

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2016
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, Third Floor, South Tower, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, on Thursday, April 7, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger.

Officers present: Frank A. McGuire, Clerk, Jorge Navarrete, Assistant Clerk Administrator, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S213873 Thomas Nickerson, Plaintiff and Appellant,
 v.
 Stonebridge Life Insurance Company, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Jeffrey I. Ehrlich argued for Appellant.
Margaret M. Grignon argued for Respondent.

Mr. Ehrlich replied.
Cause submitted.

S216681 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
 v.
 Marcos Arturo Sanchez, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. John Dodd, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Steve Oetting, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Dodd replied.
Cause submitted.

S216444 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Jeffrey Hubbard, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Dana Muhammad Ali, Office of the Attorney General,
argued for Respondent.
Philip Kaufler argued for Appellant.

Ms. Ali replied.
Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

S211275 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Patrick Lee Conley, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Carol Foster, Court-appointed Counsel, argued
for Appellant.
Ivan Marrs, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Foster replied.
Cause submitted.

S224599 The People, Plaintiff and Appellant,
v.
Steven Wade, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. David Polsky, Court-appointed Counsel,
argued for Respondent.
Scott D. Collins, Office of the District Attorney General,
argued for Appellant.

Mr. Polsky replied.
Cause submitted.

S066940

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

William Clinton Clark, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Peter Giannini, Court-appointed Counsel,
argued for Appellant.

Daniel Rogers, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Giannini replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION
May 3, 4, and 5, 2016**

FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 3, 4, and 5, 2016.

TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2016 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Property Reserve, Inc. v. Superior Court of San Joaquin County (Department of Water Resources, Real Party in Interest), and Consolidated Cases, S217738
- (2) Sandquist (Timothy) v. Lebo Automotive, Inc. et al., S220812
- (3) People v. Fuentes (Alexis Alejandro), S219109

1:30 P.M.

- (4) In re Isaiah W.; Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services v. Ashlee R., S221263
- (5) In re Abbigail A. et al.; Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services v. Joseph A. et al., S220187
- (6) Richards (William Joseph) on Habeas Corpus, S223651

WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2016 — 9:00 A.M.

- (7) Friends of the College of San Mateo Gardens v. San Mateo County Community College District et al., S214061
- (8) Ramos (Flavio) et al. v. Brenntag Specialties, Inc. et al., S218176
- (9) People v. Ikeda (Arnold), S209192

1:30 P.M.

- (10) People v. Moran (Jeffrey Michael), S215914
(To be called and continued to the late May 2016 calendar.)
- (11) People v. Morales (Josue Vargas), S228030
- (12) People v. Macabeo (Paul), S221852
- (13) People v. Zaragoza (Louis Rangel) [Automatic Appeal], S097886

THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2016 — 9:00 A.M.

- (14) City of Perris v. Stamper (Richard C.) et al., S213468
- (15) Baral (Robert C.) v. Schnitt (David), S225090
- (16) People v. Espinoza, Jr. (Zeferino), S224929

1:30 P.M.

- (17) People v. Jackson (Bailey) [Automatic Appeal], S139103

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)