

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S231405**      B263164 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **PEOPLE v. ROMANOWSKI  
(DANIEL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard L. Fitzer is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

**S066940**      **PEOPLE v. CLARK  
(WILLIAM CLINTON)**

Order filed

Appellant's "Application for Leave to File Appellant's Second Supplemental Reply Brief," filed March 22, 2016, is granted. Any response by the respondent must be served and filed on or before March 29, 2016. Any reply by the appellant must be served and filed on or before April 5, 2016.

**S097886**      **PEOPLE v. ZARAGOZA  
(LOUIS RANGEL)**

Order filed

Appellant's "Application to File a Supplemental Brief," filed on March 15, 2016, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file the "Appellant's Supplemental Brief," received on March 15, 2016.

Respondent may serve and file a supplemental respondent's brief no later than 20 days from the date of this order. Appellant may serve and file a supplemental reply brief no later than 15 days after the date respondent's supplemental brief is filed.

**S232908**      **RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**S232932****HOWARD (HILTON) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.