#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, MARCH 28, 2016 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

#### S076785

#### PEOPLE v. RANGEL, JR., (PEDRO)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full Majority Opinion by Kruger, J. -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, and Cuéllar, JJ.

### S208345 B237173 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

# **BALTAZAR (MARIBEL) v.** FOREVER 21, INC.

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We conclude that the parties' arbitration agreement is not unconscionable. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Majority Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, and Cuéllar, JJ.

S233332E061294 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

#### SEACRIST (KATHY L.) v. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH

SAM)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to May 26, 2016. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

#### S105403

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's request for an extension of time in which to file appellant Run Peter Chhoun's reply brief is granted to May 6, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 131 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform her supervising attorney of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

#### 500

#### S147335

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Maria Morga's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 26, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 24, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 126 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S153881

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S166737

#### **PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH STEVEN**)

**PEOPLE v. RIVERA** 

(CUITLAHUAC TAHUA)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 26, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 27, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S169152

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 24, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 128 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### **PEOPLE v. MITCHELL, JR.,** (LOUIS)

#### **PEOPLE v. COLBERT** (TECUMSEH)

#### S170293

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Calvin Dennis's and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 20, 2016.

#### S170293

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Reyon T. Ingram's and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 20, 2016.

#### S178464

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 20, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 163 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S179826

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 23, 2016.

#### S185201

#### PEOPLE v. ALDANA (ROMAN GABRIEL)

**DEONDREA**)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 20, 2016. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 438 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN JERMAINE) & INGRAM (REYON TWAIN)

**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN** 

**JERMAINE) & INGRAM** 

(REYON TWAIN)

#### PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SANTIAGO)

**PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY** 

501

#### S188525

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Clough's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 6, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 6, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S195828

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 27, 2016.

#### S197707

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Mark Yim's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 22, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 22, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

#### S217150

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Arlene A. Sevidal's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 23, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 23, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

**S224724** F065288/F065481/F065984 Fifth Appellate District

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Ramiro Enriquez and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 5, 2016. No further extensions of time will be considered.

#### THOMPSON (JAMES ALVIN) ON H.C.

### LOMAX (DARRELL LEE) ON H.C.

**PEOPLE v. VILLA** 

(RICARDO)

### COOK (JOSEPH LLOYD) ON H.C.

#### PEOPLE v. ENRIQUEZ (RAMIRO)

#### MARCH 28, 2016

502

#### S225373

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Margo Hunter's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 4, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 23, 2016. After that date, only six further extensions totaling 346 additional days will be granted.

#### S226596 D066705 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 14, 2016.

#### S227944

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 25, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 24, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S228193 D066684 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 2, 2016.

#### S229762 F069370 Fifth Appellate District

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 25, 2016.

No further extensions are contemplated.

#### VIEIRA (RICHARD JOHN) ON H.C.

**MARTINEZ (HECTOR) ON** 

#### McMILLIAN ALBANY, LLC v. S.C. (VAN TASSEL)

PEOPLE v. HOPSON (RUTHETTA LOIS)

# SÁNCHEZ (EDGARDO) ON

H.C.

H.C

S230923 A144149 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 25, 2016.

#### S231375

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Glenn R. Pruden's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 9, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 24, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 107 additional days are contemplated.

S232420 H043089 Sixth Appellate District

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the answer to petition for review is extended to April 1, 2016. No further extensions will be contemplated.

#### **S231012** F068397 Fifth Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Schuck is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S231570 H039691 Sixth Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S231734B260667 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### DAVIS (RICHARD ALLEN) ON H.C.

# KLINEFELTER (JEFFREY SCOTT) ON H.C.

#### PEOPLE v. SOTO (OSCAR RICHARD)

#### PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (JAIME ALTUNO)

**PEOPLE v. MOORE** 

(ROOSEVELT)

### IN RE RICARDO P.

#### **S231996** B262757 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S232201 B263169 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Carlos Ramirez is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S232212 B261625 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Carlos Ramirez is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S232240** A144398 First Appellate District, Div. 1 Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Paula Rudman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S232270** A143929 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kelly Cronin Martin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S232344 H042062 Sixth Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Blair Greenberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

### **PEOPLE v. THOMPSON** (TERRELL)

PEOPLE v. SEGUNDO (RUBEN)

**IGNACIO**)

**PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (MANUEL** 

IN RE ALEJANDRO R.

**PEOPLE v. EGGLER** 

(MICHAEL)

#### PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (SANDRA MADRIGAL)

505

#### SAN FRANCISCO

**S224724** F065288/F065481/F065984 Fifth Appellate District

Order filed

On application of appellant Gabriel Ramos and good cause appearing, it is ordered that permission to file the opening brief on the merits in excess of 14,000 words is hereby granted.

#### S232373

Order filed

Good cause appearing, petitioner's "Motion for Relief From Default for Failure to File Timely Informal Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on March 21, 2016, is granted.

S232441B261022 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

#### JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTERS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Order filed

The order filed on March 23, 2016, denying petition for review and depublication request, is hereby vacated.

#### S233043

#### GOGSWELL (HENRY I.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

#### PEOPLE v. ENRIQUEZ (RAMIRO)

**FUDGE (KEITH TYRONE)** 

ON H.C.