#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2016 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S120583

Rehearing denied

RAY)

**PEOPLE v. CAGE (MICKY** 

#### S230568 B259424 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. S.C. (ROSEN)

Petition for review granted Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S231107G051368 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. CUEN (JUSTIN<br/>ANDREW)

Petition for review granted Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S231405 B263164 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. ROMANOWSKI (DANIEL)

Petition for review granted Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S231765B262023 Second Appellate District, Div. 8PEOPLE v. BUYCKS<br/>(STEVESON)

Review granted on the court's own motion

Review is ordered on the court's own motion. The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Was defendant eligible for resentencing on the penalty enhancement for committing a new felony while released on bail on a drug offense even though the superior court had reclassified the conviction for the drug offense as a misdemeanor under the provisions of Proposition 47? For the purposes of briefing and oral argument, the People are deemed the petitioner in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S230206 F066360/F066576 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Defendant Martinez's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Sanchez*, S216681 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendant Palomares's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S230235 G049416 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

#### PEOPLE v. CAMARENA (RICHARD)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Fuentes*, S219109 (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S231177 D064877 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

#### PEOPLE v. MOTSENBOCKER (MATTHEW)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. DeHoyos*, S228320 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S231757 B262126 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. GRAYSON (ERNEST)

Review granted on the court's own motion; briefing deferred

Review is ordered on the court's own motion. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Romanowski*, S231405 and *People v. Cuen*, S231107 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### PEOPLE v. PALOMARES (VICTOR MANUEL)

#### S230901 H040529 Sixth Appellate District

#### **PEOPLE v. ARRIAGA** (ALEJANDRO)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District The petition for review is granted, and the matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of People v. Prunty (2015) 62 Cal.4th 59. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S231030 F072498 Fifth Appellate District

#### **CARDENAS (KALVIN** MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an alternative writ to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. (See *People v*. Hoffard (1995) 10 Cal.4th 1170, 1178-1179.)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S140270

Dismissal order filed

Petitioner's "Motion to Withdraw Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus No. S140270 Filed January 11, 2006," filed on November 23, 2015, is granted. The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed on January 11, 2006, is dismissed.

#### S179795

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA) The amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed June 14, 2012, is denied on the merits.

#### S183405

## WILLIAMS, JR., (BOB **RUSSELL) ON H.C.**

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA) The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed July 9, 2010, is denied. Claims 17-21 are denied on the merits. Except for Claims 17-21, all claims are procedurally barred as untimely under In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780-781. (See also In re Reno (2012) 55 Cal.4th 428, 443, 459-476 (Reno).)

#### **HAMILTON (BERNARD) ON** H.C.

FARLEY (RICHARD WADE)

To the extent they were raised and rejected on appeal and do not allege ineffective assistance of counsel, claims 1-7, 9-11(C), and 12-15 are procedurally barred under In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. (See also *Reno, supra*, 55 Cal.4th at pp. 443, 476-490.) To the extent it could have been but was not raised on appeal and does not allege ineffective assistance of counsel, claim 11(D) is procedurally barred under In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. (See also *Reno, supra*, 55 Cal.4th at pp. 443, 490-496.) To the extent they were raised and rejected in Williams's prior habeas corpus petition, claims 5, 7, 8(A)-(J) and (P), and 14 are procedurally barred under In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735. (See also Reno, supra, 55 Cal.4th at pp. 443, 496-501.) To the extent they could have been raised in Williams's prior habeas corpus petition but were not, claims 1-16 are procedurally barred under In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769. (See also *Reno, supra*, 55 Cal.4th at pp. 443, 501-505.) PEOPLE v. CRUZ (HENRY S230169 H040475 Sixth Appellate District **SABLAN**)

Petition for review denied

S230252	A143621 First Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>BEATON (CAROLE) v. CITY</b>
		OF EUREKA

Petition for review denied

S230295	B251546 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v.
		GERHARTSREITER
		(CHRISTIAN K.)

Petition for review denied

S230384	B256761 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	SPRENGEL (JEAN E.) v.
		ZBYLUT (GREGORY A.)
Petition for rev	view denied	

S230469	B259792 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	RAEF (PAUL) v. S.C.
		(PEOPLE)
Petition for rev	view denied	

S230503	A140279 First Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. DOUGLAS</b>
		(LATHEL)
Petition for re	view denied	

S230509	H040412 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. POLETTI (ANTHONY ACHILLES)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S230566	B267774 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	EVANS (DAWN THERESE) v. S.C. (REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LOS
Petition for rev	view denied	ANGELES)
S230586	A138244 First Appellate District, Div. 2	STEPHENS (LOIS) v. ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL
Petition for rev	view denied	CENTER
S230617	D069078 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	HERNANDEZ (FRANCISCO RENE) ON H.C.
Petition for rev	view denied	.,
S230618	B252042 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	ZIMAN (RICHARD & DAPHNA), MARRIAGE OF
Petition for rev	view denied	
S230630	G049797 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	VALDOVINOS (LILLIAN) v. AMERICAN LOGISTICS
Petition for rev	view denied	COMPANY, LLC
S230641	A142357 First Appellate District, Div. 1	SAVE MOUNT DIABLO v. CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Petition for rev	view denied	(NUNN)
S230643	B258086 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. ABBOTT (DAVID BRIAN)
Petition for rev	view denied	,

SAN FRANC	CISCO	JANUARY 20, 2016	109
The petition fo directed not to filed October 5	publish in the Official A	decertified he court's own motion, Appellate Reports the op t 240 Cal.App.4th 1268.	<b>PEOPLE v. WOLFGANG</b> (ERIC) the Reporter of Decisions is inion in the above-entitled appeal (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14;
<b>S230657</b> Petition for rev Werdegar, J., v	B257808 Second Apperview denied was recused and did not p		LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
<b>S230670</b> Petition for rev	E061098 Fourth Appe	llate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SIMPKINS (AARON MARTIN)
S230673 Petition for rev	B259028 Second Appe view denied	ellate District, Div. 8	KAGAN (DOROTHY) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
S230763 Petition for rev The request for	E061951 Fourth Apper view denied r judicial notice is grante		PEOPLE v. BIANE (PAUL ANTOINE)
<b>S230809</b> Petition for rev	H040647 Sixth Appella	ate District	PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (RENE PEREZ)
S230812 Petition for rev	F067227 Fifth Appella	ate District	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (ANDRE)

C076437 Third Appellate District S230829 **PEOPLE v. KING (MICHAEL)** Petitions for review denied B253131 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 S230852 **PEOPLE v. ANDINO** (NORBERTO) Petitions for review denied S230864 E056230/E060487 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SOLIS (EVELYN OSORIO) v. **PARAISO TROPICAL, INC.** (TOPA INSURANCE **COMPANY**) Petition for review denied S230929 D066451 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 VACARRO (NICHOLAS) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. Petition for review denied S230931 D065200 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. SHEARS** (CHARLIE P.) Petition for review denied S230932 E064741 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **RIELS (LEE WENDELL) ON** H.C. Petition for review denied S230952 B258176 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. INFANTE** (TOMAS RODRIGUEZ) Petition for review denied

S230958E064757 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2THOMAS (CRAIG ARNOLD)<br/>ON H.C.Petition for review denied

S230959	B246238 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. ESPINOSA (ESTEVAN HARO)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S230985 Petition for rev	B261554 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. BROWN (DARIUS)
<b>S231000</b> Petition for rev	G049998 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. CANTER (CHARLES VERNE)
S231027 Petition for rev	A138885 First Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. NELSON (STEVE CLEMENT)
S231038 Petition for rev	A143876 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. FLORES (MERCEDES JUANITA)
<b>S231071</b> Petition for rev	F070288 Fifth Appellate District view denied	IN RE SADIE S.
S231084 Petition for rev	B261995 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. BRIM (BRIAN KEITH)
S231118 Petition for rev	B258908 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. DEGUZMAN (ANTHONY)
S231145 Petition for rev	G050101 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. RUEDA (PETER)

JANUARY 20, 2016

S231147 Petition for 1	B261608 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 review denied	PEOPLE v. RAMOS (CESAR)	
S231151	E063680 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. LEWIS (PAUL DIXON)	
Petition for a	review denied	DIXON)	
S231158	E060839 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SMALLEN (KURT ALEXANDER)	
Petition for	review denied		
S231166	B259575 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	<b>PEOPLE v. TRIPLETT</b> (ANGEL)	
Petition for 1	review denied		
S231167	B260034 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (JOSE ANGEL)	
Petition for a	review denied		
S231168	G052834 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEREZ, JR., (DAVID CLEMENTE) v. S.C.	
Petition for	review denied	(PEOPLE)	
S231176		WAGNER (CLINTON F.) v.	
Petition for	writ of mandate/prohibition denied	S.C. (PEOPLE)	
S231179	B268504 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	THOMAS (MELVIN JAMES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
Petition for a	review denied	•• 5••• (I <b>E</b> OI <b>EE</b> )	
S231186	H039899 Sixth Appellate District	WILLIAMS (GEORGE) v. LOPEZ (ALISTAIR)	
Petition for	review denied	LUI LL (ALIOTAIK)	

S231204	B258303 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. LARA (HECTOR	
Petition for review denied MANUEL)			
S231216 Petition for rev	C070420 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. SHIELDS (PAMELA JEAN)	
S231220 Petition for rev	A142617 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	TERENZIO (JOHN) v. CURRENT TV, LLC	
S231223 Petition for rev	B259669 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	ALVARADO (WILLIAM) v. CITY OF PASADENA	
S231235 Petition for rev	G051134 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. DORSEY (EDWARD DEWAYNE)	
S231237 Petition for rev	B257138 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	<b>PEOPLE v. PRICE (ASHLEY LUTHER)</b>	
S231241 Petition for rev	B268209 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. STARKS (BRIAN B.)	
S231253 Petition for rev	F068479 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. CARTER (JANEL MARIE)	
S231255 Petition for rev	D065431 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. TERRELL (MATTHEW)	

S231261	G052864 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	GONZALEZ (SAMUEL) ON H.C.	
Petition for re-	view denied	n.c.	
S231265	A140801 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. MAYFIELD (JAMES L.)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S231270	B254143 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	1130 HOPE STREET INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC v. HAIEM (RAY)/(HOPE	
Petition for re-	view denied	PARK LOFTS 2001-02910056)	
<b>S231284</b> Petition for rev	F071555 Fifth Appellate District view denied	IN RE CATALINA C.	
<b>S231285</b> Petition for rev	C078058 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. TORRES (JAIME)	
S231287	B259361 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. LARA (CARLO RENATO)	
Petition for review denied			
S231293	E061215 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (DANIEL HERRERA)	
Petition for review denied			
S231296	B259015 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. LYNCH (RYAN PATRICK)	
Petition for review denied			
S231313 Petition for rev	B256911 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. FLORES (ALFREDO MOISES)	
Petition for review denied			

S231319F068301 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S231586 D069443 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 FAULKNER (DUNCAN) v.

Petition for review & application for stay denied

#### S228559

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S228871

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229176

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229177

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S229207

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229227

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S229229

**LESTER) ON H.C.** The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

# PEOPLE v. RIVERA

(EFRAIN)

FAULKNER (DUNCAN) v. S.C. (CITY OF OCEANSIDE)

**BRANNER** (GABRIEL) ON H.C.

ALEXANDER (ALEXIOS) ON H.C.

NGUYEN (SON TRUONG) ON H.C.

BELTRAN (JAIME E.) ON H.C.

GARZA (RAUL) ON H.C.

ESLAMI (NATHAN) ON H.C.

HILL (DERRICK AUSTIN

#### S229232

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

#### S229283

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229443

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S229503

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229504

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S229506 HUBBARD (ZANE) ON H.C. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

#### S229508

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229650

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# **KENDRIX) ON H.C.**

**FRAZIER (NATHAN** 

#### VALENTINE, JR., (MICHAEL WEBSTER) ON H.C.

# JONES (DIAMOND) ON H.C.

# **BUFORD (TERRY) ON H.C.**

### WILLIAMS (BOBBY RAY) ON H.C.

### **GARNER (CHRISTOPHER E.)** ON H.C.

## ANTONIO) ON H.C.

# **MENDOZA (ROBERTO**

116

**JANUARY 20, 2016** 

#### S229658

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

#### S229706

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

#### S229709

#### **BANKS (DELANTE** HAMILTON) ON H.C.

PERRY (ERIC DWAYNE) ON

**DeVAUGHN (MICHAEL) ON** 

H.C.

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S229713

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S229726

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229772

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229773

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

#### S229776

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

117

# H.C.

SHAW (RONALD JAMES) ON

### **GASSOWAY (CURTIS) ON** H.C.

**EVANGELISTA (JOSE) ON** H.C.

## **OJEDA (ROBERT P.) ON H.C.**

### **GOLDSTEIN (JEFFERY) ON**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229800

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229843

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229844

#### S229848

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229854

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

#### S229866

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229867

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

#### S229868

HERRERA (JOSE) ON H.C. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

#### **JANUARY 20, 2016**

**MOLINA (MICHAEL ANTHONY) ON H.C.** 

**JACKSON (FREDERICK** LEE) ON H.C.

**PETROVICH (RICK ALAN)** ON H.C.

**RIOS (ULYSSES ALEXANDER) ON H.C.** The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

> **COLE (WALTER LEE) ON** H.C.

**BROWN (DeANDRE** JERROD) ON H.C.

YOUNG (ROBERT) ON H.C.

ALVARADO (ISAAC) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S229891

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229907

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229909

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229914

#### SWANIGAN (DAMON EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S229916

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S229936

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S229966

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

### SHABAZZ (JOSHUA) ON H.C.

LOVE (KENNARD ISAIAH)

ON H.C.

GARFEL (ROBERT FRANK) ON H.C.

# H.C.

**GARBETT (KARRICK M.) ON** 

## ROTH (EDWARD) ON H.C.

**RHODES (BERNARD) ON** 

H.C.

#### WARREN (PAUL R.) ON H.C.

## 119

#### S229968

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230005

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S230008 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230097

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230100

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230101

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

## S230102 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

## S230114 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

CASTRO (GLENN SALVADOR MARTINEZ) ON H.C.

JORGENSON (DANNY) ON H.C.

MOON (DAVID CHARLES) ON H.C.

MENCHACA (STEPHEN) ON H.C.

CHICO (LUIS ANGEL) ON H.C.

**RAMIREZ (CARLOS JUAN)** ON H.C.

BARKER (TREMAIN) ON H.C.

**DE LOS ANGELES (VICTOR) ON H.C.** 

#### S230117

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S230118

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230183

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S230186

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230191

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

#### S230240

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S230679

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S231001

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Villa (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

## **MYLES (ALFRED) ON H.C.**

MATAELE (VALENITAINI)

SOTO (WILLIAM) ON H.C.

WALLACE (GEORGE ELLIS)

#### ON H.C.

#### GARCIA (RICARDO O.) ON H.C.

#### **RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) ON** H.C.

#### CHOI (JAY WON) ON H.C.

#### **JANUARY 20, 2016**

# ON H.C.

## MARTIN (RONNIE S.) ON

H.C.

121

#### S231053

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

**JANUARY 20, 2016** 

#### S231221

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

#### S231589

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

#### S073494

Order: (dispositive)

Petitioner's counsel has confirmed that there is no habeas corpus petition corresponding to this matter. Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to close the above-entitled matter as opened in error.

S230155 B256362 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Publication request denied (case closed)

#### S230662

Publication request denied (case closed)

S230764 B262160 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE SERGIO G. Publication request denied (case closed)

S230797 F071314 Fifth Appellate District IN RE CHEYENNE R. Publication requests denied (case closed)

H.C.

ON H.C.

### HAMILTON (BERNARD) ON H.C.

**GUTIERREZ (LEODEGARIO)** 

#### **DONN (AMAKA) v. AGBO** (OKWII)

**PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC v. WONG** (DICKSON)

## WISE (DAVID) ON H.C.

SHAW (RONALD JAMES) ON

S231018	B259279 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. JOHNSON, JR., (WILLIE EDDIE)
Publication rec	quest denied (case closed)	
S231135	B263230 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. CRONE (ROBERT LEE)
Publication rec	quest denied (case closed)	
S231174	H042012 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GARZA (DORALYE ANITA)
Publication request denied (case closed)		
S220289		HOWARD JARVIS TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to April 1, 2016, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S093944

Application to file over-length brief granted

Good cause appearing, appellant Hronis's "Request for Permission to File Oversized Appellant's Opening Brief," filed January 14, 2016, is granted. Appellant Hronis's opening brief must not exceed 150,000 words.

#### S151172

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 4, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 4, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his assisting attorney or entity of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

#### PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

v. PADILLA (ALEX)/ (LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

# PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tia M. Coronado's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 11, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S154053

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 22, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 22, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S157458

#### **PEOPLE v. BELTRAN** (JULIAN ARTURO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 11, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 20 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S170293

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Calvin Dennis and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 21, 2016.

#### **PEOPLE v. CARDENAS** (REFUGIO RUBEN)

**PEOPLE v. RHOADES** 

(ROBERT BOYD)

### **PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN JERMAINE) & INGRAM** (REYON TWAIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Reyon T. Ingram and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 21, 2016.

#### S185201

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2017 counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 21, 2016. After that date, only nine further extensions totaling about 498 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S206484

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 21, 2016.

#### S217244

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, Assistant Federal Defender Bruce D. Livingston's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to February 16, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

#### S208348

Order appointing State Public Defender filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the State Public Defender is hereby appointed to represent appellant Desi Angel Marentes for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

### **PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA** (PEDRO)

ON H.C.

### **PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI** ANGEL)

#### 125

### **PEOPLE v. ALDANA** (ROMAN GABRIEL)

**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN** 

**JERMAINE) & INGRAM** 

(REYON TWAIN)

**CHATMAN (ERIK SANFORD)** 

Motion for access to sealed record denied

The document at issue in respondent's "Motion To Provide Copies of Sealed Materials," filed on November 23, 2015, is a probation officer's report concerning an Earlin Leon Popham. The motion does not establish cause for unsealing the report as to respondent or any other party or court, and the motion therefore is denied without prejudice.

#### S091898

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Motion for Access to Sealed Penal Code Section 987.9 Materials for Use in the Pending State Habeas Proceeding," filed on December 10, 2015, is granted. The Clerk is directed to provide respondent access to the materials filed under seal in the record on appeal in *People v. Rubio*, No. S091898, pursuant to Penal Code section 987.9: (1) Clerk's Transcript, "987.9 Documents," Volume 1, pages 1-60; and (2) Clerk's Transcript, "Additional 987.9 Documents," Volume 1, pages 1-56. The Attorney General must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the examination and copying of these documents, which must occur on the premises of the court. Except as provided herein, these documents remain under seal and their use must be limited solely to the pending proceeding. (Pen. Code § 987.9, subd. (d).)

#### S114228

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Appellant's "Motion for an Order Unsealing Portion of Court Record on Appeal," filed on December 15, 2015, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal, make part of the public record, and provide to Respondent a copy of the Sealed Reporter's Transcript, Volume One, pages 77-84, 92-95, and 112-114, and Volume 14, pages 2186-2188.

#### S222726 B256604 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Request for judicial notice denied

The request for judicial notice filed on January 13, 2016, is denied.

#### S229428B256075 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Order filed

The application of respondent for permission to file an untimely opening brief on the merits is hereby granted.

# PEOPLE v. BUNYARD (JERRY THOMAS)

**PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT** 

RAUL)

#### PEOPLE v. DUONG (ANH THE)

# JOHN (ALEYAMMA) v. S.C. (CHAN)

**CONNOR (EILEEN) v. FIRST** 

**STUDENT INC.** 

Petition denied

(accusation)

#### S229407

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that DON HARDING HAYCOCK, State Bar Number 49508, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DON HARDING HAYCOCK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230526

#### **BERGLUND ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GEORGE DARRELL BERGLUND, State Bar Number 133677, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. GEORGE DARRELL BERGLUND must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230649

#### **COOKE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KENNETH MATTHEW COOKE, State Bar Number 159341, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. KENNETH MATTHEW COOKE must make restitution to Eduardo and Maria Munoz in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 5, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

KENNETH MATTHEW COOKE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

### HAYCOCK ON DISCIPLINE

**ACCUSATION OF TAYLOR** 

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID M. van SICKLE, State Bar Number 167401, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID M. van SICKLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230653

#### HASSAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALLEN CLARENCE HASSAN, State Bar Number 104024, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ALLEN CLARENCE HASSAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 21, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALLEN CLARENCE HASSAN has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALLEN CLARENCE HASSAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230654

#### LEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILFORD THOMAS LEE, State Bar Number 166168, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WILFORD THOMAS LEE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### van SICKLE ON DISCIPLINE

#### Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRANKLIN WRIGHT NELSON, State Bar Number 146433, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. FRANKLIN WRIGHT NELSON must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 24, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if FRANKLIN WRIGHT NELSON has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

FRANKLIN WRIGHT NELSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If FRANKLIN WRIGHT NELSON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S230658

#### PACE ON DISCIPLINE

NELSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RAYNARD SHELBY PACE, State Bar Number 188901, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RAYNARD SHELBY PACE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. RAYNARD SHELBY PACE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 22, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RAYNARD SHELBY PACE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RAYNARD SHELBY PACE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If RAYNARD SHELBY PACE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S230672

#### PAGKAS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS, State Bar Number 186112, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 15, 2015 and;
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230674

#### SALAYSAY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY, State Bar Number 264317, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment which commenced on April 21, 2015), and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
  - i. CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1)); and
  - ii. He undergoes an independent medical examination, as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 15, 2015.
- 2. CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 15, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SALAYSAY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230675

#### WILLIAMS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARLES GREGORY WILLIAMS, State Bar Number 172907, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

CHARLES GREGORY WILLIAMS must make restitution to Barbara Soules in the amount of \$25,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 10, 2013. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

CHARLES GREGORY WILLIAMS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LAUREN ELICIA WOMACK, State Bar Number 259520, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LAUREN ELICIA WOMACK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S230677

#### VIRK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that NAVINDER VIRK, State Bar Number 224585, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. NAVINDER VIRK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. NAVINDER VIRK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 15, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if NAVINDER VIRK has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

NAVINDER VIRK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2017 and 2018. If NAVINDER VIRK fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### WOMACK ON DISCIPLINE

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DANIEL BEVILACQUA, State Bar Number 119473, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DANIEL BEVILACQUA must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231794

# RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DONALD JAMES BLAKE, JR., State Bar Number 60595, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DONALD JAMES BLAKE, JR., must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231795

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANDREA W. CASSIDY, State Bar Number 114694, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ANDREA W. CASSIDY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231796

#### **CONTICELLI ON** RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LISA MARIE CONTICELLI, State Bar Number 105093, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

LISA MARIE CONTICELLI must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

## 133

### **CASSIDY ON RESIGNATION**

**BLAKE, JR., ON** 

**BEVILACQUA ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of EUGENE CONRAD DUNCKER, State Bar Number 86206, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

EUGENE CONRAD DUNCKER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231798

#### **EELLS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GWEN HECKMAN EELLS, State Bar Number 81779, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

GWEN HECKMAN EELLS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231799

#### FRUCHTER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DANIEL STEPHEN FRUCHTER, State Bar Number 250194, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DANIEL STEPHEN FRUCHTER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231812

#### HABEGGER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMES BRITTAIN HABEGGER, State Bar Number 57192, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JAMES BRITTAIN HABEGGER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### DUNCKER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARY FRANCES KELLER, State Bar Number 58795, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MARY FRANCES KELLER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231814

#### KOWALSKI ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SUSAN KAHN KOWALSKI, State Bar Number 97283, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

SUSAN KAHN KOWALSKI must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231815

#### LEHMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KENNETH ADAM LEHMAN, State Bar Number 71633, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KENNETH ADAM LEHMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231817

NORTH ON RSIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALISON KATHRYN NORTH, State Bar Number 131585, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ALISON KATHRYN NORTH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### 135

### **KELLER ON RESIGNATION**

\_\_\_\_\_

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLIAM OTTO SCHAD, State Bar Number 37002, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

WILLIAM OTTO SCHAD must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### S231825

#### WOODS ON RESIGNATION

SCHAD ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BRENT VARDEMAN WOODS, State Bar Number 137446, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BRENT VARDEMAN WOODS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,239)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)