

455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688 Tel 415-865-4200 TDD 415-865-4272 Fax 415-865-4205

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE Chief Instice of California Chair of the Indicial Council

HON. MARVIN R. BAXTER Chair, Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee

HON. JAMES E. HERMAN Chair, Technology Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR. Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER Chair. Executive and Planning Committee

HON. IRA R. KAUFMAN Chair, Litigation Management Committee

Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst
Hon. Richard Bloom
Hon. Richard Bloom
Hon. James R. Brandlin
Ms. Angela J. Davis
Hon. David De Alba
Hon. Emilie H. Elias
Hon. Sherrill A. Ellsworth
Hon. Noreen Evans
Mr. James P. Fox
Hon. Teri L. Jackson
Ms. Edith R. Matthai
Hon. Mary Ann O'Malley
Mr. Mark P. Robinson, Jr.
Hon. David Rosenberg

ADVISORY MEMBERS Hon, Sue Alexander

Mr. Alan Carlson
Hon. Laurie M. Earl
Hon. Allan D. Hardcasstle
Hon. Morris D. Jacobson
Hon. Brian L. McCabe
Hon. Robert James Moss
Hon. Kenneth K. So
Ms. Mary Beth Todd
Hon. Charles D. Wachob
Mr. David H. Yamasaki

HON.STEVEN JAHR Administrative Director of the Courts

Judicial Council of California

April 30, 2013

Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine Legislative Counsel State of California State Capitol, Room 3021 Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Gregory P. Schmidt Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 400 Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Ana J. Matosantos
Director of Finance
California Department of Finance
State Capitol, Room 1145
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Report on the Allocation of the 2 Percent Set-Aside in the Trial Court Trust Fund as Required by Government Code Section 68502.5(c)(2)(C)

Dear Ms. Boyer-Vine, Mr. Schmidt, Mr. Wilson, and Ms. Matosantos:

The Judicial Council respectfully submits this report, as required by Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(C), detailing all requests and allocations made by the Judicial Council from the set-aside of 2 percent of the total funds appropriated in Program 45.10 of Item 0250-101-0932 of the annual Budget Act. As required in Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B), these fund are set aside in the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for trial courts to be allocated by the Judicial Council, and to be used by the trial courts for unforeseen emergencies, unanticipated expenses for existing programs, or unavoidable funding shortfalls.

Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine Mr. Gregory P. Schmidt Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Ms. Ana J. Matosantos April 30, 2013 Page 2

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) requires requests for unavoidable funding shortfalls be submitted by the trial courts to the Judicial Council no later than October 1 of each year. In response, the Judicial Council, by October 31 of each year, will review and evaluate the requests, select trial courts to receive funds, and notify those selected trial courts. No more than 1.5 percent of the 2 percent shall be distributed during this period. By March 15, the Judicial Council will distribute from the remaining funds if there has been a request from a qualifying trial court for unforeseen emergencies or unanticipated expenses. If there are unexpended funds after March 15, they shall be distributed to the trial courts on a prorated basis.

Requests Related to Unavoidable Funding Shortfall

The 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF for fiscal year (FY) 2012–2013 was \$27.8 million. Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) permits only up to 1.5 percent—or \$20.9 million—to be allocated by the Judicial Council by October 31 for unavoidable funding shortfall requests. Five courts requested funding related to unavoidable funding shortfalls—the Superior Courts of Fresno, Kings, Nevada, San Joaquin, and Ventura Counties—for consideration at the Judicial Council's October 26, 2012 business meeting.

The Judicial Council did not consider the requests for funding related from three of these courts—the Superior Courts of Fresno, Nevada, and Ventura Counties—because they did not qualify under the council-approved criterion of projecting a negative fund balance for the current fiscal year. The courts were notified that if their fiscal situations were to change prior to March 15, 2013, they would be able to reapply, as stated in the Judicial Council policy for allocating the 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF.

Two courts—the Superior Courts of Kings and San Joaquin Counties—did qualify for funding under the council-approved criterion. Thus their requests were considered by the Judicial Council. The total amount requested by these two courts was \$4.5 million. Information about these requests follows:

- The Superior Court of Kings County submitted a funding request of \$2.3 million. Under council policy, courts submitting requests on or before October 1 for unavoidable funding shortfalls can receive only up to the amount the court contributed to the 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF. Therefore, the council could approve no more than \$94,000. The amount approved by the Judicial Council for the Superior Court of Kings County was \$94,000.
- The Superior Court of San Joaquin County submitted a funding request of \$2.2 million. The Judicial Council voted to defer the decision on allocating any funding from the 2 percent set-aside until the court reported to the council on the use of the \$916,000 from the Trial Court Improvement Fund that the council approved as a five-year interest-free

Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine Mr. Gregory P. Schmidt Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Ms. Ana J. Matosantos April 30, 2013 Page 3

loan to the court on December 16, 2011, in response to the court's emergency funding request at that time. The Judicial Council approved no funds in response to the 2012 request.

Requests Related to Unforeseen Emergencies or Unanticipated Expenditures for Existing Programs

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) requires that the Judicial Council, after October 31 and before March 15 of each fiscal year, distribute from the remaining funds if there has been a request from any of the trial courts for unforeseen emergencies or unanticipated expenses for existing programs. The Superior Courts of Inyo, Kings, and San Joaquin Counties requested funding related to unanticipated expenses and unforeseen emergencies. Under the council's policy, the courts' requests may be for amounts exceeding their respective contributions to the 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF.

The Judicial Council did not consider the request for funding related to an unforeseen emergency from one of these courts—the Superior Court of Inyo County—because it did not qualify under the council-approved criterion of projecting a negative fund balance for the current fiscal year.

Two courts—the Superior Courts of Kings and San Joaquin Counties—did qualify for funding under the council-approved criterion of projecting a negative fund balance for the current fiscal year for unanticipated expenses. The total amount requested by these two courts was \$2.7 million. Information about these requests follows:

- The Superior Court of Kings County requested \$2.11 million to replace a soon-to-be unsupportable and failing case management system. The Judicial Council considered the court's request at its February 26, 2013, business meeting and approved an allocation of up to \$2.11 million over a five-year period, starting with \$733,000 in FY 2012–2013.
- The Superior Court of San Joaquin County requested \$628,000. The court, however, withdrew its request after being allocated funding for employee benefit cost increases for FY 2011–2012 and FY 2012–2013, negating the need for funding from the 2 percent set-aside.

Distribution of the 2 Percent Funds

The total amount allocated by the Judicial Council for all FY 2012–2013 requests through March 15 was \$827,000. The balance of unallocated funds from the 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF was \$27 million. A proportionate share of the \$27 million was distributed to all 58 superior courts by April 15, 2013.

Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine Mr. Gregory P. Schmidt Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Ms. Ana J. Matosantos April 30, 2013 Page 4

Please direct any questions related to this report to Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Fiscal Services Office, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), at 916-263-1397.

Very truly yours,

Steven Jahr

Administrative Director of the Courts

SJ/PB/pb

Attachment

cc: Members of the Judicial Council

Margie Estrada, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Darrell Steinberg

Fredericka McGee, General Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker John A. Pérez

Joe Stephenshaw, Consultant, Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review

Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office

Marvin Deon II, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee

Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Fiscal Office

Jay Sturges, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Jody Patel, AOC Chief of Staff

Curt Soderlund, AOC Chief Administrative Officer

Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, AOC Fiscal Services Office

Cory Jasperson, Director, AOC Office of Governmental Affairs

Andi Liebenbaum, Senior Governmental Affairs Analyst, AOC Office of Governmental Affairs

Peter Allen, Senior Manager, AOC Office of Communications



Judicial Council of California ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue * San Francisco, California 94102-3688 Telephone 415-865-4200 * Fax 415-865-4205 * TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council STEVEN JAHR Administrative Director of the Courts

Report title:

Report on the Allocation of 2 Percent Set-Aside of the Trial Court

Trust Fund

Statutory citation:

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(C)

Date of report:

April 30, 2013

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(C), which requires the Judicial Council to report to the Legislature and the Department of Finance, no later than April 15 of each year, all requests and allocations made from the 2 percent set-aside of the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) to trial courts.

The following summary of the report is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) requires requests for unavoidable funding shortfalls be submitted by the trial courts to the Judicial Council no later than October 1 of each year. The Judicial Council, by October 31 of each year, will review and evaluate all such requests, select trial courts to receive funds, and notify those selected trial courts. No more than 1.5 percent of the 2 percent shall be distributed during this period. By March 15, the Judicial Council shall allocate from the remaining funds if there have been requests from trial courts, to cover unforeseen emergencies or unanticipated expenses. After such distributions, any unexpended funds shall be distributed to the trial courts on a prorated basis.

For the period of October 1, 2012 through March 15, 2013, five courts requested funding from the 2 percent set-aside of the TCTF for unavoidable funding shortfalls and unanticipated expenditures. Only one court, the Superior Court of Kings County, met the Judicial Council criterion of projecting a current year negative fund balance and received an allocation totaling \$827,000. Information about the related transactions is discussed in the report.

The full report can be accessed here: www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm.

A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 818-558-3115.