SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2018 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S251742

SMITH (VAN) v. S.C. (ONIFADE)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave of file a petition for writ of certiorari is hereby denied.

S251999 G056903 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 WHEAT (JEREMY

NATHANIEL) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S250315 G054825 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 TRIPPLETT (LARRY C.) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD, INDIANAPOLIS

COLTS

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250676 C083256 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. YABES (ANGELO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250798 C081883 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. UY (RATTANY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250802 A144440 First Appellate District, Div. 3 LITTLEJOHN (LARRY) v. COSTCO WHOLESALE

CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250821 B280087 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA (JAIME ALBERTO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250822 B278727 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MILLENDER (DAVID LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250835 B291680 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 DENT (BRIAN KEITH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S250836 A147924 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. McGILBERRY, JR., (DONNELL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2018.

S251055 B282676 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. VELA (MANUEL)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 3, 2018.

S165649 PEOPLE v. COOK (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Marcia A. Morrissey's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 8, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 17, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 52 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S169689 PEOPLE v. EVANS (STEVE CARL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Cliff Gardner's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 17, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 17, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180217

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (GEORGE ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ronald A. Jakob's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by April 18, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 14, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 184 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182341

PEOPLE v. BUETTNER (JEFFREE JAY) & JONES (GLEN JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Joanna McKim's representation that appellant Glen Joseph Jones's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 3, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 14, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 19 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182341

PEOPLE v. BUETTNER (JEFFREE JAY) & JONES (GLEN JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel R. Clayton Seaman, Jr.'s representation that the appellant Jeffree Jay Buettner's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 3, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 13, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 21 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (MILA)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Mark D. Greenberg's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 15, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 10, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 70 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S196185

PEOPLE v. MACIAS (ARMANDO)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Robert Wayne Gehring's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 25, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 27, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 118 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208209

PEOPLE v. BURRIS (NATHAN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Elias Batchelder's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 15, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 21, 2018. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 144 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208429

PEOPLE v. FOWLER (RICKIE LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 21, 2018.

PEOPLE v. WALTERS (MICHAEL J.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 18, 2018.

S221227

RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Karen Kelly's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 1, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 18, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 44 additional days will be granted.

S224393

PEOPLE v. HARTS (TYRONE LEVOID)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 14, 2018.

S239513

SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the reply to the informal response is anticipated to be filed by December 17, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 17, 2018. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2

HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Rachel A. Conry for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Michael L. Pomeranz for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Sandra Gabriel Mayson for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Sarah P. Hogarth for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Bar Association of San Francisco, The Los Angeles County Bar Association, and The Santa Clara County Bar Association is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Paul M. Kerlin for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Ryan J. Travers for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Kellen Richard Funk for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Jonathan L. Marcus for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Candice L. Rucker for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of J. Bradley Robertson for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S247278 A152056 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HUMPHREY (KENNETH) ON H.C.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Robert M. Carlson for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of American Bar Association is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S242474 H042950 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CALAVANO (JOSEPH GABRIEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Julie Dunger is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S242474 H042950 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CALAVANO (JOSEPH GABRIEL)

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

The order filed on August 25, 2017, appointing Morgan Taylor as counsel for appellant Joseph Gabriel Calavano is hereby vacated.

S243864 H043777 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ERMIN (VLADIMIR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Julie Dunger is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S250379 B285285/B272124/B276842

Second Appellate District, Div. 2

MALLANO (ROBERT) v. CHIANG (JOHN)

Order filed

By order filed October 15, 2018, all six of the current justices of this court recused themselves in the above captioned matter. Pursuant to California Constitution, article VI, section 6, subdivision (e) and Code of Civil Procedure, section 170.8, the following six judicial officers are assigned to act as justices of the Supreme Court in this matter from October 18, 2018, until they have (1) acted on the pending petition for review in this matter and, (2) if review is granted, completed and disposed of this and all related matters submitted to them, through and including any petition for rehearing.

Hon. Brett Bianco, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Hon. Andre De La Cruz, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Orange Hon. Teresa M. Caffese, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco Hon. Bruce E. Brodie, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Hon. Scott B. Cooper, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Orange Hon. Steve Cochran, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles The Hon. Brett Bianco, having among this group served the longest, is designated Acting Chief Justice.

These judicial officers were selected from an alphabetical roster of judges who first took judicial office on or after July 1, 2017. (Cf. Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of the California Supreme Court, § IV.J [specifying selection based on alphabetical order from among those with a minimal level of longevity in judicial office].)

POSLOF, JR., (LONNIE LEE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

S250314

SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BARLOW SMITH, State Bar Number 80680, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

BARLOW SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250317

MUNSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CRAIG EUGENE MUNSON, State Bar Number 143833, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CRAIG EUGENE MUNSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250319

RYAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JEFFREY FILON RYAN, State Bar Number 129079, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JEFFREY FILON RYAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. JEFFREY FILON RYAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 1, 2018; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JEFFREY FILON RYAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

JEFFREY FILON RYAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) JEFFREY FILON RYAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If JEFFREY FILON RYAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250320

MUNOZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ, State Bar Number 291278, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Danielle Solorio in the amount of \$4,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 31, 2017 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to Danielle Solorio, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 15, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GEORGE ANTHONY MUNOZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250321

ROTHMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JERRY D. ROTHMAN, State Bar Number 226686, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JERRY D. ROTHMAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 28, 2018; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JERRY D. ROTHMAN has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

JERRY D. ROTHMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If JERRY D. ROTHMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250386

BADER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DOUGLAS ALAN BADER, State Bar Number 182315, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DOUGLAS ALAN BADER is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. DOUGLAS ALAN BADER must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 22, 2018.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DOUGLAS ALAN BADER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

DOUGLAS ALAN BADER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DOUGLAS ALAN BADER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If DOUGLAS ALAN BADER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250459

CASTILLO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GABRIEL GERARDO CASTILLO, State Bar Number 256748, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. GABRIEL GERARDO CASTILLO must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Lluviana Ruiz in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 30, 2015;
- (2) Maria Ramirez in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 26, 2016;
- (3) Francisca Juarez Munoz in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 1, 2016;
- (4) Laura Alicia Centeno-Calderon in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 13, 2016;
- (5) Lucia Jimenez-Cruz in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 27, 2017; and
- (6) Blanca Pacheco in the amount of \$2,600 plus 10 percent interest per year from

November 23, 2016.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

GABRIEL GERARDO CASTILLO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250462

FARRIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JENNIFER MARIE FARRIS, State Bar Number 266923, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JENNIFER MARIE FARRIS must make restitution to Muhammad B. Khan in the amount of \$2,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 20, 2016. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JENNIFER MARIE FARRIS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250463

HOOMIRATANA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ART HOOMIRATANA, State Bar Number 247253, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ART HOOMIRATANA must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

JACKSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GREGORY LYLE JACKSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 212265, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250465

JAMES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARNEL JEANNELOUISE JAMES, State Bar Number 289326, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

CHARNEL JEANNELOUISE JAMES must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Evangelina Garibay in the amount of \$1,847.15 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 10, 2015;
- Yesenia Gomez in the amount of \$1,085 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 30, 2015;
- (3) Brook Hilton in the amount of \$2,370 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 1, 2015:
- (4) Jerry Braverman in the amount of \$660 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 20, 2017; and
- (5) Maria F. Angulo in the amount of \$3,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 30, 2015.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

CHARNEL JEANNELOUISE JAMES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S250468

LEVINSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON, State Bar Number 52084, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 27, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

One half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019 and 2020. If MARK LAWRENCE LEVINSON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250470

LEWIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS, State Bar Number 247934, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He pays the remaining \$4,828 in sanctions as ordered in *Sanchez v. Griffin*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RID150058 and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 22, 2018.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019 and 2020. If MICHAEL ROSS LEWIS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S250318

WAGNER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of NATHAN JAMES WAGNER, State Bar Number 257455, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If NATHAN JAMES WAGNER subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

NATHAN JAMES WAGNER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

SOMERS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BRENT RANDALL SOMERS, State Bar Number 102126, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251714

ABEL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NATASHA LIANA ABEL, State Bar Number 195045, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251715

AGO ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ARTHUR EMMANUEL AGO, State Bar Number 197801, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251716

BARTON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARIA ANTONIA BARTON, State Bar Number 130241, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251718

BEAUDRY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DON FRANK BEAUDRY, State Bar Number 44423, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251722

BEVAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARGARET CHRISTINE BEVAN, State Bar Number 174507, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BLAIR-STANEK ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANDREW RALPH BLAIR-STANEK, State Bar Number 262405, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251728

BRICE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GAVIN BRICE, State Bar Number 170625, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S251730

BUNNEY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of AILEEN LOUISE BUNNEY, State Bar Number 94977, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,387)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)