

***[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR  
FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 2014]***

929

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JUNE 9, 2014  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S217768**      C075617 Third Appellate District      **YHIP (JAMES PETER) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 10, 2014.

**S217779**      B242179 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **IN RE A.L.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 10, 2014.

**S044693**      **PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL  
CLARK)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea G. Asaro's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 20, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 11, 2014. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

**S051968**      **PEOPLE v. MORELOS  
(VALDAMIR FRED)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Glenn R. Pruden's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 24, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 24, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S086234****PEOPLE v. MILES (JOHNNY  
DUANE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Seth M. Friedman's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 13, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 11, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

**S087773****PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (RUBEN  
PEREZ)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 15, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 11, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

**S089619****PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ  
(FRANCISCO JAY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 26, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 28, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S093944****PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN  
ANTHONY) & HRONIS  
(JEFFREY LEE)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant John Anthony Bertsch and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 22, 2014.

**S107653****PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD  
(CHARLES EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 4, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 155 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S112146****PEOPLE v. STAYNER (CARY  
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 1, 2014.

**S122611****PEOPLE v. STESKAL  
(MAURICE GERALD)**

Extension of time denied

The "Appellant's Thirty-Second Application for Extension of Time in Which to File Opening Brief," filed on May 30, 2014, is denied.

**S136171****PEOPLE v. WESSON  
(MARCUS DELON)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 28, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 6, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 205 additional days are contemplated.

**S142959****PEOPLE v. YOUNG  
(DONALD RAY) & YOUNG  
(TIMOTHY JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Donald Ray Young and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 12, 2014.

**S147335****PEOPLE v. MITCHELL, JR.,  
(LOUIS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 7, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 7, 2014. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

**S152556****PEOPLE v. OROZCO (JOSE  
LUIS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sarah J. Farhat's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 2, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 6, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S164370****PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH  
(BRENDT ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 1, 2014.

**S165894****PEOPLE v. PENUELAS  
(JESUS GUADALUPE  
VELAZQUEZ)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 8, 2014.

**S166737****PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH STEVEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 6, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 5, 2014. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S167010****PEOPLE v. ARIAS (LORENZO INEZ) & MENDOZA (LUIS A.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Luis A. Mendoza and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 4, 2014.

**S170957****PEOPLE v. BECERRADA (RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Arnold A. Erickson's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 6, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 5, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S172432****PEOPLE v. CHEATHAM (STEVEN DEWAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Conrad Petermann's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 4, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S173784****PEOPLE v. OYLER  
(RAYMOND LEE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 8, 2014.

**S173875****RIGGS (BILLY RAY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jelani J. Lindsey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 13, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 11, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

**S173896****LINDBERG (GUNNER JAY)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Jennifer Hope Turner's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by December 8, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 5, 2014. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

**S178669****PEOPLE v. WYCOFF  
(EDWARD MATTHEW)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 4, 2014.

**S180670****MARTINEZ (MICHAEL  
MATTHEW) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan Garvey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by March 6, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 28, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days are contemplated.

**S213272****CHAPMAN (WILLIAM) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to June 27, 2014.

**S213911****HAWTHORNE, JR.,  
(ANDERSON) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Keith H. Borjon's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 7, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 7, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S214061**      A135892 First Appellate District, Div. 1**FRIENDS OF THE COLLEGE  
OF SAN MATEO GARDENS v.  
SAN MATEO COUNTY  
COMMUNITY COLLEGE  
DISTRICT**

Extension of time granted

On application of amicus curiae Association of Irrigated Residents and Revive the San Joaquin and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby extended to June 30, 2014. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f).)

**S214222****PERRY (CLIFTON) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Jennevee H. De Guzman's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 3, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 3, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S216771****SIERRA (SOCORRO) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 7, 2014.

**S217241****ARANDA (JOSE MARIA) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 7, 2014.

**S218292****GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Collette C. Cavalier's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 4, 2014. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

**S218427****TAXICAB PARATRANSIT  
ASSOCIATION OF  
CALIFORNIA v. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
(UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC., LYFT, INC., SIDECAR  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND  
SIDE.CR LLC)**

Extension of time granted

On application of Real Party in Interest Sidecare Technologies and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 3, 2014.

**S218427****TAXICAB PARATRANSIT  
ASSOCIATION OF  
CALIFORNIA v. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
(UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC., LYFT, INC., SIDECAR  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND  
SIDE.CR LLC)**

Extension of time granted

On application of Real Party in Interest Lyft Inc., and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 3, 2014.

**S218734** B246606 Second Appellate District, Div. 5**HORIIKE (HIROSHI) v.  
COLDWELL BANKER  
RESIDENTIAL BROKERAGE  
COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to June 19, 2014.

**S218754** B245297 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**GRUPP (KEVIN) v. DHL  
EXPRESS (USA), INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to June 24, 2014.

**S215614****KILBY (NYKEYA) v. CVS  
PHARMACY, INC.**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Sam S. Shaulson for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

**S218066** H039498 Sixth Appellate District**SAN JOSE, CITY OF v. S.C.  
(SMITH)**

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on May 30, 2014, is amended to read as follows:

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to June 10, 2014.

**S217224****ELLISON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON, State Bar Number 141429, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 9, 2014; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON has complied

with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If ELLEN HAMMILL ELLISON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

## **S217225**

## **EPSTEIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRANK EPSTEIN, State Bar Number 97325, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. FRANK EPSTEIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. FRANK EPSTEIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 22, 2014; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if FRANK EPSTEIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

FRANK EPSTEIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

FRANK EPSTEIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If FRANK EPSTEIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S217564****AMBARCHYAN ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROMEL AMBARCHYAN, State Bar Number 245216, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. ROMEL AMBARCHYAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 120 days of probation;
2. ROMEL AMBARCHYAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 12, 2014; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROMEL AMBARCHYAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROMEL AMBARCHYAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If ROMEL AMBARCHYAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S217565****ASHIKIAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HAIG PARSEH ASHIKIAN, State Bar Number 183083, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HAIG PARSEH ASHIKIAN must make restitution to Maryel Jones in the amount of \$206,800.00, plus 10 percent interest per year from January 18, 2008. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

HAIG PARSEH ASHIKIAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S217566****DIAZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ, State Bar Number 76235, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on February 13, 2014.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the three year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MARIO ESTUARDO DIAZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S217568****HOLLEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KAY del CARMEN HOLLEY, State Bar Number 87549, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

KAY del CARMEN HOLLEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S217569****MEDINA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDWARD MEDINA, State Bar Number 204880, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. EDWARD MEDINA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. EDWARD MEDINA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 19, 2014; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDWARD MEDINA has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDWARD MEDINA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

EDWARD MEDINA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If EDWARD MEDINA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S217572****PASSENHEIM ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PATRICK M. PASSENHEIM, State Bar Number 140752, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

PATRICK M. PASSENHEIM must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE  
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,150)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **TRANSFER ORDERS**

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division Three:

- |     |         |  |
|-----|---------|--|
| 1.  | E058518 | People v. Sonny Eldridge   |
| 2.  | E058298 | People v. Guthrie Danowski   |
| 3.  | E058299 | People v. Fabian Ramos   |
| 4.  | E059040 | People v. Michael Moore  |
| 5.  | E059120 | People v. Dana Yates   |
| 6.  | E057250 | People v. C.D.   |
| 7.  | E058366 | People v. Michael Mroczko  |
| 8.  | E057394 | People v. Anthony Lopez  |
| 9.  | E057164 | People v. Jacobo Fernandez   |
| 10. | E058803 | People v. Rudy Moreno  |
| 11. | E058646 | People v. Ismael Luna  |
| 12. | E059000 | People v. Clarence Allen   |
| 13. | E057966 | Lauralyn Swanson v. Morongo Unified School District et al.                       |
| 14. | E057606 | Michelle Thornburg v. Edward Faunce et al.                                       |
| 15. | E057825 | John Christiana v. Nicholas Dogris et al.  |
| 16. | E058028 | Roger Butler v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al.                                   |
| 17. | E058498 | Carol Jaquez et al. v. City of Victorville                                       |
| 18. | E057815 | San Bernardino County Department of Child Support Services v. James Sweeney      |
| 19. | E057700 | Darel Woods v. JFK Memorial Hospital   |
| 20. | E057644 | City of Coachella v. Insurance Company of the West                               |
| 21. | E058932 | Avi Lasman v. First Nations Home Finance Corporation                             |
| 22. | E057271 | James Welker v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al.                                |
| 23. | E057811 | John Miles v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee, etc., et al.     |
| 24. | E056991 | In re the Marriage of Bonnie and Mark McCallon; Bonnie McCallon v. Mark McCallon |