## SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

## S107782

WELCH (DAVID ESCO) ON H.C.

Opinion filed: Order to show cause discharged

Welch has not established that he is entitled to habeas corpus relief on his claim of juror misconduct and on his claim that his trial counsel was ineffective for not investigating and presenting certain mitigating evidence at the penalty phase of his capital trial. Because our order to show cause and our reference order were limited to these claims, we do not here address any other claim set forth in his habeas corpus petition, which will be resolved by separate order. (*In re Crew, supra*, 52 Cal.4th at pp. 153-154.)

The order to show cause is discharged.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S226483 A124765/A130151 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TOM (RICHARD)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to August 21, 2015. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S226038 A137531 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SWAN (MATTHEW)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 29, 2015.

S226094 H040704 Sixth Appellate District ESQUIVEL (RICARDO ANTONIO) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 29, 2015.

S223698 A125542 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BUZA (MARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to July 27, 2015.

S225109 D064639 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 MAAS (MICHAEL EUGENE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 17, 2015.

S226758 E063534 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SIRINGORINGO (STEPHEN LYSTER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to June 29, 2015.

S226973 B263799 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 COSBY, JR., (WILLIAM H.) v. S.C. (HUTH)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 6, 2015.

S225318 C075825 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GORDON (KEVIN WILLIAM)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S225901 H039772 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ROBLEDO (LUIS GOMEZ)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jason Szydlik is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S226098 H040077 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GARCIA (MARLON IVAN CHAVEZ)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon Fleming is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

## S076340

PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAM THANH)

Order filed

Appellant's request for relief from default to file appellant's supplemental letter brief is granted.

S227170

PHILLIPS (RICHARD LOUIS ARNOLD) v. S.C. (MADERA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

S225940

KHISHAVEH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KLAYTON KHISHAVEH, State Bar Number 236903, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KLAYTON KHISHAVEH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. KLAYTON KHISHAVEH must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 27, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KLAYTON KHISHAVEH has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KLAYTON KHISHAVEH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KLAYTON KHISHAVEH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If KLAYTON KHISHAVEH fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

## BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,209)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)