

***[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2017,
IN OBSERVANCE OF LINCOLN'S BIRTHDAY.]***

211

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2017
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S110804

**PEOPLE v. ACREMANT
(ROBERT JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Marianne D. Bachers's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 11, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 11, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S235968

A143233 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**HASSELL (DAWN) v. BIRD
(AVA)/(YELP, INC.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2017.

S236728

B260774 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. FRIERSON
(JAMES BELTON)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2017

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S238563

D068185 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**UNION OF MEDICAL
MARIJUANA PATIENTS,
INC. v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO
(CALIFORNIA COASTAL
COMMISSION)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 13, 2017.

S238748**ELLIS (KATHRYN ANN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 10, 2017.

S238888

H043114 Sixth Appellate District

**GUIOMAR (JOHN MANUEL)
ON H.C.**

Order filed

In response to the Attorney General's February 10, 2017, letter seeking clarification of this court's February 3, 2017, order in this matter, the single issue to be briefed is whether a Penal Code section 1320.5 enhancement must be dismissed if the felony for which the defendant was on bail, and failed to appear, is later reduced to a misdemeanor under Proposition 47.