## SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2017 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S117489

PEOPLE v. WINBUSH (GRAYLAND)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to April 26, 2017, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S120382

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VINCENT HENRY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 2, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2017. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 183 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S121365

SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the traverse is anticipated to be filed by May 7, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 27, 2017. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 405 additional days will be granted.

S142959

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Timothy Young and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 17, 2017.

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Donald Young and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 17, 2017.

S159120

PEOPLE v. DEMOLLE (ALEX)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 17, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 17, 2017. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 245 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S166737

PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Dana M. Ali's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 10, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 20 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S175851

PEOPLE v. RICES (JEAN PIERRE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alana Cohen Butler's representation that the supplemental respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by March 13, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 13, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S180217

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ, JR., (GEORGE ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Barry Morris's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of

time in which to file that brief is granted to April 7, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 114 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182232

PEOPLE v. EVANS (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 4, 2017.

S185364

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (ALBERTO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Christine Levingston Bergman's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by April 10, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 10, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S192662

PEOPLE v. FAJARDO (JONATHAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 4, 2017.

S198378

PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON (JASON RUSSELL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 11, 2017.

S203206

PEOPLE v. BROWN (SHERHAUN KEROD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Harry M. Caldwell's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 28, 2017. After that date, only

one further extension totaling about 33 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S206764

HORNING (DANNY RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant Federal Defender Jennifer Mann's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 16, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 17, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S208348

PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 11, 2017.

S213832

PEOPLE v. BRIM (RONALD EARL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 3, 2017.

S234969

TROESTER (DOUGLAS) v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2017.

S235715

PEARSON (KEVIN DARNELL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

The application of petitioner for relief from default for the failure to timely file petitioner's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Conrad Petermann's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by

March 28, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 28, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S235903** A142858/A143428 First Appellate District, Div. 1

UNITED EDUCATORS OF SAN FRANCISCO AFT/CFT, AFL-CIO, NEA/CTA v. CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD (SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 27, 2017.

S237484

HOUSTON (ERIC CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Jonathan C. Aminoff's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 8, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 10, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S237602 E064099 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ADELMANN (STEVEN ANDREW)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answering brief on the merits is extended to March 13, 2017.

S237762 A146120 First Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE C.H.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2017.

No further extensions are contemplated.

**S238544** C075126 Third Appellate District

UNITED AUBURN INDIAN COMMUNITY OF THE AUBURN RANCHERIA v. BROWN (EDMUND G.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 27, 2017.

S238995

DONGES (SHAWN CURTIS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 10, 2017.

S236208

HELLER EHRMAN LLP v. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Anjali S. Dalal for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S236208

HELLER EHRMAN LLP v. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Christopher J. Cariello for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S236208

HELLER EHRMAN LLP v. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Rachel Wainer Apter for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

**S234160** H041943 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BARNES (TIMOTHY BRIAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238032 B259259 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. ARZATE

(ALBERT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Albert Arzate for appointment of counsel, Jeralyn Keller is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

**S238242** B261900 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. SANTANA

(EDGAR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Waldemar D. Halka is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S238485** F072107 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR

(VERNON)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John L. Staley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238547 C080749 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TILLOTSON

(JEFFREY ALLEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238630

B265970 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. LASTER

(JIMMIE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Christopher Love is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S238888** H043114 Sixth Appellate District

GUIOMAR (JOHN MANUEL) ON H.C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S239081** H040515 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KENNY (DAVID MATTHEW)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael Satris is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S200982

PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO (GABRIEL ALEXANDER)

Order filed

On the court's own motion and good cause appearing, the Clerk is directed to file the "Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief," received on February 8, 2017. Because this is not a supplemental brief authorized by rules 8.630(d) and 8.520(d) of the California Rules of Court, appellant's "Application for Order Permitting Filing of Oversize Supplemental Brief," filed on February 8, 2017, is denied as unnecessary.

## S226326

#### SALDANA ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed December 20, 2016, is hereby modified to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that the probation of VICTOR SALDANA, State Bar Number 256119, is revoked. The court further orders that:

VICTOR SALDANA is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on September 16, 2016), and will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:

- i. VICTOR SALDANA makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
  - (1) Loretta and Kenneth Aparicio in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 17, 2012; and
  - (2) Felipe Sanchez in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 28, 2011.
- ii. VICTOR SALDANA must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar's Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education

- (MCLE) requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)
- iii. If VICTOR SALDANA remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

VICTOR SALDANA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

This order is entered nunc pro tunc to November 29, 2016."

S233582 H040980 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ARREDONDO (MARCUS)

Order filed

The application of appellant for permission to file an answer brief on the merits in excess of 14,000 words is hereby granted.

S239922 H041917 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RODEWALD (JOHN THEODORE)

Order filed

The application of appellant for permission to conditionally withdraw the petition for review filed February 7, 2017, and file new petition for review is hereby granted.

S239865

CLARK (RAYMOND) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two.

#### S238788

### PREVOST ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARY FRANCES PREVOST, State Bar Number 157782, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. MARY FRANCES PREVOST is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months

of probation;

- 2. MARY FRANCES PREVOST must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on October 19, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARY FRANCES PREVOST has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MARY FRANCES PREVOST must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S238798

#### **RONCA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA, State Bar Number 183255, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 28, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2018, 2019, and 2020. If STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER RONCA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### SALES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANTHONY R. SALES, State Bar Number 218193, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ANTHONY R. SALES must make restitution to Ezequiel Garcia in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 1, 2015. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

ANTHONY R. SALES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S238817

#### **REID ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARY CATHERINE REID, State Bar Number 156385, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARY CATHERINE REID must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S238825

## THOMASON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BRUCE ANTHONY THOMASON, State Bar Number 140596, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. BRUCE ANTHONY THOMASON must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Miguel Campos in the amount of \$3,900 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 19, 2013;
- (2) Jjovanna Walton in the amount of \$2,330 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 6, 2014; and
- (3) Kathryn Baracao in the amount of \$2,747.50 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 27, 2013;

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

BRUCE ANTHONY THOMASON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S238830

## MONTION-GARCIA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA, State Bar Number 200009, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 2, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2018, 2019, and 2020. If LESLEY MONTION-GARCIA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

## S238838

#### WEISS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANIEL SANFORD WEISS, State Bar Number 91930, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DANIEL SANFORD WEISS is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. DANIEL SANFORD WEISS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DANIEL SANFORD WEISS has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

payable immediately.

DANIEL SANFORD WEISS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2018, 2019, and 2020. If DANIEL SANFORD WEISS fails to pay any installment as

described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and

S238843

## ACOSTA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA, State Bar Number 207377, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 120 days of probation;
- 2. WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2018 and 2019. If WILLIAM ANDRAI ACOSTA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S238845

# BARTHOLOMEW ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RONALD LEE BARTHOLOMEW, State Bar Number 47428, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. RONALD LEE BARTHOLOMEW must make restitution to the following payees:

(1) Ronald Gounder in the amount of \$70,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 31,

2013; and

(2) Mansour Afram in the amount of \$30,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 10, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

RONALD LEE BARTHOLOMEW must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S238846

#### **DUREN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that B. KWAKU DUREN, State Bar Number 147789, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

B. KWAKU DUREN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S239921

## **DIFRANK ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMES RICHARD DiFRANK, State Bar Number 105591, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JAMES RICHARD DiFRANK must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S239929

#### **KAYE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL STUART KAYE, State Bar Number 94006, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MICHAEL STUART KAYE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

## LACKERMANN, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLIAM M. LACKERMANN, JR., State Bar Number 183584, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

WILLIAM M. LACKERMANN, JR., must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

## S239931

## **LEWIS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of REBECCA ANN LEWIS, State Bar Number 90420, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

REBECCA ANN LEWIS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S239932

#### LEWIS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STEPHEN JAY LEWIS, State Bar Number 61899, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

STEPHEN JAY LEWIS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S239951

#### **NELSON ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STEVEN VICTOR NELSON, State Bar Number 57888, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

STEVEN VICTOR NELSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

### S239954

## PFEIFER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOAN MARIE PFEIFER, State Bar Number 77752, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JOAN MARIE PFEIFER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### PFEIFER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROLAND D. PFEIFER, State Bar Number 98206, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ROLAND D. PFEIFER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S239956

SWALLOW ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LYLE STEVEN SWALLOW, State Bar Number 111014, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

LYLE STEVEN SWALLOW must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.