SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 12, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S225534 B254015 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

JACKSON (BELINDA) v. CORINTHIAN COLLEGES, INC.

Order filed: cause suspended due to bankruptcy stay

The court is in receipt of a notice from respondent that a bankruptcy petition has been filed. Such notice operates as an automatic stay in this proceeding and the applicable time periods of rule 8.512(b) of the California Rules of Court are hereby suspended.

Counsel for the respondent is directed to file quarterly reports with the Clerk of this court regarding the status of this bankruptcy action. At such time as this court receives proper notice terminating or granting relief from the bankruptcy stay of proceedings, the court will enter an order terminating the suspension of the applicable time periods of rule 8.512(b) and said time periods shall begin running anew from the date of that order.

S199557 D057446 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

SAN DIEGO, CITY OF v. BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Issues ordered limited

Pursuant to rule 8.516 of the California Rules of Court, the court on its own motion limits the issues on review and to be argued to the first issue stated in the petition for review, at pages 1-2. Plaintiffs and appellants City of San Diego's and Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego's two requests for judicial notice, filed on September 18, 2012, are denied.

Amici curiae League of California Cities' and California State Association of Counties' request for judicial notice, filed on November 30, 2012, is denied.

S225067 G051334 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

WATCHTOWER BIBLE & TRACT SOCIETY OF NEW YORK, INC. v. S.C. (LOPEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 12, 2015.

S225105 B251351 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PAINTCARE v. MORTENSEN (CAROLL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 15, 2015.

S225113 A135668 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SIMMONS (ROMIER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 16, 2015.

S225205 G048039 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 GERARD (JAZMINA) v.

ORANGE COAST MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 18, 2015.

S105403 PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH

SAM)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant Samreth Sam Pan for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joseph F. Walsh's representation that appellant Samreth Sam Pan's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 5, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 247 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH SAM)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that appellant Run Peter Chhoun's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 5, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 247 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S151172

PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

Application to file over-length brief granted

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Request for Permission to File Oversized Appellant's Opening Brief," filed May 8, 2015, granted. The appellant's opening brief must not exceed 159,000 words.

S157458

PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (JULIAN ARTURO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 23, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 13, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 73 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S162197

PEOPLE v. VICTORIANNE (JAVIER WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 14, 2015.

PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 14, 2015.

S169689

PEOPLE v. EVANS (STEVE CARL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William J. Kopeny's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 15, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 7, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 191 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174232

PEOPLE v. KEMP (DARRYL THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 10, 2015. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174709

PEOPLE v. SARINANA (CATHY LYNN) & SARINANA (RAUL RICARDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Cathy Lynn Sariñana and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 10, 2015.

PEOPLE v. SARINANA (CATHY LYNN) & SARINANA (RAUL RICARDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Raul Ricardo Sariñana and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 10, 2015.

S181535

PEOPLE v. ALCALA (RODNEY JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark D. Lenenberg's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 7, 2015. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S181555

PEOPLE v. MARTIN (VALERIE DEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2015.

S182059

PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT PAUL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 17, 2015.

S196555

THOMAS (ALEX DALE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sharon E. Loughner's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 7, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days is contemplated.

MERRIMAN (JUSTIN JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan Garvey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 9, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 7, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days will be granted.

S212256

LOPEZ (MICHAEL AUGUSTINE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel Miro F. Cizin's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to June 26, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days will be granted.

S214543

EDWARDS (ROBERT MARK) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sara M. Cohbra's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 14, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 95 additional days are contemplated.

S219811 B252032 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

LEWIS (ALWIN CARL) v. S.C. (MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 17, 2015.

S221215

PRECIADO (GERARDO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 8, 2015.

DUFF (DEWEY JOE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General John A. Bachman's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 19, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 19, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S222620 C074662 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RINEHART (BRANDON LANCE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to June 11, 2015.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S223430

GOMEZ (ARTURO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 30, 2015.

S225391

CARLE (ERIC BRENDAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 18, 2015.

S224599 B255894 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WADE (STEVEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of respondent for appointment of counsel, Jean Ballantine is hereby appointed to represent respondent on the appeal now pending in this court.

Respondent's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S224900 C075983 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MUNOZ (JOSE GUADALUPE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, The Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S224929 H039219 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA, JR., (ZEFERINO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lawrence Gibbs is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S225047 F067805 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sylvia W. Beckham is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S225050 F067122 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LORTA (GILBERT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sylvia W. Beckham is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S199224

SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of THOMAS WILLIAM SMITH, State Bar Number 93102, is revoked. The court further orders that:

- 1. THOMAS WILLIAM SMITH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Jeffrey Lassle in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 25, 2013 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to Jeffrey Lassle, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. He submits to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar's Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. THOMAS WILLIAM SMITH is given credit towards the one year suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on February 21, 2015.

THOMAS WILLIAM SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S210239

WANK ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of JORDAN MORRIS WANK, State Bar Number 29383, is revoked. The court further orders that:

- 1. JORDAN MORRIS WANK is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std.1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. JORDAN MORRIS WANK is given credit towards the two years' suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on November 20, 2014.

JORDAN MORRIS WANK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,202)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,203)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)