SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S231861

ACCUSATION OF REINCKE

Petition stricken (case closed)

The Accusation Against an Attorney filed on January 15, 2016, by petitioners in the above-referenced case number is hereby ordered stricken as the petition was filed in error.

S232324 F073157 Fifth Appellate District

BILLINGS (CARSON) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S084292

ROGERS (DAVID KEITH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon the parties representation that the simultaneous exceptions and brief on the merits are anticipated to be filed by June 8, 2016, the parties request for an extension of time in which to file those briefs are granted to April 8, 2016. After that date, only one extension of time totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S174227

PEOPLE v. GUERRERO (JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 5, 2016.

S180217

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ, JR., (GEORGE ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Barry Morris's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 8, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 145 additional days are contemplated.

S189992

PEOPLE v. SHORTS (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Donald Shorts and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 5, 2016.

S189992

PEOPLE v. SHORTS (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Jamar Tucker and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 5, 2016.

S191587

ALEXANDER (ANDRE STEPHEN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Ajay Kusnoor's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 13, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 8, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 67 additional days is contemplated.

S220187 C074264 Third Appellate District

IN RE ABBIGAIL A.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae is extended to March 3, 2016. No further extensions will be granted.

S227106 B259392 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA v. S.C. (CITY OF LOS ANGELES)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to April 1, 2016.

S228193 D066684 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HOPSON (RUTHETTA LOIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 7, 2016.

S229080

BROWN (SHARRIEFF) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 9, 2016.

S229111

BELL (MICHAEL LEON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General William K. Kim's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 11, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 8, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 95 additional days are contemplated.

S224086 G049838 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 McGILL (SHARON) v. CITIBANK, N.A.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Andrew J. Pincus for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S224086 G049838 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 McGILL (SHARON) v. CITIBANK, N.A.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Archis A. Parasharami for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S230011 B251312 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WEISNER (JONQUIL.)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Nesta Wellington for appointment of counsel, Jennifer Peabody is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S230259 B253610 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. REESE (KEITH RYAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Esther Hong is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S230753 H038422 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (MICHAEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James Thomson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S229276 A141143 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE I.C.

Order filed

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, permission to file the reply brief on the merits in excess of 8,400 words is hereby granted.

S232252 GHOSH (RASH B.) v. S.C. (CITY OF BERKELEY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S232263

WATERS (MICHAEL LYNN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,243)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2016

FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on March 1, 2016.

TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2016 — 9:00 A.M.

(1)	Webb (William B.) et al. v. Special Electric Company, Inc., S209927
(2)	Winn (Kathleen A.) et al. v. Pioneer Medical Group, Inc., et al., S211793
(3)	People v. Franklin (Tyris Lamar), S217699
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>
(4)	People v. Becerra (Frank Kalil) [Automatic Appeal], S065573 (To be called and continued to the April 2016 calendar.)
(5)	People v. Robinson (Lee Hoang), S220247
(6)	People v. Salazar (Magdaleno) [Automatic Appeal], S077524

CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)