X
11 Monterey	In Progress	498,101	502,886		X
12 Napa	In Progress	393,937	428,146		X
13 Orange	In Progress	2,526,864	3,931,815		X
14 Placer	In Progress	309,068	200,000		X
15 Riverside	In Progress	5,648,816	7,816,740		X
16 Sacramento	In Progress	1,503,029	1,530,000		X
17 San Bernardino	In Progress	1,858,919	1,856,895		X
18 San Diego	In Progress	973,381	925,000		X
19 San Francisco	In Progress	2,764,314	(1,642,048)		X
20 San Joaquin	In Progress	233,078	176,321		X
21 San Luis Obispo	In Progress	379,180	309,434		X
22 San Mateo	In Progress	1,168,417	1,285,003		X
23 Santa Barbara	In Progress	867,708	967,523		X
24 Santa Clara	In Progress	1,344,302	1,209,531		X
25 Santa Cruz	In Progress	110,760	110,760		X
26 Shasta	In Progress	471,040	338,887		X
27 Solano	In Progress	312,423	398,991		X
28 Sonoma	In Progress	522,716	452,158		X
29 Stanislaus	In Progress	579,712	337,780		X
30 Tulare	In Progress	303,176	325,966		X
31 Ventura	In Progress	993,181	1,531,934		X
32 Yuba <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	192,022	20,507		X
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 44,182,494</b>	<b>\$ 46,996,759</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project, loan from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (Fund 3138) authorized in FY 2014-2015
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received) and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Butte and Yuba Debt Service retired in FY 2013-2014. Final county report: Local Courthouse Construction Fund balance to be transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

**January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005**  
**Overview of County Reporting on**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)**

**ATTACHMENT 2**

County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1 Alameda <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	\$ 21,484,121	\$ 20,559,653		X
2 Alpine	In Progress	137,794	57,955		
3 Amador <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	523,222	247,047		X
4 Butte	In Progress	3,694,518	3,934,294		X
5 Calaveras <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,406,258	915,924		X
6 Colusa	Complete	463,599	479,021	0	X
7 Contra Costa	In Progress	10,204,719	10,568,957		X
8 Del Norte <sup>2</sup>	Complete	685	0	0	
9 El Dorado <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	1,856,437	537,447		X
10 Fresno <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,682,944	1,215,322		X
11 Glenn	In Progress	1,082,644	932,282		X
12 Humboldt	Complete	16,620,537	17,066,091	0	X
13 Imperial	In Progress	1,628,153	2,136,263		X
14 Inyo	In Progress	1,131,564	327,961		
15 Kern <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	517,693	527,625		
16 Kings <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	457,396	430,658		
17 Lake <sup>2</sup>	Complete	22,536	25,077	0	
18 Lassen	In Progress	1,906,284	2,771,540		
19 Los Angeles	In Progress	196,130,000	170,210,000		X
20 Madera	Complete	0	0	0	
21 Marin <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	3,901,196	3,424,565		X
22 Mariposa	In Progress	319,581	77,956		
23 Mendocino <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	115,867	351,454		
24 Merced	Complete	8,822,580	5,695,062	0	X
25 Modoc	In Progress	229,582	229,377		X
26 Mono <sup>2</sup>	Complete	507,544	507,543	0	
27 Monterey <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	5,098,670	8,743,508		X
28 Napa	In Progress	3,578,837	4,371,585		X
29 Nevada	Complete	1,899,407	2,061,522	0	X
30 Orange	In Progress	39,002,259	37,253,412		X
31 Placer <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	3,276,764	3,082,339		X
32 Plumas <sup>2</sup>	Complete	9,564	55,516	0	
33 Riverside	In Progress	26,049,765	24,854,033		X
34 Sacramento	In Progress	15,744,490	14,433,367		X
35 San Benito	Complete	684,673	309,182	0	X
36 San Bernardino	In Progress	17,582,803	18,638,886		X
37 San Diego	In Progress	8,394,261	13,020,630	0	
38 San Francisco <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	41,787,702	55,296,023		X
39 San Joaquin	In Progress	11,766,826	7,836,561		X
40 San Luis Obispo	In Progress	4,873,888	2,175,271		X
41 San Mateo	In Progress	11,678,138	10,801,760		X
42 Santa Barbara	In Progress	9,701,438	9,988,837		X
43 Santa Clara	Complete	16,761,142	16,831,245	0	X
44 Santa Cruz	In Progress	1,559,915	1,444,729		X
45 Shasta	In Progress	4,551,181	3,758,240		X
46 Sierra	Complete	0	0	0	
47 Siskiyou	In Progress	3,706,842	113,234		
48 Solano	In Progress	4,625,213	4,806,996		X
49 Sonoma <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	6,145,733	9,506,933		X
50 Stanislaus	Complete	4,224,471	3,411,085	0	X
51 Sutter <sup>2</sup>	Complete	592,087	288,836	0	
52 Tehama	In Progress	1,396	5,379		
53 Trinity	Complete	319,189	259,736	0	
54 Tulare <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	3,554,263	3,361,812		X
55 Tuolumne	In Progress	1,020,500	1,784,183		
56 Ventura	In Progress	9,488,022	4,699,661		X
57 Yolo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,993,191	1,756,977		
58 Yuba <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,028,190	780,733		X
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 535,548,274</b>	<b>\$ 508,961,285</b>		<b>38</b>

**Notes:**

1. Report prepared on a fiscal year basis; includes July to December 1997
2. Final county report: Local Courthouse Construction Fund balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting
4. Report revised to exclude fund balance carried forward

## January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 3

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	4,402,815	4,683,200		X
2	Amador	In Progress	138,964	790,443		X
3	Butte	In Progress	185,715	152,869		X
4	Colusa <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	122,472	(492,877)		X
5	Contra Costa	In Progress	2,200,103	2,065,795		X
6	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	509,264	5,596		X
7	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	287,153	0		X
8	Humboldt	In Progress	382,005	621,665		X
9	Imperial	In Progress	376,310	542,730		X
10	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	371,140	0		X
11	Los Angeles	In Progress	41,048,000	47,803,000		X
12	Marin <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	874,448	859,446		X
13	Merced	In Progress	1,016,562	2,153,587		X
14	Modoc	In Progress	30,502	24,688		X
15	Monterey <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	1,321,173	1,354,852	Corrected	X
16	Napa	In Progress	748,698	338,550		X
17	Nevada	In Progress	333,999	150,405		X
18	Orange <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	7,363,506	8,598,962	Corrected	X
19	Placer	In Progress	839,793	1,020,599		X
20	Riverside	In Progress	7,910,417	6,484,190		X
21	Sacramento	In Progress	3,317,350	4,510,721		X
22	San Benito	In Progress	241,653	(97,327)		X
23	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,376,405	0		X
24	San Diego	In Progress	1,632,637	3,315,000		X
25	San Francisco <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	6,278,455	6,526,815		X
26	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,756,484	1,417,928		X
27	San Luis Obispo <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,121,122	1,145,925		X
28	San Mateo	In Progress	2,203,231	1,013,932		X
29	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,806,072	2,009,297		X
30	Santa Clara	In Progress	3,538,275	4,316,755		X
31	Santa Cruz	In Progress	333,146	199,842		X
32	Shasta	In Progress	983,873	1,660,572		X
33	Solano	In Progress	960,428	962,708		X
34	Sonoma	In Progress	1,677,328	847,569		X
35	Stanislaus	In Progress	1,438,936	574,934		X
36	Tulare	In Progress	862,887	501,417		X
37	Ventura	In Progress	2,389,106	3,120,449		X
38	Yuba	In Progress	634,427	190,000		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 104,014,855</b>	<b>\$ 109,374,237</b>		<b>38</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Pending review of request for use of Local Courthouse Construction Funds, request subsequently cancelled
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting

## July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 4

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,584,839	669,332		X
2	Amador	In Progress	88,617	70,757		X
3	Butte	In Progress	112,501	120,520		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,864,761	1,864,761		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	295,078	33,130		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	256,052	410,432		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	294,809	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	225,024	286,636		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	158,669	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	24,558,000	27,050,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	532,573	255,157		X
12	Merced	In Progress	609,809	805,559		X
13	Modoc	In Progress	34,124	39,400		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	648,125	648,125		X
15	Napa	In Progress	479,985	226,835		X
16	Nevada	In Progress	229,500	7,318		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,748,186	4,518,072		X
18	Placer	In Progress	542,358	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	6,382,244	8,340,240		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	2,004,667	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito	In Progress	142,778	30,156		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,787,159	2,052,703		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	1,175,172	2,210,000		X
24	San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	4,049,323	4,495,551		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,635,756	2,891,002		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	712,987	4,931,326		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,349,001	1,028,248		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,154,245	1,168,383		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,945,344	2,376,202		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	209,166	320,445		X
31	Shasta <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	850,992	973,954		X
32	Solano	In Progress	592,026	408,999		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	578,945	550,096		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	598,497	181,158		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	569,301	0		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,464,010	1,563,349		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	416,616	110,784		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 66,881,239</b>	<b>\$ 73,548,350</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting

**July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009**  
**Overview of County Reporting on**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)**  
**ATTACHMENT 5**

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,751,481	2,902,462		X
2	Amador	In Progress	79,419	70,560		X
3	Butte	In Progress	87,484	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,268,123	1,267,676		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	230,427	74,105		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	183,671	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	273,227	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	218,597	285,333		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	157,849	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	23,230,000	28,396,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	474,805	476,753		X
12	Merced	In Progress	558,140	419,449		X
13	Modoc <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	31,162	918		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	628,824	628,824		X
15	Napa	In Progress	452,943	230,079		X
16	Nevada <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	217,835	281,349		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,950,165	4,519,492		X
18	Placer	In Progress	585,167	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	7,159,229	6,756,172		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	1,918,448	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	116,949	22,480		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,845,683	0		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	774,706	2,200,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	3,818,576	4,670,026		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	931,011	5,198,000		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	520,719	402,745		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,119,734	1,444,211		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,142,134	1,199,361		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,033,816	2,382,519		X
30	Santa Cruz <sup>5</sup>	In Progress	178,215	210,199		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	667,154	691,021		X
32	Solano	In Progress	574,761	403,512		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	540,554	486,299		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	875,803	1,181,356		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	581,978	850,000		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,462,308	1,562,167		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	502,432	129,341		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 64,143,529</b>	<b>\$ 72,252,129</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Debt retired in FY 2008-2009; pending receipt of county report to confirm
4. Final county report: Local Courthouse Construction Fund balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
5. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting

**July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010**  
**Overview of County Reporting on**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)**  
**ATTACHMENT 6**

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1,5</sup>	In Progress	2,612,121	(2,444,214)		X
2	Amador	In Progress	48,075	48,075		X
3	Butte	In Progress	76,149	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,316,789	1,317,236		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	193,653	484,855		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	162,380	0		X
7	Humboldt <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	252,781	314,535		X
8	Imperial <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	86,222	285,763		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	168,589	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	22,144,000	27,810,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	435,339	507,000		X
12	Merced	In Progress	462,112	1,605,036		X
13	Monterey	In Progress	607,576	607,576		X
14	Napa	In Progress	445,445	220,244		X
15	Orange	In Progress	5,606,919	4,512,691		X
16	Placer	In Progress	531,102	500,000		X
17	Riverside	In Progress	7,751,474	6,968,876		X
18	Sacramento	In Progress	2,011,315	1,977,600		X
19	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,860,071	2,052,703		X
20	San Diego <sup>5</sup>	In Progress	995,110	(7,773,745)		X
21	San Francisco	In Progress	3,588,795	4,635,781		X
22	San Joaquin	In Progress	244,882	187,459		X
23	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	439,924	306,069		X
24	San Mateo	In Progress	1,321,247	1,398,699		X
25	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,230,460	1,345,019		X
26	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,864,910	1,994,420		X
27	Santa Cruz	In Progress	168,234	211,112		X
28	Shasta	In Progress	572,397	697,373		X
29	Solano	In Progress	568,979	402,768		X
30	Sonoma	In Progress	578,545	735,327		X
31	Stanislaus	In Progress	815,137	388,830		X
32	Tulare	In Progress	689,431	300,000		X
33	Ventura	In Progress	1,338,867	1,555,461		X
34	Yuba	In Progress	702,066	689,975		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 62,891,096</b>	<b>\$ 53,842,524</b>		<b>34</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Bonded debt retired in February 2010; this will be the final reporting period; Local Courthouse Construction Fund balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.
5. Corrected expenditure amount erroneously reflected in prior report

**July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011**  
**Overview of County Reporting on**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)**  
**ATTACHMENT 7**

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,530,934	550,729		X
2	Amador	In Progress	37,317	36,525		X
3	Butte	In Progress	85,512	249,860		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,308,510	1,308,510		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	97,970	1,032,936		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	146,737	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	250,695	310,935		X
8	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	153,131	0		X
9	Los Angeles	In Progress	19,513,000	24,769,000		X
10	Marin	In Progress	512,977	398,681		X
11	Merced	In Progress	494,217	494,217		X
12	Monterey	In Progress	601,061	542,313		X
13	Napa	In Progress	452,511	233,979		X
14	Orange	In Progress	5,241,871	4,532,460		X
15	Placer	In Progress	455,630	500,000		X
16	Riverside	In Progress	7,413,489	7,961,871		X
17	Sacramento	In Progress	1,633,444	1,790,000		X
18	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,438,086	2,244,140		X
19	San Diego <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	775,112	775,112		X
20	San Francisco	In Progress	3,228,950	4,573,121		X
21	San Joaquin	In Progress	157,764	209,573		X
22	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	313,710	307,169		X
23	San Mateo	In Progress	1,526,321	3,856,256		X
24	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,129,184	578,258		X
25	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,800,171	2,187,964		X
26	Santa Cruz	In Progress	150,443	210,762		X
27	Shasta <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	529,828	679,900		X
28	Solano	In Progress	405,350	408,515		X
29	Sonoma	In Progress	523,842	564,544		X
30	Stanislaus	In Progress	822,537	319,944		X
31	Tulare	In Progress	709,482	522,255		X
32	Ventura	In Progress	1,168,925	1,540,047		X
33	Yuba	In Progress	327,598	198,312		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 56,936,310</b>	<b>\$ 63,887,888</b>		<b>33</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting
4. Corrected expenditure amount erroneously reflected in prior report

**July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012**  
**Overview of County Reporting on**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)**  
**ATTACHMENT 8**

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,366,638	2,322,747		X
2	Amador	In Progress	40,387	39,854		X
3	Butte	In Progress	101,211	109,853		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,046,449	1,046,449		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	58,363	1,118,967		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	141,838	636,959		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	241,573	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	17,906,000	27,351,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	473,298	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	465,336	1,197,798		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	567,526	590,005		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,556	233,962		X
13	Orange	In Progress	(324,767)	4,533,653		X
14	Placer	In Progress	357,841	500,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,379,663	6,302,426		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,709,607	1,594,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,056,446	9,007,338		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	1,074,854	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	3,031,247	4,570,627		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	182,206	210,804		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	405,532	308,119		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,233,152	1,320,075		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	992,318	714,908		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,850,633	2,293,536		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	119,332	184,429		X
26	Shasta <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	473,583	418,546		X
27	Solano	In Progress	393,515	407,204		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	598,381	734,584		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	683,157	329,542		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	332,482	352,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,105,507	1,536,739		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	319,114	42,069		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 46,792,978</b>	<b>\$ 71,647,913</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received) and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting

## July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 9

	County	Review Status <i>(complete/in progress)</i>	Revenues for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Expenditures for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness <i>(indicated in report)</i>
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,485,671	1,137,754		X
2	Amador	In Progress	45,474	45,117		X
3	Butte	In Progress	84,544	88,515		X
4	Contra Costa <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	1,651,301	1,425,736		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	34,540	151,026		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	135,432	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	223,486	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,109,000	25,672,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	409,862	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	435,065	419,379		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	536,676	523,762		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,822	232,741		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,731,944	3,926,135		X
14	Placer	In Progress	335,005	316,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,261,824	7,499,484		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,583,788	1,710,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,877,838	1,893,238		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	935,586	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,942,727	4,735,166		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	128,326	171,979		X
21	San Luis Obispo <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	373,124	308,768		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,184,153	1,327,071		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	895,428	866,791		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,224,957	2,224,957		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	113,217	113,217		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	469,811	600,347		X
27	Solano	In Progress	338,527	400,250		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	422,827	563,549		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	594,254	339,428		X
30	Tulare <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	1,033,946	356,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,039,784	1,528,445		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	288,603	27,778		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 48,337,542</b>	<b>\$ 60,244,353</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received) and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial Local Courthouse Construction Fund balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting