SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S225342 F067535 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WHITE (DALLAS RANDOLPH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225362 B243500 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MEJIA

(CRYSTAL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225491 C073755 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. THOMPSON

(REGINALD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225523 C071072 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BASSETT (TAMARA NICOLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225666

B250574 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. McDANIELS (LAMARR MARQUIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225739 C071276 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REED (BERNARD KRUGGERRAND)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225783 F067104 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. KING (WALTER GERARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225813 E060276 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. NUSSER (ROSS DENNIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225820 B250775 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. ALLEN (GABRIEL M.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225827 B254810 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. MENDEZ (JUAN CARLOS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225846 D064624 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MACIAS (EDUARDO ALBERTO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225860 B255600 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. RUDY (EVAN WAYNE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 17, 2015.

S225886 B252126 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DORSEY (SHERMAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225893 D067747 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BARNES (AUTUMN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225896 D066685 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (DOMINGO MURILLO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225903 A138648 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. McCOWAN (RAYMOND B.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 20, 2015.

S225914 D063648 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (MELVIN JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225916 B254438 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 KLOTZ (ADAM M.) v. EZZES (STEVEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225917 B254016 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. CEREZO (JOE L.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225918 B257524 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SALAZAR (FERNANDO RUIZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225922 F067516 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MELVIN (ELIZEBETH TRACY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225933 D066979 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 P

PEOPLE v. VELASCO (ROBERT FRANK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225934

B249123 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. NIKOLAYAN

(EDWARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225942

B262977 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

GONZALEZ (JAIME

DEJESUS) v. S.C.

(TORJESEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225945

D064796 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. POLINA

(GERONIMO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 21, 2015.

S225954

G049825 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

RIVERSIDE SHERIFFS'

ASSOCIATION v. COUNTY

OF RIVERSIDE

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225958

C070000 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DEMPSEY, JR.,

(CHARLES WAYNE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225960 A136573/A143775 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (LAMAR)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225962 G048533/G049581 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. KARKEHABADI (MAHMOUD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 22, 2015.

S225982 D066332 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HUNTSBERRY (CRAIG)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 23, 2015.

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 14, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 140 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S225277 LAWSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN WARNER LAWSON, State Bar Number 224213, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOHN WARNER LAWSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

GIL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDMUND CHARLES GIL, State Bar Number 159127, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. EDMUND CHARLES GIL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on August 27, 2014);
- 2. EDMUND CHARLES GIL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 2, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDMUND CHARLES GIL has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDMUND CHARLES GIL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) EDMUND CHARLES GIL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If EDMUND CHARLES GIL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S225281

CAUSEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that INGRID MARIE CAUSEY, State Bar Number 166305, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. INGRID MARIE CAUSEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. INGRID MARIE CAUSEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 14, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if INGRID MARIE CAUSEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

INGRID MARIE CAUSEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

INGRID MARIE CAUSEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If INGRID MARIE CAUSEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S225285

BEACH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH, State Bar Number 227333, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 2, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If ANDREW FAIRMONT BEACH fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

OSTOJA-LOJASIEWICZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that IWO OSTOJA-LOJASIEWICZ, State Bar Number 244259, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. IWO OSTOJA-LOJASIEWICZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S225419

MILLER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRANK EDWARD MILLER, State Bar Number 162270, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. FRANK EDWARD MILLER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. FRANK EDWARD MILLER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 3, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if FRANK EDWARD MILLER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

FRANK EDWARD MILLER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If FRANK EDWARD MILLER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

MININSOHN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PETER JAN MININSOHN, State Bar Number 71972, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. PETER JAN MININSOHN is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. PETER JAN MININSOHN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 2, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PETER JAN MININSOHN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PETER JAN MININSOHN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) PETER JAN MININSOHN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If PETER JAN MININSOHN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S225428

MULLINS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DEVAN MYLES MULLINS, State Bar Number 125552, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. DEVAN MYLES MULLINS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

RODRIGUEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT DANIEL RODRIGUEZ, State Bar Number 242396, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT DANIEL RODRIGUEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT DANIEL RODRIGUEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on January 9, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT DANIEL RODRIGUEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT DANIEL RODRIGUEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S225623

SEEMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PAUL DANIEL SEEMAN, State Bar Number 91503, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. PAUL DANIEL SEEMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

LINEHAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN, State Bar Number 194350, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.