

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, APRIL 26, 2016
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S093944

**PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN
ANTHONY) & HRONIS
(JEFFERY LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel Mark E. Cutler's request for an extension of time in which to file appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis's opening brief is granted to June 13, 2016. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling about 93 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S166315

**WILSON (ANDRE GERALD)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Miro F. Cizin's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 30, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 28, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 215 additional days are contemplated.

S174455

**PEOPLE v. RIVERA
(SAMUEL RAMON)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2016.

S175660**PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON ALEJANDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 31, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 8, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 236 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S188961**PEOPLE v. ZANON (DAVID CHARLES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2016.

S221519**CÁSARES (JOSÉ) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David A. Lowe's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 26, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S230923 A144149 First Appellate District, Div. 1**IN RE RICARDO P.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 25, 2016. No further extensions of time will be contemplated.

S232114 B260573 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (MARIO R.)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S232331 E059681 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. DRIVER II**
(TOMMY JUNIOR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Appellate Defenders, Inc., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S232375 E063006 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. AUMOEUALOGO**
(KAISER)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, William G. Holzer is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S232413 E063653 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. FERNANDEZ**
(STEVEN JOSEPH)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Booher is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S232486 C078836 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. DAZO, SR.,**
(VICTOR HONDELERO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S232900 D066907 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. VALENZUELA**
(LAURA REYNOSO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Steven J. Carroll is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S233511 **COOK (PAUL) v. S.C.**
(LOZANO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S233600**RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S233601**RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.