

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, MAY 18, 2016
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S142694**WARD (CARMEN LEE) ON
H.C.**

Order to show cause issued

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in this court, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the relief prayed for in the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed April 18, 2006, and as amended on March 7, 2007, and March 13, 2009, should not be granted on the grounds that (1) one or more jurors may have considered extrajudicial information during penalty phase deliberations, as alleged in Claim 2; and (2) trial counsel provided ineffective assistance at the penalty phase, as alleged in Claim 8.

The return must be filed on or before June 17, 2016.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233508

D067920 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**KIRCHNER (KRISTOPHER)
ON H.C.**

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233099

B257829 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. ADAMS (KEVIN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Defendant Moreland's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Franklin*, S217699 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendant Adams's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233213 E061584 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MERAZ, JR.,
(JESSE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Conley*, S211275 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233304 B256126 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. MOOR
(MATTHEW)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Defendant Matthew Moor's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Sanchez*, S216681 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendants Virginia Moor and Arthur Ramirez's petitions for review are denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233317 E063905 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MORA
(EDUARDO NAVA)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Romanowski*, S231405 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233345 G051527 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MEJIA (RAUL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Romanowski*, S231405 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233596 C078270 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. FERGUSON
(TIMOTHY LEROY)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Buycks*, S231765 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233678 C079082 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. YOUNT (GEORGE
VERN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Martinez*, S231826 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233704 B258565 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**PEOPLE v. ALMANZA (LUIS
ALFREDO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Macabeo*, S221852 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233806 D068319 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. KELM
(HERBERT)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Valenzuela*, S232900 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233815 C078083 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. McPHERSON
(MICHAEL ROBERT)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Valenzuela*, S232900 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233950 H043452 Sixth Appellate District**FRANCO (GABRIEL) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandate and/or prohibition and to issue an alternative writ to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. The stay issued by this court remains in effect pending further order of the Court of Appeal.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S234278 F073155 Fifth Appellate District**MELCHNER (DAVID) v.
GONZALEZ-MELCHNER
(JACKLYN)**

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District. At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order dismissing the appeal and reconsider the matter as it sees fit.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S233013 B263966 Second Appellate District, Div. 5**PEOPLE v. ZAVALADIAZ
(GUSTAVO ADOLFO)**

Petition for review denied

S233054 H040147 Sixth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. TRUONG (KENG
QUAN)**

Petition for review denied

S233149 E063097 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**IN RE A.B.**

Petition for review denied

S233155 B260205 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

ANGOL (MARTHA A.) v. LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

S233164 B270649 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review & application for stay denied
Request for judicial notice is granted.

PORTER (JOHN) v. S.C. (WYNER)

S233179 B270471 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

FARMERS GROUP, INC. v. S.C. (STREIT)

S233184 B259578 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. ESPARZA (OSCAR C.)

S233188 B263398 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

HUB CONSTRUCTION SPECIALTIES, INC. v. ESPERANZA CHARITIES INC.

S233230 B270514 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF v. S.C. (ARREOLA)

S233234 C081464 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

BRACAMONTES (LUIS MONROY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

S233251 H042937 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

MARTINEZ (ROBERTO JAIME) ON H.C.

S233262 H040794 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ
(ROBERTO JAIME)**

S233277 G050601 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PATRIOT CLEANING
SERVICES, INC. v. CERTAIN
UNDERWRITERS AT
LLOYD'S, LONDON**

S233292 B264078 Second Appellate District, Div. 5
Petition for review denied

IN RE GARY H.

S233301 D067278 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied
The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted.

**JANSING (NICHOLAS) v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

S233338 B259600 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PORTFOLIO RECOVERY
ASSOCIATIONS v. ESSIEN
(ARCHIBONG U.)**

S233424 B256898 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WILKINS
(SHERRI LYNN)**

S233427 D068679 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HAMPTON
(RONALD LANE)**

S233484 A139800 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ANDERSON
(TROY J.)**

S233522 G050477 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BROWN
(CHRISTOPHER)**

S233527 F068267 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BRADLEY (AL
LEE)**

S233528 E062709 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. AVILA
(ANTONIO)**

S233533 B271053 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Stay dissolved; petition denied

The petition for review is denied. The stay previously issued by this court on April 6, 2016, is hereby dissolved.

**STARNA (ERIC E.) v. S.C.
(CITY OF PORT HUENEME)**

S233555 H041252 Sixth Appellate District

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FURNESS
(DANIEL KENNETH)**

S233556 A141008 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petitions for review denied

PEOPLE v. IRWINE (FRANK)

S233629 D067960 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

**NEWMAN (GEORGE) v.
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**

S233684 B263797 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**UZUN (GUVEN) v.
RUTHERFORD (JEFFREY)**

S233687 D069311 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HULTMAN (PAUL JAMES)

S233699 B264099 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

IN RE JOSE C.

S233701 D070051 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

WOODS (EARNEST CASSELL) ON H.C.

S233702 G053258 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

HONG (YUANDA) v. LIU (LONG DEI)

S233703 D067138 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (LARRY)

S233711 A147654 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

PRASAD (ABHIJIT) v. S.C. (RATTAAN)

S233729 B261073 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. TANSIEL (ROMAN)

S233752 D068356 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (ESTEBAN)

S233758 E065564 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**OLAGUE (PETE) v.
WORKER'S
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & COLTON UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT)**

S233768 E062619 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. COWANS (JOHN
PIERRE)**

S233779 A132155 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS
(PAUL A.)**

S233783 D069289 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. ORTIS (RANDY)

S233790 C079268 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**McREYNOLDS (DICK
CHARLES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S233802 D070071 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**SPETTER, JR., (THOMAS J.)
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S233807 C076732 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DUPREE (ANDRE
ANTONIO)**

S233841 B259569 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**BACCOUCHE (HENRI) v.
DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION**

S233846 D070061 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

ROGERS (TYRONE) ON H.C.

S234100 E065701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**MONTES, JR., (RAYMOND
ANTHONY) v. S.C.
(4SAMEDAY
TRANSPORTATION)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S234219

ROBERTSON, IN RE

Petition for review & application for stay denied – WADE ANTHONY ROBERTSON

S231339

**CLIFFORD (ANTHONY J.)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S231831

**SNYDER (JOSHUA F.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S231844

**SNYDER (JOSHUA F.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232386

WELCH (KENDYL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S232481

JENKINS (SLEVEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S232621

**GALLEGO (ERICKA
SHIRLEY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232660**LISTER (JOSEPH EMANUEL)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232741**WILLIS (JAMES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232745**DELPALACIO (JOSE
CARLOS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232746**LEWIS (BRIAN DION) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S232747**COLLINS (ARSENIO
LAMONTE) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232748**CROSS (JERAD SCOTT) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232752**PATTERSON
(CHRISTOPHER H.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S232757**EVERTS (FREDERICK) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232783**WIZAR (JERRY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S232796**ACOSTA (JUAN DANIEL) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S232822**ROSS (RICHARD ERIC) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232825**WOODS (ARCHIE DOYLE)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232828**MARTIN (LANCE R.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232829**UECKER (DANNY GREG) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S232830**JOHNSON (VIVIAN LEE) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232836**VALDEZ (EMILIO) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S232936**HOOVER, SR., (MAURICE
WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S232937**STEVENSON (CRAIG) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233009**TINSLEY (FREDERICK P.)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233056**WELKER (EDWARD) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233060**CHAPMAN (WILLIAM) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233069**WEST (SHEILA I.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. 86, 99-100, citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

S233073**HILL (MALCOLM VINCENT)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233079**YOUNG (BRIAN JASON) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S233121**TERHUNE (CAMERON
RICHARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233169**McCOWAN (RAYMOND) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233194**MORELAND (RALPH) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233203

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

BURTON (JERRY) ON H.C.**S233205**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**LAZARO (FELIX DeJESUS)
ON H.C.****S233232** B258026 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

MARSH (VERNON) ON H.C.**S233240**The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. 86, 99-100, citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)**PITTMAN (JAMES) ON H.C.****S233241**The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)**STEWART, JR., (WILLIAM
L.) ON H.C.****S233243**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**AMAYA (ARMANDO R.) ON
H.C.****S233298**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**DeBOSE (DWIGHT M.) ON
H.C.****S233305**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

SMITH (RODNEY) ON H.C**S233306**The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)**MORRIS (RAYMOND
CHARLES) ON H.C.**

S233321**RICH (ELIJAH RAY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S233322**GLEGHORN (KELSEY DRU)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233323**APHAYAVONG
(CHANESAMONE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S233330**FOSTER (RICKY TYRONE)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233347**ELLIS (DWAYNE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S233351**JORDAN (ROBERT
STEWART) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S233353**MITCHELL (ANGELO) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233354**SILVA (ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233356**THOMAS (STEVEN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Valenzuela*, S232900.

S233357**SHEPARD (LaMONT) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S233358**RODRIGUEZ (MIRIAM
JEANNETTE) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233362**FLOURNOY, JR.,
(BENJAMIN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S233370**VOAGE (KEVIN SHAWN) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233419**SANDHU (HARWINDER
SINGH) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233421**WALKER (LEONARD) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S233433**BELTRAN (JOSE LUIS) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233435**LEE (EARL A.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233437**BENNETT (DAVID) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S233449**STAFFORD (JOSEPH) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S233452**KING (JESSE STEPHEN) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S233453**GRAYSON (ROBERT LOUIS)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233454**JACKSON (WILLIAM
HENRY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233464**COVARRUBIAS (ROGELIO)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233468**RIDGE, JR., (LARRY DEAN)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S233469**EVANS (CARLUS R.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233471**THOMAS (MARLON J.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233472**LIMON (RUDY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233473**BURNETT (ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S233476**GRAYSON (JASON) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S233488**SCHRUBB (KEVIN R.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S233489**BOYD (DERRICK DWIGHT) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. 86, 99-100, citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

S233491**JONES (NATHAN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S233492**DeVAUGHN (MICHAEL O.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233493**PEDDY (DENNIS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S233496**LOGAN II (JAMES DAVID)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S233507**CREIGLOW (JEFFREY A.)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233812**DeBOSE (DWIGHT M.) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S233814**HOOKE, SR., (MAURICE
WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S233840**MANSON (ARSEN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S234036**CALVIN (CALVIN JAMES)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503; *In re Walthaus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S234088**HOOKE, SR., (MAURICE
WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S234093**CHEZUM, JR., (GEORGE
WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S233098 B255239 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**BANTA (VAUGHN) v.
AMERICAN MEDICAL
RESPONSE, INC.**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S233235 C078024 Third Appellate District

**JAMULIANS AGAINST THE
CASINO v. WILDLIFE
CONSERVATION BOARD
(JAMUL INDIAN VILLAGE)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S233249 B259611 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**ISENBERG (LEONARD) v.
UNITED TEACHERS LOS
ANGELES**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S233630 A139069/A139550 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY
FORCE ACCOUNT
COUNCIL, INC. v. ROSS
VALLEY SANITARY
DISTRICT**

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S233901

**AMBROSE, JR., (JOHN) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S233909 B262469 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**FETT (DAVID R.) v.
MEDICAL BOARD OF
CALIFORNIA**

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S234056 A144283 First Appellate District, Div. 3**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS v.
S.C. (LEWIS)**

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S097668**PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE,
JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 15, 2016.

S105876**PEOPLE v. SUAREZ
(ARTURO JUAREZ)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by July 20, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 20, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S139702**PEOPLE v. BRACAMONTES
(MANUEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 19, 2016.

S151493**PEOPLE v. CARDENAS
(REFUGIO RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tia M. Coronado's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by January 9, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 13, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S152463**PEOPLE v. HILL (IVAN J.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 12, 2016.

S154459**PEOPLE v. TURNER
(CHESTER DEWAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon State Public Defender Mary K. McComb's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 16, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 15, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 125 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S162197**PEOPLE v. VICTORIANNE
(JAVIER WILLIAM)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 12, 2016.

S162506**PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN
JOSE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 12, 2016.

S166737**PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH
STEVEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 26, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 26, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S169750**PEOPLE v. McGHEE
(TIMOTHY JOSEPH)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Seth P. McCutcheon's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 11, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 12, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S170293**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN
JERMAINE) & INGRAM
(REYON TWAIN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Calvin Dennis and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 19, 2016.

S170293**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN
JERMAINE) & INGRAM
(REYON TWAIN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Reyon T. Ingram and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 19, 2016.

S172750**PEOPLE v. KENNEDY (JOHN
FITZGERALD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Theodore M. Cropley's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 14, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 12, 2016.

After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S178464**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ
(SANTIAGO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 19, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 167 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S179826**PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY
DEONDREA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 22, 2016.

S182059**PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT
PAUL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 15, 2016.

S182278**PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA
JAIME)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 8, 2016.

S186360**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(ANTONIO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sharon Fleming's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 11, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 205 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S191346**PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY
(KEVIN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 13, 2016.

S199667**PEOPLE v. GRAHAM
(JAWAUN DEION)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 15, 2016.

S203514**PEOPLE v. HUGHES
(MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 8, 2016.

S206484**PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA
(PEDRO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 19, 2016.

S218551**JONES (KIONGOZI) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 15, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 18, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 89 additional days will be granted.

S222737**JOHNSON (JOE EDWARD)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Margo Hunter's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 17, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 8, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 102 additional days will be granted.

S229762

F069370 Fifth Appellate District

**McMILLIAN ALBANY, LLC
v. S.C. (VAN TASSEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to June 15, 2016. No further extensions are contemplated.

S230863**AINSWORTH (TYRELL) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 6, 2016.

S231070**JAY (LARRY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to June 9, 2016.

S231153**DUONG (ANH THE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Jonathan M. Krauss's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 11, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 11, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S232568**WALDON (BILLY RAY) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Collette C. Cavalier's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 22, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 22, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S233215**MONTERROSO (CRISTHIAN
ANTONIO) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Jelani J. Lindsey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 19, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 19, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S233897

A144588 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**JEWELL (MARCHA LANE) v.
BARCLAYS CAPITAL REAL
ESTATE, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to May 24, 2016.

S213832**PEOPLE v. BRIM (RONALD
EARL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Ronald F. Turner is hereby appointed to represent appellant Ronald Earl Brim for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S232877

B248995 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. ALONZO
(GONZALO)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Alan Siraco is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S199311**GARCIA (RANDY EUGENE)
ON H.C.**

Motion to file document under seal granted

The Clerk is directed to strike the “Respondent’s Response to Petitioner’s Application for Leave to File Sealed Exhibits and Motion for Order Unsealing Portion of Reporter’s Transcript,” filed on May 16, 2016. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.54(a)(3).)

Petitioner’s “Application for Leave to File Sealed Exhibits in Support of Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,” filed on April 8, 2016, is granted. The court makes the findings required by rule 8.46(d)(6) of the California Rules of Court. The Clerk is directed to file under seal Volume 10 of the Exhibits in Support of Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Exhibits 106, 107 and 108, lodged conditionally under seal on April 8, 2016.

Petitioner’s “Motion for an Order Unsealing Portion of Reporter’s Transcript on Appeal,” filed on April 8, 2016, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal, make part of the public record, and provide to counsel for respondent a copy of the Reporter’s Transcript on Appeal, Volume 10A, pages 2159-2164, in the record on appeal in *People v. Garcia*, S045696.

S231091**WASS ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed February 17, 2016, suspending GEORGE STEVEN WASS, is hereby amended to reflect the above State Bar Court case numbers.

S231993**TORCHIA ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed April 29, 2016, disbaring VITO TORCHIA, JR., is hereby amended to reflect the above State Bar Court case numbers.

S233544**DEHOYOS (RICHARD
LUCIO) ON H.C.**

Order filed

Due to clerical error, exhibit 230, filed on May 10, 2016, in support of the second petition for writ of habeas corpus in the above-entitled matter is hereby stricken.

S233721**ACCUSATION OF ROMERO**

Petition denied

(accusation)

S232230**OWENS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that AUDREY GAIL OWENS, State Bar Number 154130, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

AUDREY GAIL OWENS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232234**SACKS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN MARK SACKS, State Bar Number 250547, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. STEVEN MARK SACKS must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 16, 2015; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN MARK SACKS has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN MARK SACKS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232239**SPIEGELMAN ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ERIC J. SPIEGELMAN, State Bar Number 224035, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. ERIC J. SPIEGELMAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 14, 2015; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ERIC J. SPIEGELMAN has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ERIC J. SPIEGELMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017 and 2018. If ERIC J. SPIEGELMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232242**TOCHTERMAN ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JEFFREY DAVID TOCHTERMAN, State Bar Number 170466, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JEFFREY DAVID TOCHTERMAN must make restitution to Maricela Meza in the amount of \$7,125 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 9, 2015. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JEFFREY DAVID TOCHTERMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232243**TOWNLEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY, State Bar Number 226566, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232259**GUSTIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DALE IRVING GUSTIN, State Bar Number 76642, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. DALE IRVING GUSTIN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - a. He pays the following sanctions and attorney fees and costs (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5), and furnishes proof to the State Bar Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
 - (1) the \$4,115 sanctions award issued on March 11, 2010 by the Superior Court of the County of San Luis Obispo, plus 10 percent interest per year from March 11, 2010; and
 - (2) the \$3,623 and \$1,000 sanctions awards issued on May 26, 2011 by the Superior Court of the County of San Luis Obispo, plus 10 percent interest per year from May 26, 2011.
 - b. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirements, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. DALE IRVING GUSTIN must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on December 16, 2015.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DALE IRVING GUSTIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DALE IRVING GUSTIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year of the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer, and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DALE IRVING GUSTIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232260**PARSA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES MAZI PARSA, State Bar Number 153389, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAMES MAZI PARSA must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Irene and Michael Maciel in the amount of \$3,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (2) Peter Espinoza in the amount of \$1,315 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (3) Marvel and Larry Layman in the amount of \$3,645 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (4) Bridgette and Juan DeArmas in the amount of \$1,215 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (5) Scherrie McCarthy in the amount of \$3,945 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (6) Ruth Sudick and James Brown in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (7) Rafael Iniguez in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (8) Francisco and Elizabeth Estrada in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (9) Farhat Sami and Abdul Ahmed in the amount of \$3,645 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (10) Danielle and James O'Rourke in the amount of \$2,324 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (11) Jacqueline Gharibian in the amount of \$3,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (12) Norik and Aspram Aghajanian in the amount of \$3,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (13) William Paris in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (14) Timothy and Melissa Council in the amount of \$717 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (15) Diana Hart in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (16) Laura and Lance Sparks in the amount of \$2,645 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (17) Amalia Yutani in the amount of \$4,350 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (18) Ofelia and Sergio Cisneros in the amount of \$3,995 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (19) Lorna Stump in the amount of \$3,945 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;

- (20) Armando Barrios in the amount of \$2,150 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (21) Jorge Martinez in the amount of \$983 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (22) Deborah and Darren Freidl in the amount of \$2,950 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (23) Ann and John Lammon in the amount of \$4,150 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (24) Julie and Jose Soria in the amount of \$4,295 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (25) Glen Boyer in the amount of \$4,120 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (26) Rufus DeRouen in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (27) Richard and Annette Colmenero in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (28) Lisa and Jose Escamilla in the amount of \$1,100 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (29) Jesus DeDios in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (30) Geri Tyndall-Funk in the Aila Maria Norkola-Brookins in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (31) Andrea and Jeryl Nunn in the amount of \$3,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (32) Denise Harris in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (33) Gavin Stieglitz in the amount of \$1,750 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (34) John and Lynn Gleason in the amount of \$2,950 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (35) Xiao Liu in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (36) James and Debbie Ziska in the amount of \$3,645 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (37) Adewale Doherty in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (38) amount of \$3,260 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (39) Brian Buckman in the amount of \$2,950 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (40) Andrew Johnson in the amount of \$1,325 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (41) Leticia and Robert Quinayas in the amount of \$2,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009;
- (42) Robert and Sandra Ortiz in the amount of \$3,995 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009; and

- (43) Robert Wong in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 9, 2009.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JAMES MAZI PARSA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232512**RAMIREZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ, State Bar Number 103054, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 23, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017 and 2018. If MANUEL LUIS RAMIREZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232513**ROYER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DONALD EUGENE ROYER, State Bar Number 72463, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. DONALD EUGENE ROYER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 18, 2015; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DONALD EUGENE ROYER has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DONALD EUGENE ROYER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If DONALD EUGENE ROYER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232517**RUBINFIER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JON BENNETT RUBINFIER, State Bar Number 94525, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JON BENNETT RUBINFIER must make restitution to Nohemy Parada in the amount of \$15,175.87 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 20, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JON BENNETT RUBINFIER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232518**WAGNER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DANIEL ISAAC WAGNER, State Bar Number 195610, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DANIEL ISAAC WAGNER must make restitution to VisionQwest Accountancy Group in the amount of \$9,930 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 26, 2015. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

DANIEL ISAAC WAGNER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232519**WHIPPLE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PETER JOHN WHIPPLE, State Bar Number 107761, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

PETER JOHN WHIPPLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232525**HANEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KRISHNA GENE HANEY, State Bar Number 229652, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. KRISHNA GENE HANEY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 60 days of probation, and she will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. She must complete six hours of live, in-person Minimum Continuing Legal Education-approved courses in legal ethics offered through a certified Minimum Continuing Legal Education provider in Illinois or California and provide satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If she remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, she must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for

Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

2. KRISHNA GENE HANEY must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 18, 2015.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KRISHNA GENE HANEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KRISHNA GENE HANEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of her suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

If KRISHNA GENE HANEY remains suspended for 90 days or more, she must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If KRISHNA GENE HANEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232529

CHRYSLER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STEPHEN KENNETH CHRYSLER, State Bar Number 165677, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

STEPHEN KENNETH CHRYSLER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232533**DELGADO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO, State Bar Number 51919, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017 and 2018. If CHARLES XAVIER DELGADO fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232541**ESCOANO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO, State Bar Number 204718, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 10, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If JOSE CASTILLO ESCANO fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232545**GIBBS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS, State Bar Number 102072, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JULIA PATRICIA GIBBS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232704**KYLE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID KYLE, State Bar Number 55821, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID KYLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232707**AMER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that AL FADEL AMER, State Bar Number 197745, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. AL FADEL AMER is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Ahmed Shah in the amount of \$8,625 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 1, 2011 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to Ahmed Shah, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. AL FADEL AMER must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 30, 2015.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if AL FADEL AMER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

AL FADEL AMER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If AL FADEL AMER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232729**PACE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that TERRENCE R. PACE, State Bar Number 179342, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. TERRENCE R. PACE must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 28, 2015; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if TERRENCE R. PACE has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will

be terminated.

TERRENCE R. PACE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232731**QUESADA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID JAMES QUEZADA, State Bar Number 197439, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. DAVID JAMES QUEZADA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. DAVID JAMES QUEZADA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 30, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID JAMES QUEZADA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID JAMES QUEZADA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If DAVID JAMES QUEZADA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232732**SCHWEDLER ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CARL JOSEPH SCHWEDLER, State Bar Number 244189, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

CARL JOSEPH SCHWEDLER must make restitution to George Yagi in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 18, 2014. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

CARL JOSEPH SCHWEDLER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232733**SHARP ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DIRK DWIGHT SHARP, State Bar Number 130772, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DIRK DWIGHT SHARP must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232735**WALTZER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANN KIM WALTZER, State Bar Number 130865, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. ANN KIM WALTZER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. ANN KIM WALTZER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 1, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANN KIM WALTZER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANN KIM WALTZER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ANN KIM WALTZER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232812**PALMER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER, State Bar Number 125147, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on January 6, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOSEPH DARRELL PALMER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232824**BROWN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LLOYD DOUGLAS BROWN, State Bar Number 44908, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LLOYD DOUGLAS BROWN must make restitution to Amboseli Energy Partners LLC in the amount of \$22,500, plus 10 percent interest per year from June 4, 2012 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the Fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5).

LLOYD DOUGLAS BROWN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232832**de CARLO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOSEPH de CARLO, State Bar Number 230256, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOSEPH de CARLO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232833**BERRY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HUGH WALTER BERRY, State Bar Number 149416, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HUGH WALTER BERRY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232835**ATKINS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TREZANAY MICHELLE ATKINS, State Bar Number 249968, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

TREZANAY MICHELLE ATKINS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S233102**PURDY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that COURT B. PURDY, State Bar Number 179132, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. COURT B. PURDY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. COURT B. PURDY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

January 11, 2016; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if COURT B. PURDY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

COURT B. PURDY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

COURT B. PURDY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If COURT B. PURDY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S233103

PUREWAL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MANDIP SINGH PUREWAL, State Bar Number 202444, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. MANDIP SINGH PUREWAL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. MANDIP SINGH PUREWAL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 4, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MANDIP SINGH PUREWAL has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MANDIP SINGH PUREWAL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S233105**SHIRER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RAE DIANE SHIRER, State Bar Number 167137, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. RAE DIANE SHIRER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 7, 2016; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RAE DIANE SHIRER has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RAE DIANE SHIRER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If RAE DIANE SHIRER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S233112**SOMAL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GURBOB SINGH SOMAL, State Bar Number 263061, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. GURBOB SINGH SOMAL is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
2. GURBOB SINGH SOMAL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 4, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GURBOB SINGH SOMAL has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GURBOB SINGH SOMAL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GURBOB SINGH SOMAL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S233125**TACKOWIAK ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK, State Bar Number 146700, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 5, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If BRUCE JOSEPH TACKOWIAK fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S233126**TURLEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY, State Bar Number 177777, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 5, 2016; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017 and 2018. If PAUL RAYMOND TURLEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S232817**SINTON ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of STARR JAN SINTON, State Bar Number 60017, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Starr Jan Sinton subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

STARR JAN SINTON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232819**SLOANE ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of DAVID MICHAEL SLOANE, State Bar Number 190024, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If David Michael Sloane subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

DAVID MICHAEL SLOANE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S232820**STRICKLAND ON
RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of DIANE LYN STRICKLAND, State Bar Number 177347, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If DIANE LYN STRICKLAND subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against her.

DIANE LYN STRICKLAND must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S234311**FOSTER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KENT HILLS FOSTER, State Bar Number 76433, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KENT HILLS FOSTER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S234312**LOBSTEIN ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TIMOTHY ALLEN LOBSTEIN, State Bar Number 209369, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

TIMOTHY ALLEN LOBSTEIN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S234313**O'CONNOR ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DANIEL JOSEPH O'CONNOR, State Bar Number 64442, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DANIEL JOSEPH O'CONNOR must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S234316**QUATMAN ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOHN RICHARD QUATMAN, State Bar Number 54366, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JOHN RICHARD QUATMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S234317**QUATMAN ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of PHYLLIS MOORE QUATMAN, State Bar Number 150653, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

PHYLLIS MOORE QUATMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S234318**YEH ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOSEPHINE YEH, State Bar Number 229229, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JOSEPHINE YEH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.