SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, JULY 8, 2011
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S192919 A127971 First Appellate District **KAUFMAN (MARGARET SUE) v. GOLDMAN (ROBIN L.)**

Petition stricken (case closed)
The request for publication filed in the above-entitled matter on May 9, 2011, is hereby stricken pursuant to the Court of Appeal's publication order filed May 18, 2011.

S043520 **PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL DEVON)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Paul E. O'Connor's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by December 12, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 9, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S045423 **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ-FUENTES (EDGARDO)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Sara Theiss's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 145 additional days will be granted.

S052210 **PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ III (JERRY)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Mark A. Johnson's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by October 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S073823 **PEOPLE v. BUENROSTRO (DORA)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Rivkind's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 30, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S089609 **PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 11, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S095223 **PEOPLE v. BLOOM (ROBERT MAURICE)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael R. Johnsen's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 7, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 9, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S097558 **PEOPLE v. GARTON (TODD JESSE)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 29, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 29, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S100735 **PEOPLE v. LANDRY (DANIEL GARY)**

Application to file over-length brief granted
Appellant's "Application and Declaration of Good Cause by Appellant to File an Oversized Reply Brief" filed on June 29, 2011, is granted.

S104144 **PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR., (JOSEPH ANDREW)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel A. Richard Ellis's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S107900 **PEOPLE v. WRIGHT, JR., (WILLIAM LEE)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Alison Bernstein's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S116307 **PEOPLE v. FLORES III (ALFRED)**

Extension of time granted
Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.
Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Derham's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 20, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 24, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118384 **PEOPLE v. MELENDEZ (ANGELO MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 22, 2011.

S120382 **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VINCENT HENRY)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2011.

S122123 **PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General William H. Shin's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 5, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S135272 **PEOPLE v. DWORAK (DOUGLAS EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2011.

S149039 **PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2011.

S160814 **VIRGIL (LESTER WAYNE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by the end of October 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 55 additional days is contemplated.

S161036 **KELLY (DOUGLAS OLIVER) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie C. Brenan's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 6, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S167100 **ZAMUDIO JIMENEZ (SAMUEL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sara Cohbra's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 30, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days are contemplated.

S188204 D055701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ARANDA, JR., (ANTHONY)**

Based on appellate counsel William J. Capriola's representation that the "opening brief on the merits will be filed within the time requested" in the application, he court grants the request for one final extension of time until July 25, 2011.

S191869 **CARRASCO (ROBERT) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Roberta L. Davis's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 26, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 140 additional days are contemplated.

S193602 **GEIER (CHRISTOPHER A.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Andrew Mestman's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 5, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling

about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S161781 **PEOPLE v. THOMAS (JUSTIN HEATH)**

Counsel appointment order filed
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John L. Staley is hereby appointed to represent appellant Justin Heath Thomas for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S191747 G041831 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. SAUCEDA-CONTRERAS (JOSE)**

Counsel appointment order filed
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Diane Nichols is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.
Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S192644 A124392 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (TARE NICHOLAS)**

Counsel appointment order filed
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Linda Leavitt is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.
Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S192751 B222399 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. YARBROUGH (JAMMAL HANEEF)**

Counsel appointment order filed
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Laura Kelly is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.
Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S192854 B221964 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. PRADO (JOSE JOHNNY)**

Counsel appointment order filed
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Matthew Alger is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S192652 **BURKE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GREGORY MOLINA BURKE, State Bar Number 188891, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. GREGORY MOLINA BURKE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. GREGORY MOLINA BURKE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 15, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GREGORY MOLINA BURKE has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GREGORY MOLINA BURKE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If GREGORY MOLINA BURKE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S192653 **ERICKSON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN VINCENT ERICKSON, State Bar Number 52356, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOHN VINCENT ERICKSON must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 14, 2011 and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN VINCENT ERICKSON has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN VINCENT ERICKSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192654 **ORTEGA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MANUEL ORTEGA, State Bar Number 79519, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. MANUEL ORTEGA is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
   i. He makes restitution to Argelia Hernandez in the amount of $500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Argelia Hernandez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   ii. He makes restitution to Douglas Brown in the amount of $450 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 22, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Douglas Brown, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   iii. He makes restitution to Margarito Morales in the amount of $10,170 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 23, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Margarito Morales, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   iv. He makes restitution to Otilio Lopez in the amount of $6,100 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 23, 2001(or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Otilio Lopez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   v. He makes restitution to Jose Mendez in the amount of $17,450 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 13, 2002 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jose Mendez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   vi. He makes restitution to Luis Barcena in the amount of $6,570 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 23, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Luis Barcena, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   vii. He makes restitution to Jose Ochoa in the amount of $1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 1, 2000 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jose Ochoa, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
   viii. MANUEL ORTEGA must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his

rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

2. MANUEL ORTEGA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 18, 2011.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MANUEL ORTEGA has complied with all conditions of probation, the five-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MANUEL ORTEGA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MANUEL ORTEGA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192655 **PAIVA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GREGORY ALLEN PAIVA, State Bar Number 207218, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. GREGORY ALLEN PAIVA is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
2. GREGORY ALLEN PAIVA must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 22, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GREGORY ALLEN PAIVA has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GREGORY ALLEN PAIVA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192656 **QUANN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WARREN WENDELL QUANN, State Bar Number 140032, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. WARREN WENDELL QUANN is suspended from the practice of law for the first seven months of probation;
2. WARREN WENDELL QUANN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 4, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WARREN WENDELL QUANN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WARREN WENDELL QUANN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

WARREN WENDELL QUANN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192657 **SALAS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICHARD JAMES SALAS, State Bar Number 69930, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

RICHARD JAMES SALAS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192793 **HALIMI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEORGE MAYER HALIMI, State Bar Number 170074, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. GEORGE MAYER HALIMI must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 17, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GEORGE MAYER HALIMI has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GEORGE MAYER HALIMI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If GEORGE MAYER HALIMI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S192794 HAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANDREW HAN, State Bar Number 167073, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ANDREW HAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192795 KNOBLOCK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KENNETH EUGENE KNOBLOCK, State Bar Number 157230, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

KENNETH EUGENE KNOBLOCK must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 3, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

KENNETH EUGENE KNOBLOCK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192797 **LEWIS, JR., ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., State Bar Number 61894, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first nine months of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
   i. He makes restitution to Camila Aguilar in the amount of $4,569.31 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 21, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Camila Aguilar, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
   ii. He makes restitution to Wernher Krutein in the amount of $5,790.81 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 21, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Wernher Krutein, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
   iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Amended Decision filed on February 2, 2011.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

FRANCIS HOTCHKISS LEWIS, JR., must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.