SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2018 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S226036 B251810 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

SAN BUENAVENTURA, CITY OF v. UNITED WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION, DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING, AND GRANTING MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE THE COURT:

The opinion herein, appearing at 3 Cal.5th 1191, is modified as follows:

- 1. The last two sentences appearing in footnote 3 in 3 Cal.5th at page 1200 are deleted. The footnote now reads: "Article XIII C provides that all taxes imposed by local governments are either general taxes or special taxes (art. XIII C, § 2, subd. (a)), and requires all general taxes to be approved by a majority vote (art. XIII C, § 2, subd. (b)) and all special taxes to be approved by a two-thirds vote (art. XIII C, § 2, subd. (d))."
- 2. In the second full paragraph appearing in 3 Cal.5th at page 1214, in the third sentence in that paragraph, the phrase "the burdens on or" is inserted after the phrase "a reasonable relationship to" and before the phrase "the benefits of." The sentence now reads: "We thus remand the case to the Court of Appeal with instructions to consider whether the record sufficiently establishes that the District's rates for the 2011-2012 and the 2012-2013 water years bore a reasonable relationship to the burdens on or the benefits of its conservation activities, as article XIII C requires."
- 3. In the second full paragraph appearing in 3 Cal.5th at page 1214, in the last sentence in that paragraph, the phrase ", as the District argues, it" is replaced with "the parties." The footnote at the end of this sentence should be retained as in the originally filed opinion. The sentence now reads: "In making this determination, the Court of Appeal may consider whether the parties should be afforded the opportunity to supplement the administrative record with evidence bearing on this question.⁹"

This modification does not affect the judgment. The petition for rehearing is denied. The motion for judicial notice is granted.

S246444 G052551 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

KIRZHNER (ALLEN) v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC

Petition for review granted

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are denied. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239488 E065918 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Briefing ordered in previously Held case

The parties are ordered to brief the following question: "Does equal protection or the avoidance of absurd consequences require that misdemeanor sentencing under Penal Code sections 490.2 and 1170.18 extend not only to those convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 10851 by theft, but also to those convicted for taking a vehicle without the intent to permanently deprive the owner of possession?" (See *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175, 1188, fn. 5.) The parties' briefs are to be filed as set out in California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a), with the time for petitioner to file the opening brief (id., rule 8.520(a)(1)) running from the date of this order.

S245867 G053749 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

TRAVELER'S PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF AMERICA v. ACTAVIS, INC.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The application for appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted.

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *Liberty Surplus Insurance Corp. v. Ledesma and Meyer Construction Co.*, S236765 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S246013 B278663 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. LOZANO (ELIZABETH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Padilla*, S239454, and *People v. Mendoza*, S238032 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are denied. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S245808B266558 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. AUSTIN (LARENE
ELEANOR)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause

PEOPLE v. BULLARD (JULIAN MICAH)

in light of S.B. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682.). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d). Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S245946 H042776 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RUELAS (JESUS ALFONSO)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Superior Court (Lara)* (Feb. 1, 2018, S241231), __ Cal.5th __, 2018 WL __ ; and in light of Senate Bill No. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S246063 B270324 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. WATTS (BOBBY)** Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of S.B. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S246201 F071683 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ACOSTA (EDGARDO)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District The request for consideration of additional issue in petitioner's petition for review is granted. The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of S.B. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, and Kruger, JJ.

S231268 C078239 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CARVER (ROBERT KENNETH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S231658 E062467 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CEJA (JOSE)** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233246 H042043 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CORTINAS (MIGUEL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233944G051386 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. BARTLETT
(ROBERT JAMES)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233979 H042065 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CASIAS (FREDDY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S234284 A144051 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOHN)** Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S235066 C078376 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BUNNELL (ERIK LEE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S238102 C080297 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ASHLEY (WILLIAM LEE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239050B268034 Second Appellate District, Div. 6PEOPLE v. DAVIS (CHARLES
C.)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239485 D069958 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CABELLO (FRANCISCO ROLANDO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240485 H043417 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ALLISON (SEAN PATRICK)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241237 F072560 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ABRAMS, JR., (LUIS FRANCISCO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241262 F072625 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CRUDER (DESIREE ALAYNA)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241275 F071518 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BAUGHMAN, JR., (RANDEL WAYANE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S242986 F071407 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CAHILL (STEPHEN THOMAS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, and Kruge

S142694

WARD (CARMEN LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA) On the court's own motion, this matter is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, for adjudication of the claims set forth in this court's May 18, 2016, order to show cause: "(1) one or more jurors may have considered extrajudicial information during penalty phase deliberations, as alleged in Claim 2; and (2) trial counsel provided ineffective assistance at the penalty phase, as alleged in Claim 8."

All remaining claims in the petition are denied on the merits.

Claims 3 and 9, except to the extent they allege ineffective assistance of counsel, are procedurally barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225, to the extent they were raised and rejected on appeal.

Claims 1, 2 (except to the extent it alleges that one or more jurors may have considered extrajudicial information during penalty phase deliberations), 3, 4, and 15, except to the extent they allege ineffective assistance of counsel, are procedurally barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759, to the extent they could have been raised on appeal but were not.

Claims 2 (except to the extent it alleges that one or more jurors may have considered extrajudicial information during penalty phase deliberations), 5, and 9, except to the extent they allege ineffective assistance of counsel, are procedurally barred under *In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 201, to the extent they could have been raised in the trial court but were not. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

LOKER (KEITH THOMAS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

This petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed in this court on October 27, 2008, and amended on April 29, 2011, before the effective date of Proposition 66, the "Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016." (See *Briggs v. Brown* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 808, 862, rehg. den. Oct. 25, 2017.) Under section 1509, subdivision (g) of the Penal Code, the court exercises its authority to retain this petition and decide it.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits, except for Claim 9, which is denied as premature and without prejudice to its renewal after an execution date is set.

Claim 2, except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, is procedurally barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225, to the extent it was raised and rejected on appeal. Claims 2 and 5, except to the extent they allege ineffective assistance of counsel, are procedurally barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759, to the extent they could have been raised on appeal but were not.

Claim 3, except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, is procedurally barred under *In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 201, to the extent it could have been raised in the trial court but was not.

S245662 Petition for re Liu, J., is of th	D068770 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied ne opinion the petition should be granted.	PEOPLE v. AUNE (ANDREW)
S245727 Petition for re	D070902 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. KAUFMAN (JACK H.)
S245839 Petitions for re	B278443 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 eview denied	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (JOEL)
S245880 Petition for re	E066223 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. POWELL (DANTIJER DOMENICK)
S245902 Petition for re	C076351 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. MANN (MICHAEL)

S245915C082986 Third Appellate DistrictPetition for review denied

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (DANNY MICHAEL)

IN RE L.L.

Petition for review denied; CA opinion decertified

B270574 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

The petition for review is denied. On the court's own motion, the Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed November 6, 2017, which appears at 16 Cal.App.5th 979. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(2).)

S245981	D071752 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY v. S.C.	
Petition for rev	view denied	(SUTHERLAND)	
S245983	F071055 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BEYER (KEITH JEFFREY)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S245984	H044724 Sixth Appellate District	HORTON (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.	
Petition for review denied			
S245986	A152817 First Appellate District, Div. 2	RANTEESI (SIMON F.) ON H.C.	
Petition for review denied			
S245987	H042319 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HORTON (CHRISTOPHER BRIAN)	
Petition for review denied			
S245994 Petition for rev	D071404 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. DAO (CHAU N.)	

264

S246004 Petition for re	B275791 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 eview denied	PEOPLE v. VIVEROS (ERICK)		
S246028 Petition for re	B279327 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 eview denied	PEOPLE v. PAIZ (LETZER SALGUERO)		
S246091 Petition for re	C083817 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ (FRANK)		
S246094 Petition for re	B286477 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 eview denied	MARQUEZ (JONATHAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)		
S246095 Petition for re	A150197 First Appellate District, Div. 3 eview denied	PEOPLE v. ROLLINS (CHRISTOPHER)		
S246111	A152781 First Appellate District, Div. 2	DENIS-McFARLAND (SHAWANNA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)		
Petition for re	Petition for review denied			
S246112 Petition for re	B271534 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 eview denied	PEOPLE v. VENTURA (ALEXANDER)		
S246123 Petition for re	B271540 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 eview denied	PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (NARCISO)		
S246130	A146432 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. OLIVE-GOFFNER (ASHON)		

Petition for review denied

S246167	D071264 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	HEFCZYC (ARTUR) v. RADY CHILDREN'S
Petition for rev	riew & depublication request(s) denied	HOSPITAL - SAN DIEGO
S246211 Petition for rev	C084087 Third Appellate District view denied	IN RE ANGELO M.
	5949/A147555 First Appellate District, Div. 5	O'BRIEN (EVA) v. BACA (DENNIS E.)
S246256 Petition for rev	F075733 Fifth Appellate District	EILAND II (JAMES CURTIS) ON H.C.
S246265 Petition for rev	F071651 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MOSSMAN (MICHAEL JAMES ALLEN)
S246303 Petition for rev	D071384 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. ALDAMA (RYAN LOUIS)
S246304	C082530 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. RUIZ- RODRIGUEZ (JOSE
Petition for rev	iew denied	EDUARDO)
S246342 Petition for rev	A148786 First Appellate District, Div. 2	SIMONELLI (ANTONIO C.) v. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

S246345 B26	9487/B271346/B271347/B272325 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	M. (BRIAN), GUARDIANSHIP OF
Petitions for review denied		OF
S246353 Petition for rev	C078914 Third Appellate District view denied	CONROY (NICHOLAS) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.
S246403 Petition for rev	B282507 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. KOGAN (DMITRY)
S246434 Petition for rev	E068789 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. LEWIS (PAUL DIXON)
S246446 Petition for rev	B279782 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. BOLDEN (SAMUEL)
S246450 Petition for rev	C083376 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (HUMBERTO)
S246452 Petition for rev	B279986 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (JERRY)
S246455 Petition for rev	B281169 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. ROJAS (FRANCISCO NILA)

A152814 First Appellate District, Div. 3

		CENTER v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & GO (BELINDA)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S246466	F071065 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PIERCE (DARNELL LUCIFER)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S246467	A148740 First Appellate District, Div. 5	TRAN (HUNG) v. SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC.
The request for denied.	reinstatement of the writ of supersedeas is denie	ed. The petition for review is
S246470 Petition for rev	D071540 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 iew denied	PEOPLE v. COLE (JUDD)
S246477 Petition for rev	A145664 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. NERO (MARK WILLIAM)
S246479	A152894 First Appellate District, Div. 1	MUNOZ (JOSE CLAUDIO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for rev	iew denied	5.C. (I LOI LL)
S246481 Petition for rev	E065592 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied	PEOPLE v. CASTRELLON (MICHAEL ANTHONY)
S246492	B279784 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. BRAVO (ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

SUTTER SOLANO MEDICAL

Petition for review denied

S246497

H042771 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GLEASON (JOHN

WESSLEY)

S246499	F073539 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. KEESE (MICHAEL DEAN)	
Petition for re	eview denied		
S246502 Petition for re	A148383 First Appellate District, Div. 5	KORNHAUSER (SAMUEL) v. ANDELIN (PHYLLIS E.)	
S246516	C082872 Third Appellate District	JONES (ALEXIS) v. CALONE (RICHARD S.)	
Petition for re	eview denied	(RICHARD S.)	
S246521	C086233 Third Appellate District	NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF	
Petition for re	eview denied	WILDLIFE v. S.C. (SMITH)	
S246527	B276804 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. GREENSHIELDS	
Petition for re	eview denied	(SEAN)	
S246529	H043928 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BRYAN	
Petition for re		(CHARLES BENTON)	
~~~~~			
S246532	C083197 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. STEWART (NATHAN DAVID)	
Petition for re	eview denied		

S246542	G053727 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	KINNEY (CHARLES) v. THREE ARCH BAY COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
Petition for re The request fo	view denied r judicial notice is denied.	DISTRICT
S246543 Petition for re-	D071798 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	IN RE A.M.
S246564 Petition for re-	E065887 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	JENSEN (VIRGIL) v. U-HAUL CO. OF CALIFORNIA
S246571 Petition for re	B282328 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	<b>PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ</b> (SILVIO C.)
S246572	H042680 Sixth Appellate District	BAXTER (WILLIAM) v. CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT
Petitions for review denied SYSTEM		
S246580		LARA (CARLO RENATO) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT (PEOPLE)
Petition for w	rit of mandate/prohibition denied	DISTRICT (TEOTEE)
S246716		CLARK (RAYMOND) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT (CALIFORNIA

**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION**)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S247050 A153584 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & application for stay denied

#### S243815

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S244332

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S244898

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

#### S245033

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S245158

#### SOTO (JOSHUA ANDREW) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

#### CHATTOPADHYAY (AMITABHO) v. S.C. (ROWELL)

GREENSPON (BENJAMIN JAMES) ON H.C.

JOHNSON (LANDON RYAN) ON H.C.

### ALVAREZ (GUSTAVO) ON

### H.C.

KING (JESSE STEPHEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S245533

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245544

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S245548

#### VIVANO (OCTAVIO) ON H.C.

HARPER (DANIEL JAMES)

The request for judicial notice is granted. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

#### S245554

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245570

# SNEED (DONNIE KAY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

#### S245573

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# KING (JESSE STEPHEN) ON H.C.

### NGUYEN (TAM H.) ON H.C.

**CASTRO (JUAN DIONICIO)** 

ON H.C.

ON H.C.

### THOMAS (MELVIN JAMES) ON H.C.

### 272

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245577

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245578

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S245581

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245582

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S245583

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245584

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S245669** Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

FRANKS (TOM MARK) ON

H.C.

#### JENSEN (JOSEF MICHAEL) ON H.C.

HARLESS (BENJAMIN ISAAC) ON H.C.

KELLY (JOSE LUIS CUEVAS) ON H.C.

#### **BROWN (WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

### TAYLOR, JR., (REGINALD WALTER) ON H.C.

#### HENNES (DAVSHAWN LARAY) ON H.C.

**BATTLE (TERRY) ON H.C.** 

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245687

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245689

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S245698

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S245702

RIVERO (CARLOS DIAZ) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S245706

# MONTOYA (EDGAR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### VADEN (THEODORE BENJAMIN) ON H.C.

#### WILSON (KAYLIN) ON H.C.

#### JACKSON (CLIFFORD DION) ON H.C.

#### DAVID (DAVID MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S245801 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245888

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245921

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S245922

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245923

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S246535

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

### **SNEED (DONNIE KAY) ON** H.C.

GHOLAR (JOHN R.) ON H.C.

### SUAREZ (JUAN CARLOS) ON H.C.

#### **BECERRIL (ARTURO) ON** H.C.

#### MARTI (ALEX LAMOTA) ON H.C.

**BATTEE (JAQUAI WAKAI)** 

#### **KING (JESSE STEPHEN) ON** H.C.

ON H.C.

S246126	B276375 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	HEYING (ANDREW KEEGAN) v. ANSCHUTZ ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.
Publication rec	uests denied (case closed)	

S246150 B268196 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 IV SOLUTIONS, INC. v. UHC OF CALIFORNIA

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S246176 D071732 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Publication request denied (case closed)

S247107 C081918 Third Appellate District

#### ANAHEIM, CITY OF v. COHEN (MICHAEL)

ALLEN (LINDA) v. TRI-CITY

HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 18, 2018. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S247142 B272380 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

#### CHACKER (MELODY) v. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 23, 2018. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S241324 B266931 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 27, 2018.

#### S244311

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 28, 2018.

### DR. LEEVIL, LLC v. WESTLAKE HEALTH CARE CENTER

#### ADAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

#### Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 21, 2018.

#### S232900 D066907 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Helen S. Irza is hereby appointed to represent appellant for purposes of oral argument on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S087569

Motion denied

Appellant's "Motion for an Order Clarifying This Court's Order Appointing Habeas Corpus Counsel and Reaffirming the Period Within Which a Habeas Petition will be Presumed Timely Filed or, in the Alternative, Tolling the Filing Period," filed on January 23, 2017, and "Motion to Strike," filed on February 24, 2017, are denied as moot. (See Briggs v. Brown (2017) 3 Cal.5th 808, 861-862.)

#### S095076

Motion denied Appellant's Application for Leave to File a Supplemental Opening Brief, received on February 15, 2018, is denied.

#### S234377 B255375 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ** (JORGE)

#### Order filed

The request of counsel for defendants and appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow three counsel to argue on behalf of defendants and appellants at oral argument is hereby granted. The request of defendants and appellants to allocate to Valerie G. Wass 10 minutes, Jonathan Demson 10 minutes, and Robert F. Howell 10 minutes of defendants and appellants' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S246207 Petition denied

(accusation)

#### VASQUEZ (JESUS MANUEL) ON H.C.

**PEOPLE v. VALENZUELA** 

(LAURA REYNOSO)

#### **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)**

# (RICHARD)

# **PEOPLE v. PENUNURI**

### **ACCUSATION OF HSU**

### 277

Petition denied

(accusation)

#### S115378

Motion denied (confidential)

The document filed by appellant in propria persona on January 4, 2018, is construed as a motion for substitution of counsel under *People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118. So construed, the motion is denied.

#### S230767

#### PEOPLE v. STANLEY (GERALD FRANK)

Motion denied

Appellant's "Declaration of Gerald F. Stanley Abandoning Appeal," filed on February 6, 2018, is construed as a motion to abandon this appeal. So construed, the motion is denied.

#### 278

#### **ACCUSATION OF PHELPS**

**PEOPLE v. WOODRUFF** 

(STEVE)

(GERALI