SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S213478 A135335/A136212 First Appellate District, Div. 5 CALIFORNIA BUILDING

CALIFORNIA BUILDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION v. BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the Court of Appeal's judgment and remand so that it may have an opportunity to address these issues to the extent necessary in light of today's holding.

Majority Opinion by Cuéllar, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, and Kruger, JJ.

S229934 C077831 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LIGHTLE (JOHN JACOB)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 3, 2016.

S229982 C071201 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GALLEGOS (DIEGO ROSALIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 27, 2016.

S230022 G050557 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

GREENBERG (DANIEL) v. BROADCOM CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 28, 2016.

S230206 F066360/F066576 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. PALOMARES (VICTOR MANUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 29, 2016.

S230271 H042817 Sixth Appellate District TORRES (JUAN MATIAS) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 27, 2016.

S230285 B253701 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SEIBOLD (GUNTER) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 28, 2016.

S230294 E061609 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. KOCH (STEVEN)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 28, 2016.

S230328 A141608 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. TURNER (GILL EDWARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 29, 2016.

S230384 B256761 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 SPRENGEL (JEAN E.) v. ZBYLUT (GREGORY A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2016.

S230395 E064524 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SMITH (ARTHUR D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 3, 2016.

S230440 D069060 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 ELLIOTT (DOUGLAS) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 4, 2016.

S230508 A139068 First Appellate District, Div. 2 MAXON (TERRI) v.

INITIATIVE LEGAL GROUP

APC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 8, 2016.

S230513 B254409 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 TABARES (DANIEL) v. **EQUITRUST LIFE**

INSURANCE COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 8, 2016.

S230544 B254702 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. MADRIGAL (ANTONIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2016.

S230566 B267774 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **EVANS (DAWN THERESE) v.**

S.C. (REGENTS OF THE **UNIVERSITY OF LOS ANGELES**)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2016.

B259424 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S230568 **REGENTS OF THE**

UNIVERSITY OF

CALIFORNIA v. S.C. (ROSEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2016.

S230581 B258863 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ROGERS (ZIM) v.

JUSTMUGSHOTS.COM,

CORP.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2016.

S176812

PEOPLE v. YONKO (TONY RICKY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tami Falkenstein Hennick's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 19, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 192 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S178113

BRAMIT (MICHAEL LAMAR) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mary T. McKelvey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 27, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to February 16, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 247 additional days are contemplated.

S220187 C074264 Third Appellate District

IN RE ABBIGAIL A.

Extension of time granted

On application of the United States and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the amicus curiae brief is extended to January 13, 2016.

S215614

KILBY (NYKEYA) v. CVS PHARMACY, INC.

Order filed

The above entitled matter is retitled as follows:

NYKEYA KILBY, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

CVS PHARMACY, INC., Defendant and Respondent.

AND CONSOLIDATED CASE.

S231043

GRAY (GREGORY LEE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

S231240

MARTIN (LANCE R.) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (STAINER)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.