SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27, 2018 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AMENDED*

S245203 D072171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Supplemental briefing ordered

Intervenor San Diego County District Attorney shall serve and file its brief in intervention by July 25, 2018. The parties may serve and file reply briefs within 14 days of the timely filed brief in intervention. In addition and separately, all parties and amici curiae may, by July 25, 2018, serve and file initial supplemental briefs addressing the effect, if any, of *Facebook v. Superior Court (Hunter)* (S230051), which is now final. Thereafter, all parties and amici curiae may, within 14 days of any timely filed initial supplemental brief, serve and file a responsive supplemental brief.

S248513 A146855 First Appellate District, Div. 1

McGLYNN (MATTHEW C.) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FACEBOOK, INC. v. S.C.

(TOUCHSTONE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.*, S247095 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, and Kruger, J.

S248615 G056236 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

CHAMBERS (RONNIE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request for judicial notice is granted. The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition in a related issue in *People v*. *Superior Court (Smith)*, S225562 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

STREETER, JR., (HOWARD L.) ON H.C.

Order to show cause issued, returnable in Superior Court (Atkins claim)

This petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed in the court on March 9, 2011, before the effective date of Proposition 66, the "Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016." (See *Briggs v. Brown* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 808, 862, rehg. den. Oct. 25, 2017.) Under section 1509, subdivision (g) of the Penal Code, the court exercises its authority to retain this petition and decide it.

The court directs the Clerk to remove the unnumbered title page captioned "Exhibit 229 *Court case for Juror No. 6*..." from the volume captioned "Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Reply to the Informal Response to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," and return it to counsel for petitioner.

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the relief prayed for should not be granted on the grounds that: (1) petitioner is intellectually disabled within the meaning of *Atkins v. Virginia* (2002) 536 U.S. 304, as alleged in Claim 1 (see *In re Hawthorne* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 40); and (2) trial counsel provided ineffective assistance during the penalty phase retrial, as alleged in Claim 4.

The return is to be filed on or before July 27, 2018.

All remaining claims are denied on the merits.

Claim 2, except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, is procedurally barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225, to the extent it was raised and rejected on appeal. Except to the extent they allege ineffective assistance of counsel, claims 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are procedurally barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759, to the extent they could have been raised on appeal but were not.

Claim 10, except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, is procedurally barred under *In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 201, to the extent it could have been raised in the trial court but was not.

This court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Humes*, JJ.

* Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S248345 H045551 Sixth Appellate District

RICHARDSON (MATTHEW TED) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an alternative writ to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. (See *People v*.

Hoffard (1995) 10 Cal.4th 1170, 1178-1179.) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S248429 G056050 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 LANDAU (SID) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The request for judicial notice is granted. The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandate/prohibition and to issue an order directing respondent court to show cause why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted. The stay previously issued by this court is to remain in effect pending further order of the Court of Appeal.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S215727D059007 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1PEOPLE v. LOWE (JUSTIN
SAMUEL)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

Review in the above-captioned matter is hereby dismissed in light of the decision in *People v*. *Buza* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 658. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239567B267494 Second Appellate District, Div. 6PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JEFFREY
R.)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of the 2017 amendment to Health & Safety Code section 11370.2 (Stats. 2017, ch. 677, § 1, eff. Jan. 1, 2018 (S.B. 180)) and *In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240818 F071426 Fifth Appellate District

ATASCADERO GLASS, INC. v. DAVID A. BUSH, INC.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter is dismissed in light of this court's decision in *United Riggers & Erectors, Inc. v. Coast Iron & Steel Co.* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 1082. (Cal. Rules of Court,

rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S242373A147314 First Appellate District, Div. 2LONDON (RICHARD ALAN)
ON H.C.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter, which was granted and held for *In re Butler* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 728, is dismissed.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S244139A147177 First Appellate District, Div. 2PALMER (WILLIAM M.) ONH.C.

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *In re Butler* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 728. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed on July 26, 2017, which appears at 13 Cal.App.5th 795. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(1).) The stay previously issued by this court is dissolved.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S245347G053278 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. THORNBURG
(TIMOTHY LEE)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Buza* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 658, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S248421 A144542/A147993 First Appellate District, Div. 1 BEESON (LINDA) v. LION CONNECTICUT HOLDINGS INC.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request from petitioners to this court, the above-titled petition for review and request for stay, filed April 23, 2018, is ordered withdrawn.

S248982B284920 Second Appellate District, Div. 5IN RE M.S.Petition stricken (case closed)

The petition for review filed by appellant on May 25, 2018, is hereby stricken for failure to comply with rule 8.500 (f)(3) of the California Rules of Court.

S247985 Petition for re	D071383 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. BAKER (JEFFREY A.)
Liu and Cuéll	ar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be g	ranted.
S248118	B267706 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	HIRSCHFIELD (RICHARD S.) v. COHEN (TANYA)
Petition for re	view & publication request(s) denied	
S248203 Petition for re	G054043 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. PEREZ (DEMETRIO ROJAS)
	view defiled	
S248295 Petition for wa	rit of mandate/prohibition denied	DENHAM (PAUL JOHN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S248336 A14	42592/A150186/A150345 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. TORRENCE (WILLIE A.)
Petitions for review denied		
S248350 Petition for re	C084592 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. JORDAN (KIM E.)
S248356	H044620 Sixth Appellate District	MARIANT (DAVID BRIAN) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		

S248357	H041118 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MARIANT (DAVID BRIAN)	
Petition for review denied			
S248364	B279779 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. PEREZ (EDWIN ALEXANDER)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248372 Petition for rev	A151237 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	IN RE A.Z.	
S248374 Petition for rev	A153145 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	Z. (A.) ON H.C.	
S248400	B281714 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT v. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (UNITED TEACHERS LOS ANGELES)	
Petition for review denied			
S248402 Petition for rev	B278805 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. SMITH (JAMES)	
S248404	D072632 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. ARREDONDO (ANGELO ANDREW)	
Petitions for review denied			
S248420	H042598 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. DeANDA (CHRISTIAN JESSICA)	
Petitions for review denied			

S248425	B283217 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	SAHELI (GEZEL) v. WHITE MEMORIAL MEDICAL
Petition for rev	iew denied	CENTER
S248432	B288635 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SORRENTINO (TYLA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for rev	iew denied	(TEOTEE)
S248433	C086595 Third Appellate District	FIRST AMERICAN PETROLEUM, LLC v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX &
Petition for rev	iew denied	FEE ADMINISTRATION)
S248439	C084940 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. SPENCE (GERALD)
Petition for rev	iew denied	(0211122)
S248444	D071863 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	DON'T CELL OUR PARKS v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Petition for rev	iew denied	(VERIZON WIRELESS)
S248446	E067907 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ (JUAN PABLO MUNOZ)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S248453	A148959 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (BEATRICE)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S248461	D069533 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (SALVADOR OSWALDO)
Petitions for re	view denied	

S248465	C081532 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. CABRERA (MIGUEL ANGEL)	
Petition for rev	view denied	(,	
S248469	E065567 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. FORT (XAVIER JAMES)	
Petition for rev	view denied	JAMES)	
S248482	B277801 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. DELGADO (DANIEL)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248488	C080763 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BROWN (BENJAMIN BUSTER)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248496	H042484 Sixth Appellate District	MONTEREY PENINSULA TAXPAYERS' ASSOCIATION v. BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER	
Petition for rev	view & publication request(s) denied	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	
S248528	A134461 First Appellate District, Div. 4	ANIEL (FERMIN SOLIS) v. ETS SERVICES LLC	
Petition for review denied			
S248554	A149810 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. CAMPBELL (CHASE IAN)	
Petition for review denied			
S248558 Petition for rev	A151964 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view & depublication request(s) denied	IN RE M.H.	

S248560	D071577 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. REEVES III (DONALD LEE)	
Petition for re-	view denied	(DONALD LEE)	
S248576 Petition for re	A149481 First Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. SEMORE (JONAS RANDALL)	
S248577	D073082 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	COMERCIALIZADORA TRAVEL ADVISORY, S.A. DE C.V. v. S.C. (NAYSHTUT)	
Petition for re-	view denied	C.v. v. 5.C. (NATSHI'U')	
S248586 Petition for re		RUTIGLIANO (JACK) ON H.C.	
The request for judicial notice is granted.			
S248637	H042903 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (BARTON RHETT)	
Petition for review denied (BARTON RHEIT)			
S248663 Petition for re	B271271 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	ARON (PAUL) v. WIB HOLDINGS	
S248672 A13 Petition for re	39735/A140792 First Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. CLAY II (WILLIE M.)	

S248676 B279023 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. BOXIE (JARROD ANTHONY**) Petition for review denied

S248691	F072950 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PYE (DEVINNCI DISHAN)		
Petition for rev	view denied	DISHAN)		
S248745	B266199 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	SHANNON-YEGANHE (JENNIFER L.) v. CEDARS-SINAI MEDICAL		
Petition for rev	view & publication request(s) denied	CENTER		
S248746 Petition for rev	B289356 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	MONTANO (MARIO) ON H.C.		
S248764	B284952 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SIMON (EZEKIEL)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S248781	B276293 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	RIOS (ERIKA) v. WILLIAMS (SHARON)		
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied			
S248810	F072572 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. LIZARRAGA (ALBERTO)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S248816 Petition for rev	B285941 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	IN RE D.B.		
S248820	F073358 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. FRANCO (ISRAEL)		
Petitions for review denied				
S248854		SUMMERS (HIRAM ELIXER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)		
Datition for w	it of orror agram wohig denied			

Petition for writ of error *coram vobis* denied

S248881 Petition for rev	D073946 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	BERLIN (JASON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
	new defined		
S248883 Petition for rev	C083840 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HOUSTON (ALAMAR CYRIL)	
Petition for rev	new defined		
S248884	F070938 Fifth Appellate District	KHERA (SAMEER) & SAMEER (MADHU), MARRIAGE OF	
Petition for rev	view denied	MARNIAGE OF	
S248886	B279207 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (ALFONSO)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248889 Petition for rev	B285368 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	IN RE D.A.	
S248890	C086858 Third Appellate District	BROWN (DERYUS	
5210070	Coooso Tima Appendie District	TREMAINE) ON H.C.	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248891	B281387 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MISAEL AGID)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248893	H045764 Sixth Appellate District	GRANGER (ANDREW LEE) ON H.C.	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248896	G053299 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. PEREZ (RICARDO)	
Detition for me	view denied	(

Petition for review denied

E066807 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GULLA (KERRY

(RYAN PATRICK)

Petition for r	eview denied	EDWARD)
S248905 Petition for re	B277753 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 eview denied	PEOPLE v. AVILA (JOSE LEWIS)
S248908 Petition for re	H045430 Sixth Appellate District eview denied	KEIGWINS@THETRACK, INC. v. S.C. (KIM, JR.)
S248909 Petition for re	F073128 Fifth Appellate District eview denied	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (STEVEN PETE)
S248916 Petition for v	vrit of mandate/prohibition denied	DIAZ (DONIVAN) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (SPRINT CORPORATION)
S248917 Petition for re	B270836 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 eview denied	D. (L.) v. A. (V.)
S248919 Petition for r	B279926 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 eview denied	PEOPLE v. CROPPER (MICHAEL ROMELL)
S248924	E063718 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. FLAHERTY

Petition for review denied

S248932	B267657 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. McNAIR (LAVANCE)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S248938	B279211 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. CLARK (MEGAN	
Petition for rev	view denied	L.)	
S248948	D072153 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. MOLINA	
Petition for rev	view denied	(ALLAN)	
G 2 400 40			
S248949 Petition for rev	G056216 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
retution for fev	new defined		
S248962	B283022 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	McNELEY (DILLARD JAMES) v. SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &	
Petition for review denied HAMPTON, LLP			
S248964	E070013 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	WILLIAMS (ANDRE ELGIN)	
Petition for review denied ON H.C.			
S248965	F073444 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. FOWLER	
Petition for rev	view denied	(MICHAEL RAY)	
S248983	B279931 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. DIAZ II (HENRY	
Petition for rev	view denied	EDWARD)	

S248986	G054162 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (LUIS MANUEL)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S248993 Petition for rev	B289682 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	BLANCO (SAMUEL) ON H.C.
S249005 Petition for rev	B277120 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. PHILMLEE (RUSSELL)
S249035 Petition for rev	A154195 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	WILLIAMS (MARIO) ON H.C.
S249044 Petition for rev	B289843 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	WILLIAMS (MARIO) ON H.C.
S249251 Petition for rev	B279929 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	PEOPLE v. BILLINGSLEY (JOSEPH L.)
S249367 Petition for rev	B283997 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view & application for stay denied	PEOPLE v. WELLS (JOHNNIE JABAAR)
S249455 Petition for rev	B290354 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view & application for stay denied	KEMEL (MIKE) v. S.C. (MODA)
S235398 Petition for wr	it of habeas corpus denied	ORTEGA (MARIO) ON H.C.
S244985		BRUMMETT, JR., (MELVIN RAY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246720

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246723

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246807

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246815

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247214

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247300 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247338

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

SCOTT (PATRICK D.) ON H.C.

DAVIS (RICKY LADON) ON H.C.

FOSTER (JEREMY J.) ON H.C.

SALGUERO (JORGE ARMANDO HERRERA) ON H.C.

KNOX III (WILLIE HUBERT) ON H.C.

SHACKELFORD (DIONTAY RACHON) ON H.C.

PASSMORE (RICKY) ON H.C.

SCALES (SEAN) ON H.C.

JUNE 27. 2018

S247354

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S247449

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247450

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247676

GARCIA (ROBERT JOHN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S247688

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247691

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable: (See In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S247706

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247733

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

PERRY (KEVIN T.) ON H.C.

LUGO (KEITH R.) ON H.C.

WALKER (DEONTA **ROBERT) ON H.C.**

CORNETT (JOSEPH K.) ON H.C.

PULLEY (TYRONE) ON H.C.

SMITH (BERNARD) ON H.C.

LEE (TODD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247849

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247923

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S248017 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S248021

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S248287	D070712 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	BROTEN (KIRK) v. TARGET CORPORATION
Publication requests denied (case closed)		

S248651	B269007 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PRECIADO, JR., (SALVADOR G.) v. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Publication rec	quest denied (case closed)	
S248668	D072057 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	ERVIN (JOHN L.) v. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
Publication request denied (case closed)		
S248735	D071960 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	SAN DIEGO COUNTY CREDIT UNION v. ROARK (CARLTON)

Publication request denied (case closed)

HAFEZI (FARHAD FRED) ON H.C.

NGUYEN (GIANG THUY) ON H.C.

CRUZ (PETER CASEY) ON H.C.

JONES (ARTHUR R.) ON H.C.

RIVERA (VINCENT) ON H.C.

S248855 D071106 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 WEST COAST AIR CONDITIONING COMPANY, INC. v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S113962

PEOPLE v. PARKER (CALVIN LAMONT)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Kathryn K. Andrews's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 16, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 17, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 121 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 14, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 27, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 108 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S167010

PEOPLE v. ARIAS (LORENZO INEZ) & MENDOZA (LUIS A.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Alastair J. Agcaoili's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 21, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 21, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 27, 2018.

S188961

PEOPLE v. ZANON (DAVID CHARLES)

PEOPLE v. RIVERA

(SAMUEL RAMON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 1, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 27, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 97 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S195943

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Junichi P. Semitsu's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 21, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 21, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S198309

PEOPLE v. FLETCHER (MARCUS)

JONES (ALBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 19, 2018.

S208348

PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 9, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 10, 2018. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling

about 485 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S213832

PEOPLE v. BRIM (RONALD EARL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Nathan Guttman's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 28, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 28, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S179850

Motion denied – DANIEL DAVID DYDZAK

The request for judicial notice filed June 6, 2018, is granted. The motion to reverse and set aside order and disqualify the Chief Justice, filed May 14, 2018, is denied. The motion to reconsider, reverse, and set aside order, filed May 17, 2018, is denied.

S121365

SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

DYDZAK ON DISCIPLINE

Reference hearing ordered

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable Daniel J. Buckley, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, is directed to select a judge of that court to sit as a referee in this proceeding and promptly notify this court of the referee selected. After appointment by this court, the referee is to take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. Prentice Juan Snow* (Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles No. A560682; Judge Jack B. Tso):

- 1. Why did petitioner's trial counsel decide not to take any actions on petitioner's behalf at the penalty phase of his trial? On what investigation, discussions with petitioner or others, and other factors did trial counsel base their decision?
- 2. What would a reasonably competent attorney have decided to do or not do with regard to representing petitioner at the penalty phase based on the investigation, discussions with petitioner or others, and other factors that trial counsel considered in making their decision not to take any action on petitioner's behalf?
- 3. Would a reasonably competent attorney have conducted other investigation or discussions, taken any other actions, or considered any other factors that petitioner's trial counsel did not

do or consider before making a decision regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy? If so, as a result of those other actions and considerations, what decision would a reasonably competent attorney have made regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy?

- 4. If a reasonably competent attorney would have made different decisions regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy, what different actions would a reasonably competent attorney have taken?
- 5. How might have the different actions a reasonably competent attorney would have taken affected the outcome of the penalty phase of petitioner's trial in light of the strength and credibility of any evidence that might have been presented by the defense, the potential to undermine the prosecution's case in aggravation through cross-examination or rebuttal evidence, the potential rebuttal evidence the prosecution might have presented in response to the defense's actions, the persuasiveness of any arguments trial counsel might have made, and any other factors and considerations?

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, of the evidence adduced, and the findings of fact made.

S230957 C074872 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MAITA (ERICK NEIL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of the 2017 amendment to Health & Safety Code section 11370.2 (Stats. 2017, ch. 677, § 1, eff. Jan. 1, 2018 (S.B. 180)) and *In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247357

PETERS ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that JORDAN TONYA LOUISE PETERS, State Bar Number 173936, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JORDAN TONYA LOUISE PETERS must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

B289736

Second Appellate District

SANABIA (CASTULO) v. GERDAU AMERISTEEL WC, INC.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two.

DeJOHNETTE (LAVERNE) ON CLEMENCY

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon