SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JANUARY 8, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S212704 B240519 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 MENDIOLA (TIM) v. CPS SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We affirm the Court of Appeal's conclusion that plaintiffs' on-call time constituted hours worked within the meaning of Wage Order 4 and was subject to the wage order's minimum wage and overtime provisions. We reverse the court's conclusion that state and federal regulations permitted CPS to exclude sleep time from plaintiffs' 24-hour shifts.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

- -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Liu, Baxter*, and Fybel**, JJ.
- * Retired Associate Justice of the Supreme Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.
- ** Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S222747

JAMES, SR., (LOVIE) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on November 21, 2014, is ordered withdrawn.

S223063

ASHANTI (ASKIA SANKOFA) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on December 1, 2014, is ordered withdrawn.

S222499 C074279 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ARMANDO JOSEPH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2015.

S222617 C077658 Third Appellate District

BROWN (WILLIAM L.) v. S.C. (JACKSON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2015.

S222620 C074662 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RINEHART (BRANDON LANCE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2015.

S222637

B245901 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

YBARRA (REYNA MARIE) v. APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT

COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2015.

S222641

A143265 First Appellate District, Div. 3

KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC. v. S.C

(STEELE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2015.

S222666 B243205/B246602 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 LUNADA BIOMEDICAL v. NUNEZ (LAURA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 17, 2015.

S222702

A143487 First Appellate District, Div. 4

CYAN, INC. v. S.C. (BEAVER COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT FUND)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2015.

S222705 H038900 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. QUINTANA (ISIDRO SANCHEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2015.

S131819

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Paul J. Spiegelman's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 10, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 10, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S152463

PEOPLE v. HILL (IVAN J.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 16, 2015.

S181535

PEOPLE v. ALCALA (RODNEY JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 9, 2015.

S217763 B245131 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. DEPARTMENT OF FISH & WILDLIFE (NEWHALL LAND & FARMING COMPANY)

Extension of time granted

On application of amicus curiae Planning and Conservation League and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its application to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby extended to January 9, 2015. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f).)

S218962

YORK (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to February 6, 2015. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S219567 A138949 First Appellate District, Div. 1

WHEATHERFORD (CHERRITY) v. CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent City of San Rafael and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 23, 2015. No further extension of time is contemplated.

S223032 C072383 Third Appellate District

VANNI (ARMANDO P.) v. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to a January 9, 2015.

S221923 B250678 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. VENEGAS (DANIEL SAUCEDO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Stephen Bedrick is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S223058

CLARK (RAYMOND) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

S207562

THOMPSON ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of INDIA SHERRYL THOMPSON, State Bar Number 143787, is revoked. The court further orders that INDIA SHERRYL THOMPSON is suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. INDIA SHERRYL THOMPSON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of her probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on October 11, 2014), and she will remain suspended until the following condition is satisfied:
 - i. She provides proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. INDIA SHERRYL THOMPSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 8, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if INDIA SHERRYL THOMPSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,183)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,184)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)