SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 2018 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S097558

The petition for rehearing is denied.

S104144

PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR., (JOSEPH ANDREW)

JESSE)

PEOPLE v. GARTON (TODD

Rehearing denied; opinion modified ORDER MODIFYING OPINION AND DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING THE COURT:

The opinion in this matter filed March 1, 2018, and appearing at 4 Cal.5th 421, is modified as follows:

- 1. At the end of the first full paragraph on page 443, after the sentence ending "they had views on the case," the following sentence is added: "We likewise conclude that the trial court's decision did not amount to constitutional error."
- 2. At the end of the first paragraph on page 444, after the sentence ending in "discretion in these circumstances," the following sentence is added: "Nor has Perez established that the time allotted for voir dire violated his constitutional rights."

These modifications do not affect the judgment.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

S237014 A139411 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Rehearing denied

S247677 B272344 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

GONZALEZ (LUIS) v. MATHIS (JOHN R.)

H.C.

BUTLER (ROY THINNES) ON

Petition for review granted

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted.

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ. 700

S231765 B262023 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Supplemental briefing ordered

The submission of this case is vacated. The court requests that the parties in the matter of *People v. Buycks* (S231765) serve and file supplemental letter briefs addressing the following questions: Should Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (k) require striking defendant Buycks' two-year enhancement under Penal Code section 12022.1, despite the fact that his judgment was final (*In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740), because the trial court sentenced defendant anew after he had successfully petitioned for the reduction of his Penal Code section 666, subdivision (a) conviction to a misdemeanor? Does Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (n) or *Dix v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 442 support the Court of Appeal's conclusion that in resentencing defendant Buycks, the trial court was required to reevaluate the applicability of the enhancement at that time?

All initial briefs by the parties addressing the above questions must be served and filed simultaneously on or before June 1, 2018. Any reply by the parties to the supplemental briefs must be served and filed on or before June 15, 2018.

No extension of time will be granted. The case will be deemed submitted upon timely filing of the last brief.

S232900 D066907 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. VALENZUELA (LAURA REYNOSO)

PEOPLE v. BUYCKS

(STEVENSON)

Supplemental briefing ordered

The submission of this case is vacated. The court requests that the parties in the matter of *People v. Buycks* (S231765) serve and file supplemental letter briefs addressing the following questions: Should Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (k) require striking defendant Buycks' two-year enhancement under Penal Code section 12022.1, despite the fact that his judgment was final (*In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740), because the trial court sentenced defendant anew after he had successfully petitioned for the reduction of his Penal Code section 666, subdivision (a) conviction to a misdemeanor? Does Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (n) or *Dix v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 442 support the Court of Appeal's conclusion that in resentencing defendant Buycks, the trial court was required to reevaluate the applicability of the enhancement at that time?

All initial briefs by the parties addressing the above questions must be served and filed simultaneously on or before June 1, 2018. Any reply by the parties to the supplemental briefs must be served and filed on or before June 15, 2018.

No extension of time will be granted. The case will be deemed submitted upon timely filing of the last brief.

S238888 H043114 Sixth Appellate District

GUIOMAR (JOHN MANUEL) ON H.C.

Supplemental briefing ordered

The submission of this case is vacated. The court requests that the parties in the matter of *People*

v. Buycks (S231765) serve and file supplemental letter briefs addressing the following questions: Should Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (k) require striking defendant Buycks' two-year enhancement under Penal Code section 12022.1, despite the fact that his judgment was final (*In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740), because the trial court sentenced defendant anew after he had successfully petitioned for the reduction of his Penal Code section 666, subdivision (a) conviction to a misdemeanor? Does Penal Code section 1170.18, subdivision (n) or *Dix v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 442 support the Court of Appeal's conclusion that in resentencing defendant Buycks, the trial court was required to reevaluate the applicability of the enhancement at that time?

All initial briefs by the parties addressing the above questions must be served and filed simultaneously on or before June 1, 2018. Any reply by the parties to the supplemental briefs must be served and filed on or before June 15, 2018.

No extension of time will be granted. The case will be deemed submitted upon timely filing of the last brief.

| S237801 | A146277 First Appellate District, Div. 3 | IN RE C.B. | |
|-------------------------|--|------------|--|
| S237762 | A146120 First Appellate District, Div. 3 | IN RE C.H. | |
| Case consolidated with: | | | |

In re C.B., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

C.B., Defendant and Appellant.

In re C.H., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

C.H., Defendant and Appellant.

Good cause appearing, the above-entitled cases are consolidated for purposes of oral argument and opinion. Oral argument shall be 60 minutes (30 minutes for petitioners and 30 minutes for the People). Counsel for petitioners shall argue first and may reserve time for rebuttal. They are directed to decide among themselves an allocation of their allotted time that complies with section V of the Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of this court.

S247488 C082750 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WALLACE (SIDNEY EDWARD)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request to supplement the petition for review is granted. The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Bullard*, S239488 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247573 B279524 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

DUCHAN (JASON CHRISTOPHER) v. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Wilson v. Cable News Network, Inc.*, S239686 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247616 B277418 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. McDUFFY (WAJUBA ZYMAAL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Padilla*, S239454, and *People v. Mendoza*, S238032 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247832B269545 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

PEOPLE v. ESQUIVEL (DANIEL GARY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition in a related issue in *People v. Canizales*, S221958 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S248208 B282516 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Ruiz*, S235556 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S176951

HAWTHORNE II (CARLOS ANTHONY) ON H.C.

PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (KENNETH DEMONT)

Order to show cause issued, returnable in Superior Court

This petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed in this court on January 30, 2009, before the effective date of Proposition 66, the "Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016." (See *Briggs v. Brown et al.* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 808, 862, rehg. den. Oct. 25, 2017.) Under section 1509, subdivision (g) of the Penal Code, the court exercises its authority to retain this petition and decide it.

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the relief prayed for should not be granted on the ground that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance of counsel during the penalty phase by failing to investigate petitioner's social history and to present the testimony of mental health experts and a social historian, as alleged in Claim Four.

All remaining claims are denied on the merits.

In addition, procedural bars apply to some claims, or subparts of claims, as follows: Claims Two, Six, and Eight (except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel) are procedurally barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225, to the extent they were raised and rejected on appeal.

Claim Eight (except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel) is procedurally barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759, to the extent it is based on the record and could have been, but was not, raised on appeal.

Claim Nine (except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel) is procedurally barred under *In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 199-200, because it could have been, but was not, raised at trial.

This court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247055E066434 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. WEITZEIL
(JEREMY SCOTT)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision dismissing the appeal and to reconsider the cause in light of *Harris v. Superior Court* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 984, 991. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S247543 B288362 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 POGOSYAN (ALEXANDER) v. APPELLATE DIVISION (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four. That court is ordered to vacate its March 2, 2009, order summarily denying the petition for writ of prohibition and is directed to issue an order to show cause returnable before that court. The Los Angeles Superior Court is to be ordered to show cause, when the matter is placed on calendar, why petitioner's motion to dismiss should not be granted. The return is to be filed on or before June 18, 2018.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S232329 E063472 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 DRIVER II (TOMMY JUNIOR) ON H.C.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-captioned matter is dismissed, without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Buycks*, S231765.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S232331E059681 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. DRIVER II
(TOMMY JUNIOR)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v*. *Gallardo* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 120. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S237491B266704 Second Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. NEWMAN
(DAVID)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239197B269019 Second Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. WESTERFIELD
(CLEO)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239432B266674 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOI

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (HERACLIO SANCHEZ)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225 and *People v. Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239935 C077898 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GANN (JOHN DAVID)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decisions in *People v*. *Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225, and *People v*. *Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240312B268411 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. HAMMONDS
(RICKY DARWELL)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225 and *People v. Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241017B267915 Second Appellate District, Div. 4PEOPLE v. STEFFLRE
(ALAIN)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225 and *People v. Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241107B264757 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. BERRY
(KENNETH)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225 and *People v. Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S241204 B268143 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. HARO (TOMMY)** Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225 and *People v. Estrada* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 661, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S242240 C077882 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (EMIGDIO)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S242249 B270193 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BRYANT (AUBREY JOHN)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Frierson* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 225, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

| S247238 | B272098 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 | PEOPLE v. MOORE (VENUS DETRICE) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Petition for rev | iew denied | 2211102) |
| S247274 Petition for rev | B283220 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 iew denied | MOORE (VENUS) ON H.C. |
| S247324 Petition for rev | F072871 Fifth Appellate District iew denied | PEOPLE v. SORENSEN (ROBERT LEWIS) |
| | | |
| S247481 | B282107 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 | PEOPLE v. LANDAVERDE (ARNULFO R.) |
| The petition for Official Appell | iew denied; CA opinion decertified r review is denied. The Reporter of Decisions is ate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled app at 20 Cal.App.5th 287. (Cal. Const., art. VI, sec | peal filed on February 7, 2018, |
| S247487 | B276128 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 | PEOPLE v. TUCKER (JESSE ALAN) |
| Petition for rev | iew denied | |
| S247511 Petition for rev | B276082 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied | PEOPLE v. SIMMONS (SAMUEL ALONZO) |
| | | |
| S247588 | C079775 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. LEWIS (DARRELLE) |
| Petition for rev | iew denied | |
| S247617 | B279664 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 | PEOPLE v. JORDAN (ANDREW) |
| Petition for rev | iew denied | |

S247634 C080430/C081817 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S247650B279935 Second Appellate District, Div. 4P

Petition for review denied

S247652

Petition for review denied

S247662 H045364 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S247673 F071302 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S247683 F072456 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S247685 A145167 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

S247689 G052920 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 Petition for review denied

S247695B277401 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. HERRERA-
RAMOS (JOSE)Petition for review deniedPetition for review deniedPetition for review denied

MONTCLAIR, CITY OF v. COHEN (MICHAEL)

PEOPLE v. VALLE (JONATHAN FRANCISCO)

PULLE (STANISLAUS) v. STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

MHANNA (FIDA) & HAGE (GHASSAN), MARRIAGE OF

PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ (TONY)

PEOPLE v. TOWNSEND (TABARRI MAURICE)

PEOPLE v. ROHRBACH (KENNETH)

PEOPLE v. WOLFE (KELLY MICHELE)

| S247698 | E069730 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | COTTON (ROBERT) v. S.C. (COUNTY OF SAN REDNA RDINO) |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Petition for 1 | review denied | BERNARDINO) |
| S247700 | E064706 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. CANNON (KEVIN CURTIS) |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | |
| S247708 | B270095 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 | PEOPLE v. SOTO (VICTOR E.) |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | |
| S247711 Petition for 1 | E066937 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 review denied | CANNON (KEVIN) ON H.C. |
| S247739 | B280925 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 | PEOPLE v. PADILLA (RAYMOND EDWARD) |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | |
| S247743 | C074438 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. PENN (JOHN JAMES) |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | |
| S247747 Petition for 1 | A143354 First Appellate District, Div. 2 review denied | PEOPLE v. ORONA (TOMAS) |
| S247766 | C086356 Third Appellate District | JOHNSTON (BRANDON) ON H.C. |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | |
| S247768 | B279767 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 | PEOPLE v. HUTCHINSON (LOUIS JAMES) |
| Petition for 1 | review denied | () |

| S247791 | B264578 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 | PEOPLE v. KINGSBURY (ANDREW PHILLIP) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Petition for review denied (ANDREW PHILLIP) | | | |
| S247834 Petition for rev | E068343 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied | IN RE S.L. | |
| S247843 Petition for rev | G053424 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied | PEOPLE v. TRAN (ANDREW) | |
| S247978 | F073567 Fifth Appellate District | KEY ENERGY SERVICES, INC. v. CALIFORNIA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH APPEALS BOARD (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S247979 Petition for rev | B286271 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied | IN RE H.P. | |
| S248001 | D071268 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 | LOUCKS (ELENA A.) v. BARRETT DAFFIN FRAPPIER TREDER & | |
| Petition for review denied WEISS LLP | | | |
| S248002 | E069778 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | ETCHISON (MICHAEL JASON) ON H.C. | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248013 | B270665 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 | THOMAS (JAMIE) v. ZHOU (YIN HUI) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |

| S248037 | G053806 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 | PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LOI PHAT) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Petition for re- | view denied | | |
| S248047 | C086753 Third Appellate District | GARCIA-ROJAS (URIEL) ON H.C. | |
| Petition for rev Petitioner's re | view denied quest for judicial notice is granted. | 11.0. | |
| S248073 | A150979 First Appellate District, Div. 1 | 1115 SOLAR DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. CLEAN ENERGY | |
| Petition for re- | view denied | COLLECTIVE, LLC | |
| S248074 Petition for rev | B282783 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied | PEOPLE v. ACOSTA (OYUKI) | |
| S248079 | B271587 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 | PEOPLE v. CHESTER (KENDRICK D.) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248080 | A146654 First Appellate District, Div. 3 | PEOPLE v. HURD (STEVEN MORRIS) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248082 | G053808 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 | PEOPLE v. CASTRO- VASQUEZ (JUAN EDUARDO) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248083 | F072639 Fifth Appellate District | PEOPLE v. ALVARADO (VICTOR) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |

| S248084 | C084504 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (RICARDO ANTONIO) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Petition for re | view denied | | |
| S248092 | E064608 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. REAL (FRANCISCO HUMBERTO) | |
| Petition for re | view denied | (FRANCISCO HOMBERTO) | |
| S248093 Petition for re | C083733 Third Appellate District view denied | IN RE J.D. | |
| S248096 | D072346 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 | PEOPLE v. VILLARINI- NICHOLSON (NICOLE ANN) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248101 | B278744 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 | PEOPLE v. WILLARD (JAMIE) | |
| Petition for review denied (JAMIE) | | | |
| S248104 | F071564 Fifth Appellate District | PEOPLE v. CHENOT (KIMBERI V ROSANNE) | |
| Petition for review denied (KIMBERLY ROSANNE) | | | |
| S248108 Petition for re | B281923 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied | IN RE KIM W. | |
| S248121 | A145821 First Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. GATES (FREDERICK RENEE) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248122 | B270418 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 | FICHTER (VIN A.) v. BYRD (TYRONE G.) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |

| S248124 | F073234 Fifth Appellate District | PEOPLE v. OQUITA (JAVIER ROMERO) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Petition for rev | view denied | | |
| S248127 | B281010 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 | PEOPLE v. BUDGELL | |
| Petition for rev | view denied | (STEPHEN MICHAEL) | |
| S248133 | A147554 First Appellate District, Div. 1 | HERTERICH (NORMAN BARTSCH) v. PELTNER | |
| Petition for rev | view denied | (ARNDT) | |
| S248145 Petition for rev | B285871 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied | IN RE J.T. | |
| S248149 | D073648 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 | LUCORE (STEVEN H.) v. S.C. (U.S. BANK, N.A.) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248150 | C075866 Third Appellate District | NORTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER ASSOCIATION v. STATE WATER RESOURCES | |
| Petition for review denied Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate. | | | |
| S248152 | E063054 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | SIGGARD (WAYNE) v. HUDACK (LARRY J.) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |
| S248153 | A143365 First Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. MITCHELL (JUSTIN RALPH) | |
| Petition for review denied | | | |

S248157

S248204

S248211

S248220

C081877 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. SANFORD (ADAM JAMES**) Petition for review denied D071815 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. WILKERSON** (JAMES) Petition for review denied S248172 B283929 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. HARRIS** (JONATHAN MICHAEL) Petition for review denied S248202 B289028 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MEHTA (RAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. J'WEIAL** (XAIVER LUMAR) Petition for writ of error coram nobis denied S248210 D071410 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. MULIPOLA** (KURENE) Petition for review denied C083427 Third Appellate District **SELVIDGE (MARYJANE) v.** TANG (SULLYVAN W.) Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied YOUNG (HOWARD) v. **COURT OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT** (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S248260 B285571 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE A.S. Petition for review denied

S248413 A153544 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S248542 F077269 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S242255

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S242666 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S244556

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S244836

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S245372 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246024

HOBBY LOBBY STORES, INC. v. S.C. (ROSE)

HURTADO (RAFAEL MALDONADO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

JOHNSON (DERRICK ARNOLD) ON H.C.

MROZEK (BRAD) ON H.C.

WINZER (KENNETH) ON H.C.

TOLLIVER, SR., (DARRYL L.) ON H.C.

GODINEZ (JOSE) ON H.C.

METTERS (JAMES R.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246547

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S246839

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S246999

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247011

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247013

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247186

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247267

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247533 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

MARTINEZ (JOHNNY ANGEL) ON H.C.

STEWART (JAREY) ON H.C.

RICHARDS (DANIEL) ON H.C.

ZHUANG (LING) ON H.C.

JACOBS (LIONEL TEMERO) ON H.C.

KENNEDY (DAMEYION T.) ON H.C.

VERA (GUILLERMO MEMO) ON H.C.

MORGAN (EDWARD) ON H.C.

AVALOS (LUIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S247571

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S247572

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247576

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247577

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable: (See In re Lessard (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations].)

S247578

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable: (See In re Lessard (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

STEWART (NORFLEET) ON H.C.

OCHOA (LEONARD LOUIE) ON H.C.

JORDAN (MAURICE) ON

H.C.

HERNANDEZ (RAUL) ON H.C.

SLEVIRA (DAVID A.) ON H.C.

JOHNSON (DERRICK L.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247580

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S247582

GOODWIN (PATRICK GLENN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S247915 D072293 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE J.G.

Depublication ordered (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is granted.

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed February 2, 2018, which appears at 20 Cal.App.5th 173. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125.)

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

BLOCKA CONSTRUCTION, INC. v. MYERS POWER PRODUCTS, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S247645 B270944/B272092 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SILVER HORSE EQUITIES, LLC v. ALI AHMAD (VICTORIA)

Publication request denied (case closed)

| S247654 | E066387 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | MEDINA (MARTHA) v. |
|---------|---|--------------------|
| | | COSTCO WHOLESALE |
| | | CORPORATION |
| D 11' / | | |

Publication request denied (case closed)

719

GILMORE (CARY DWAYNE) ON H.C.

H.C.

RAMIREZ (RAFAEL) ON

IN RE JULIANA P. S247734 B282061 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 Publication request denied (case closed)

S247736 A138764 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **NESSINGER (EDWARD J. & ANGELINA L.), MARRIAGE** OF Publication request denied (case closed) S247760 A150296 First Appellate District, Div. 2 MARSHALL (INEZ), ESTATE OF Publication request denied (case closed)

S247967 D072287 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **APPLE INC. v. S.C.** (SHAMRELL)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final. Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

B249546 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S222732

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to July 27, 2018, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S246990 D071882 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. LYNCH (PAUL)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Patrick Dudley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S208348

Motion denied

Appellant's "Motion to Augment the Certified Record on Appeal," filed on February 28, 2018, is denied. On the court's own motion, the superior court is ordered to transmit People's Exhibits 151 through 162 and Court's Exhibit 8 to this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.610(a)(3), 8.634(a), & 8.224(d).)

DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC. v. S.C. (LEE)

PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

720

Order filed

In light of the "Declaration of Jack Leavitt re: Removal as Attorney," filed on March 29, 2018, in which previously retained counsel states he no longer represents appellant, the briefing schedule in this appeal is vacated and the appellate proceedings are held in abeyance pending further order of the court regarding the representation of appellant.

S248513 A146855 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on May 15, 2018, is amended to read as follows:

On application of respondents, State of California and Board of Administration of CalPERS, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to May 25, 2018.

S248813

MAGANA (EUDORO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

| S247951 Petition denied | (accusation) | ACCUSATION OF JONES |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| S248087 Petition denied | (accusation) | ACCUSATON OF EVANS |
| S248089 Petition denied | (accusation) | ACCUSATION OF EVANS |
| S230568 | B259424 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 | REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. S.C. (ROSEN) |

Fee request denied

Upon due consideration, the motion to determine entitlement to attorney fees (Code Civ. Proc., § 1021.5), filed April 12, 2018, by real party in interest Katherine Rosen, is denied.

McGLYNN (MATTHEW C.) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION JUNE 5 and 6, 2018

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on June 5 and 6, 2018.

<u>TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 2018 — 2:00 P.M.</u>

IN MEMORIAM – HON. ARMAND ARABIAN Associate Justice, California Supreme Court (1990-1996)

- (1) In re C.B., S237801 and In re C.H., S237762, (consolidated cases) (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (2) De La Torre (Eduardo) et al. v. CashCall, Inc., S241434 *(justice pro tempore to be assigned)*

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2018 — 9:00 A.M.

- (3) Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP v. J-M Manufacturing Co., Inc., S232946 *(justice pro tempore to be assigned)*
- (4) Bianka M., a Minor, etc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (Gladys M.), S233757 *(justice pro tempore to be assigned)*
- Ramirez (Irma), Individually and as Personal Representative, etc., v. City of Gardena, S244549 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)

CANTIL-SAKAUYE Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)