

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, JANUARY 6, 2015
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S124131

**PEOPLE v. BARRETT
(JOSEPH ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Lisa M. Romo's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 26, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 23, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S140795

**PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA
(JOHNNY)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ralph H. Goldsen's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 9, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 65 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S141320

**ROBINSON, JR., (JAMES) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Analee J. Brodie's representation that the written return to the order to show cause is anticipated to be filed by January 29, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S152737**PEOPLE v. CORDOVA
(JOSEPH SEFERINO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 30, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 24, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S163643**PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ
(FRANK CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 19, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 27, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S165649**PEOPLE v. COOK
(MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 25, 2015.

S174455**PEOPLE v. RIVERA
(SAMUEL RAMON)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 27, 2015.

S218504**GUZMAN (CHRISTIAN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to January 30, 2015.

S218591**McCLAIN (ALEX MARQUIS)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to January 16, 2015.

S218734

B246606 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**HORIIKE (HIROSHI) v.
COLDWELL BANKER
RESIDENTIAL BROKERAGE
COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 18, 2015.

S218993

D064641 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. BROWN
(SHAUNTREL RAY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 5, 2015.

No further extensions will be contemplated absent unusual circumstances.

S221943**MILLER (MICHAEL
ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to January 30, 2015.

S223165

A135682 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. v.
GOLDMAN SACHS &
COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On joint application of all parties and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answers to petitions for review is extended to January 20, 2015.

S211596

B235015 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**TRACT 19051
HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION v. KEMP
(MAURICE)**

Order filed

The court has received the document entitled 'Notice of Unavailability of Counsel' filed by appellants' counsel on January 5, 2015. Because appellants' counsel previously agreed to the continuance of oral argument in this matter from the January 2015 calendar to the February 2015 calendar, the court intends to retain this matter for oral argument on the February 2015 oral argument calendar. The court expects one of the two attorneys listed as appellants' counsel of record to be prepared to present oral argument when the matter is called at the February 2015 oral argument calendar.

S222354**CLARK (MOSES) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.