## SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, MARCH 27, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

### S112146

# PEOPLE v. STAYNER (CARY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 31, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 1, 2015. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S141320

ROBINSON, JR., (JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas V. Loran III's representation that the traverse is anticipated to be filed by July 28, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 1, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S178113

BRAMIT (MICHAEL LAMAR) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael T. Murphy's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 3, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 2, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

#### S179454

# PEOPLE v. JASSO (CHRISTOPHER GUY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 1, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 155 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S220961

BLOOM (ROBERT MAURICE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Michael R. Johnsen's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 29, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 1, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S221693

ZAMBRANO (ENRIQUE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

The application of respondent for relief from default for the failure to timely file respondent's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Gregory A. Ott's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 1, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S218176** B248038 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

RAMOS (FLAVIO) v. BRENNTAG SPECIALTIES, INC.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Christopher E. Appel for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Coalition for Litigation Justice, Inc., is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

**S218176** B248038 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

RAMOS (FLAVIO) v. BRENNTAG SPECIALTIES, INC.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Mark A. Behrens for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Coalition for Litigation Justice, Inc., is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

#### S224055

#### **MAZAREI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RAYEHE MAZAREI, State Bar Number 155873, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RAYEHE MAZAREI is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. RAYEHE MAZAREI must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 26, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RAYEHE MAZAREI has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RAYEHE MAZAREI must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If RAYEHE MAZAREI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S224058

#### SANGARY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SVITLANA E. SANGARY, State Bar Number 232282, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SVITLANA E. SANGARY is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. SVITLANA E. SANGARY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on September 11, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SVITLANA E. SANGARY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SVITLANA E. SANGARY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of her suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

SVITLANA E. SANGARY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S224212

# ROTHSCHILD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN DAVIDS ROTHSCHILD, State Bar Number 132514, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN DAVIDS ROTHSCHILD must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 10, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN DAVIDS ROTHSCHILD has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN DAVIDS ROTHSCHILD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S224213

### RUBENSTEIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANN RUBENSTEIN, State Bar Number 86334, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANN RUBENSTEIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ANN RUBENSTEIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 12, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANN RUBENSTEIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANN RUBENSTEIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If ANN RUBENSTEIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately

### S224214

#### WATTS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DOUGLAS EDWARD WATTS, State Bar Number 182274, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DOUGLAS EDWARD WATTS is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. DOUGLAS EDWARD WATTS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DOUGLAS EDWARD WATTS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied

and that suspension will be terminated.

DOUGLAS EDWARD WATTS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.