

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2014
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S214430 B237476 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **RASHIDI (HAMID) v. MOSER
(FRANKLIN)**

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

The Court of Appeal's judgment is reversed insofar as it reduced the award of noneconomic damages below \$250,000, and affirmed in all other respects.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Liu, and Detjen*, JJ.

* Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S223156 G050280 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **WILLIAMS (WENDY JOY) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to February 11, 2015. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S176413 **PEOPLE v. DENT
(ANTHONY R.)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John F. Schuck's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 6, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 17, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 47 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S214652 B248072 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **ALATRISTE (JOSE
ARMANDO) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 17, 2015.

S218861 D063394 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CASTILLOLOPEZ
(EMMANUEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to December 31, 2014.

S219052 B245959 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **MONTEBELLO, CITY OF v.
VASQUEZ (ROSEMARIE)/
(ARAKELIAN
ENTERPRISES, INC.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to January 23, 2015.

S220280 H039825 Sixth Appellate District **IN RE A.S.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 26, 2015.

Based on the representation of Huy T. Luong, counsel for respondent, that counsel contemplates filing respondent's answer brief on the merits on or before January 26, 2015, no further extensions of time are contemplated.

S222470 **SULLY (ANTHONY JOHN)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Gregg E. Zywicke's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 12, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 12, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S218400 B243800 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE TRANSIENT
OCCUPANCY TAX CASES**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Brian S. Stagner for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondents Travelocity.com LP and Site59.com LLC is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S218400 B243800 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE TRANSIENT
OCCUPANCY TAX CASES**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of J. Chad Arnette for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondents Travelocity.com LP and Site59.com LLC is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S222881 B246564 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. PARAMOUNT
CONTRACTORS &
DEVELOPERS INC.**

Order filed

The order filed on December 10, 2014, extending time to file the respondent's answer to petition for review is hereby amended to reflect the above title.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,179)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)